CALIBRATION OF A MOBILE MAPPING CAMERA SYSTEM WITH PHOTOGRAMMETRIC METHODS
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ABSTRACT:

The road network is an essential economic factor for the German industry. Until now, the whole horizontal and vertical alignment parameters are not known. To determine these parameters the Technische Universität Dresden has implemented a measurement system which is realized in a mobile mapping vehicle. The car includes the following sensors: Position and orientation system Applanix POS LV 420, radar sensor, eye movement gaze detection and stereo cameras. The two cameras record the road parameters as well as traffic signs with high accuracy. To assure a high accuracy over time it is necessary to calibrate the stereoscopic camera system. The stationary calibration is carried out on a self-made calibration field with thirty control points. A retro reflecting marking material allows an easy and robust detecting and measuring even without additional light sources. The vector from vehicle’s origin to the principle points of the two cameras can be calculated based on the local calibration and the UTM coordinates of the control points. Finally, this vector and the IMU angles define the orientation of the two cameras during the measurement drive. During operational use, the stationary calibration is not practicable. Mainly a new calibration is necessary if one of the cameras has to be detached during operation. The reference bar calibration is a technique where a bar with a known length is moved in object space. The targets will be detected with a matching algorithm, followed by an adjustment to determine the centres of those with subpixel accuracy. A self-calibration bundle adjustment is used to evaluate the relative orientation parameters. On every position, the constant length of the reference bar describes additional restriction equations. These restrictions stabilise the whole adjustment and should allow a complete calibration of both cameras, including the interior orientation parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

The measurement car TU was developed by the chair of road design. The car has two duties and responsibilities, the kinematic survey of streets and the measure driving behaviour with high precision. The goal of this vehicle is to measure for example the road design, the signs on the road or to control the track of the car (Novak 1991). For these tasks it is necessary to calibrate the included system, so that all components were fixed in the car coordinate system.

1.1 Hardware of the Mobile Mapping Car

The car has the following systems included:

• Position and orientation system Applanix (POS),
• Gaze vector detection Smart Eye
• Two colour digital cameras for photogrammetric survey

Figure 1. Mobile Mapping car (BMW) of the chair of road design (left) and the view to the server rack (right)

The main system is the Applanix POS LV 420 system. This system provides the position with a very high accuracy (less than 10 cm). The position is calculated in post processing from different sensors. Two GPS antennas (the second antenna calculate the heading of the vehicle), Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) and Distance Measurement Unit (DMI) are included in the system. For the best solution for the position a GPS base station is needed. All sensors in the car are referenced to the applanix system. After the test drive and calculating the driving path (trajectory) all other sensor data has a position and a direction with a high accuracy.

The cameras are two Marlin™ progressive scan cameras with a resolution of 1039 x 1392 pixels. During the test track the images are saved in bayer format to the hard disk. The CCD cameras are more and more important for documentation and post processing data collection.

Figure 2. (left) Applanix System LV and the two Marlin Cameras (right) were installed at the vehicle
2. GPS TEST FIELD CALIBRATION OF A MOBILE MAPPING VEHICLE

2.1 Presupposition for a Geo-Reference

The main calibration of the mobile mapping car is divided into three parts. The first part pictures the creation of an optimised calibration field, its dimensions and point sizes. The following part is the calibration of the two video cameras on top of the vehicle with photogrammetric methods. The chapter 2.3 explains the basic strategy roughly. The last chapter describes the transformation between the three main coordinate systems and set the bore side alignment from the fix components of the sensor platform.

2.2 Creating a Calibration Field

The condition for creation a calibration field was to determine the control point accumulation with a point size which is visible in 7-15m distance and with the possibility to measure it by twilight. With the distance from the camera to the test field and with the focal length of the camera it is possible to calculate the target size.

For the targets were used retro reflective material with a point diameter of 5 cm, so the points have a size of nearly ten pixel in the pictures. The background of the targets is covered with black material. This is important for good contrast between the target and the background. If it is not possible to measure in daylight, the calibration field can be illuminated, so that the points reflect the external light. In this case the grey tone of the targets is over two hundred by a 8 bit gray image (Figure 4). With the external illumination it is possible to work with a shorter exposure time, so that the extraction of the targets get easier.

The calibration field exist of thirty points. Ten points of it get a 10bit code ring for a better point allocation by the analysis. The location of the test field is a stair at a corner of two buildings (Figure 4). The main geometry consists of two intersection planes (the two facades of the buildings). The stair is located in front of them and added a third plane. With this constellation the calibration field has a good allocation of all points in different distances. The targets were allocated randomly within the visible area of fifteen square meters.

Each point was measured two times. The first step was to determine the coordinates of the points by means of a special photogrammetric software. More than forty images (taken with a Nicon D100 camera) were used for the self calibration bundle adjustment. The adjustment yielded 3D coordinates for all targets with an accuracy better then 1mm. Consequently all adjustment. The adjustment yielded 3D coordinates for all points in the calibration field with a tachymeter with a mean accuracy of 9mm. Consequently all points are also located in the left hand geodetic coordinate system.

2.3 Photogrammetric Calibration of two Marlin Cameras

The two Marlin™ cameras were mounted on top of the vehicle. The pictures were taken in front of the calibration field and from three different distances. The calibration configuration is draft in figure 5.

Figure 5. Positions of the two cameras in front of the calibration field

It is important to dispense the different camera positions equable, so that the backward intersection has redundant information. It is also possible to use only one image for the calculation of inner and outer camera parameters with a lower accuracy. The collinearity equations contain the transformation between the image coordinate system \(x', y', z'\) and the object coordinates \(X, Y, Z\) (equation 1, 2). The values \(dx\) and \(dy\) are the summed distortion parameters.

\[
\begin{align*}
    x' &= x_0 - c - \frac{r_{11}(X - X_0) + r_{12}(Y - Y_0) + r_{31}(Z - Z_0)}{r_{13}(X - X_0) + r_{22}(Y - Y_0) + r_{33}(Z - Z_0)} + dx \\
    y' &= y_0 - c - \frac{r_{12}(X - X_0) + r_{22}(Y - Y_0) + r_{32}(Z - Z_0)}{r_{13}(X - X_0) + r_{22}(Y - Y_0) + r_{33}(Z - Z_0)} + dy
\end{align*}
\]

More than fifteen pictures were taken to get a good result for calculation of the inner and outer camera parameters. The discrete calculation steps are described in detail in Luhmann 2000. The camera parameters and their accuracy is shown in table 1. The distortion parameters A1, A2, B1 and B2 could be calculated significantly. All other distortion parameters were set to zero. The GPS position and the direction of the car were recorded for each image. This was necessary for the calculation of the bore side alignment.

The next step was to get all points in GPS coordinates (for more informations Seber 1989). For the GPS measurement were set three ground points with a distance more than 30m in front of the test field. The exact calculation of these three ground points took place in a network of six SAPOS stations with more than 30 baselines. The network had a dimension of hundred square meters. The accuracy of the three points were calculated better than 5mm in location and 10mm in height.

By means of the ground points it was possible to measure all points in the calibration field with a tachymeter with a mean accuracy of 9mm. Consequently all points are also located in the left hand geodetic coordinate system.
Four coordinate systems are necessary for the bore side alignment:

- Camera coordinate system
- Local coordinate system of the calibration field
- Local coordinate system of the car
- Global coordinate system GPS

The main goal is the determination of all transformation parameters between the different coordinate systems. All necessary camera parameters are known from the bundle adjustment (chapter 2.3). By means of the outer orientation of both cameras the principal point can be calculated in the local coordinate system of the calibration field. All targets in the calibration field have also GPS coordinates. So it was possible to calculate the translation and the rotation between the global and the local coordinate system of the calibration field with a Helmert transformation (formula 3).

\[
X_2 + v = X_0 + (1 + \mu) \cdot (I + dR) \cdot X_1
\]

with:
- \( v \) : correction to the start value
- \( (1 + \mu) \) : scale
- \( I \) : unit matrix
- \( dR \) : rotation matrix with small angles so that

\[
dR = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \delta \kappa & -\delta \beta \\
-\delta \kappa & 0 & \delta \omega \\
\delta \beta & -\delta \omega & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

transformed (3) with:

\[
\mu \cdot dR \cdot X_1 \approx 0
\]

The linking from the car to the global coordinate system was fixed by installation of the Applanix system, so that the orientation angles of the IMU specify the different rotations between the two systems. The distances between the GPS antennas and the origin point of the car system were measured with a tachymeter with an average accuracy of 2mm. These distances and the rotation angle from the IMU determine the transformation between the car coordinate system and the global system.

\[
X_{GPS} = T_{Car - GPS} + m \cdot R_{IMU} \cdot X_{Car} \quad \text{with} \quad m = 1.0
\]

In the result all coordinate systems have a mathematical relation to each other. The bore side alignment has to be specified in the car coordinate system. Thence, it is necessary to transform all relations from the GPS coordinate system into the car coordinate system.

The outer orientation parameters of the two cameras are known in the GPS coordinate system by the 3D Helmert transformation. With these values the bore side alignment can be retransformed into the car system. This transformation for is shown in formula 6.

\[
X_{Car} = R_{IMU}^T \cdot (T_{Local - GPS} + R_{Local - GPS} \cdot X_{Local} - T_{CAR - GPS})
\]

with:
- \( R \) : rotation matrix
- \( T \) : translation vector
- \( X \) : 3D point

The repeat accuracy form different camera positions is smaller than 3cm. This inaccuracy of the drifting IMU of the Applanix System at a stopped car and of the standard deviation of the GPS positions which is < 10cm. The offsets between the primary GPS antenna and the origin point of the car coordinate system are shown in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>values camera 1</th>
<th>standard deviation</th>
<th>values camera 2</th>
<th>standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c [mm]</td>
<td>8,3548</td>
<td>0,00369</td>
<td>8,3869</td>
<td>0,00602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xh [mm]</td>
<td>0,0947</td>
<td>0,00776</td>
<td>-0,0025</td>
<td>0,01189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yh [mm]</td>
<td>0,0096</td>
<td>0,00481</td>
<td>0,0583</td>
<td>0,00741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>-3,12*10^-3</td>
<td>3,98*10^-3</td>
<td>-3,24*10^-3</td>
<td>9,14*10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>6,19*10^-3</td>
<td>2,89*10^-3</td>
<td>7,35*10^-3</td>
<td>7,91*10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>2,32*10^-3</td>
<td>2,02*10^-3</td>
<td>-4,27*10^-3</td>
<td>3,36*10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>9,32*10^-3</td>
<td>1,78*10^-2</td>
<td>5,81*10^-3</td>
<td>2,81*10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r0</td>
<td>2,4273</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,4273</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X0 [mm]</td>
<td>0,00331</td>
<td>0,6178</td>
<td>0,00558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y0 [mm]</td>
<td>0,00162</td>
<td>0,0025</td>
<td>0,00295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z0 [mm]</td>
<td>0,00095</td>
<td>-0,0030</td>
<td>0,00147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>omega [rad]</td>
<td>0,00135</td>
<td>0,0067</td>
<td>0,00984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phi [rad]</td>
<td>0,00092</td>
<td>0,0177</td>
<td>0,00140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kappa [rad]</td>
<td>0,00125</td>
<td>0,0041</td>
<td>0,00177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. inner an outer camera parameter of the photogrammetric calibration

It was not feasible to get an optimal configuration of the camera positions, because the vehicle is moving horizontal in front of the test field. The converge angle between both cameras has a value of 1°. This inauspicious configuration makes room for improvements.

The finally simultaneous calibration of the two Marlin cameras was done with Aicon3D3D Studio. The results of the calibration were the basic for the calculation of the bore side alignment and of the relative coordinate system between both cameras. This solution is not very comfortable and not flexible enough to recalibrate the cameras during a measurement drive far away from the calibration field. In this case a reference bar calibration would be optimal (chapter 3).

2.4 Bore Side Alignment

Four coordinate systems are necessary for the bore side alignment:

- Camera coordinate system
- Local coordinate system of the calibration field
- Local coordinate system of the car
- Global coordinate system GPS

Figure 6. four coordinate systems of the mobile mapping car
Table 2. Offsets in the car coordinate system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offset components</th>
<th>primary GPS antenna</th>
<th>IMU</th>
<th>camera 1 (left)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X [cm]</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y [cm]</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>-65.7</td>
<td>-97.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z [cm]</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>145.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bore side alignment for linking the cameras with the car coordinate system was calculated with an photogrammetric calibration field. The accuracy is limited by the GPS positions of the vehicle. Only the measurement with the camera system yields an accuracy better than 1cm by a distance at 20m. With the bore side alignment it is possible to transform the camera measurements into GPS coordinates.

3. REFERENCE BAR CALIBRATION

Primarily because of the non-availability of a suitable point field, the stationary calibration is not practicable during operational use. Mainly a new calibration strategy is necessary if one of the cameras or their objectives has to be detached on the job. The goal of this section is to assign Maaß’s (1998) proposed Multi-camera System Calibration Techniques – an extended approach of a Moved Reference Bar (Herrkla 1990, Pettersen, 1990) – to a stereoscopic mobile mapping camera system. Furthermore, occurred problems using two cameras only as well as proposed solutions were shown. A 3D point field can be simulated while moving a single signalised target through the object space (measurement volume) and track the recorded feature in image sequences. Disadvantage of a Moved single point calibration is the fact that the interior orientation of both cameras cannot be obtained of a single point only (Maaß 1998). Therefore, an extension of a single point to two or – for easier feature classification – three signalised targets is necessary. Similar to the single point variant, the reference bar has to be moved randomly through the object space by an operator in front of the mobile mapping vehicle (Figure 7.a). The detection/tracking of the three targets in both camera images represents the first part of the off-line analysis (Chapter 3.1). With the achieved subpixel image coordinates as well as preliminary values for the interior and exterior camera orientation, the 3D approximate values for all temporarily generated object points can be determined (Figure 7.b; Chapter 3.2). The results of the following self-calibrating bundle adjustment are the improved parameters of camera orientation as well as accuracy data (Chapter 3.3).

Advantages of this method are the simplicity of realisation and the resultant flexibility for mobile mapping vehicles on the job. Furthermore, tracking the signalised targets through image sequences is often easier than the computation of homologous image points.

3.1 Image Point Measurement

For all images of the stereoscopic sequence the (semi-) automatic determination of the targeted image coordinates was delivered by photogrammetric image analysis functions. Semi-automatic, because of the manual detection of the targets in the first image of the sequences. This interactive step was necessary to determine the scale of the target templates. In the face of a suitable calibration and, an in general two-level image pyramid was used for the calculation of the similarity coefficient between template image (Figure 8.a left) and size-reduced images. Figure 8.b shows the result of this template matching.

After the estimation of the approximate positions of the targets, a least-squares adjustment (least-squares matching, LSM) followed to determine the centres of those with subpixel accuracy (Figure 8.a right). LSM based on a 2D affine transformation with six parameters (translations, rotation, shear and scales). At this it was necessary to factor out shear in the face of an over-parametrisation (transformation of a circle into a rotated ellipse; see Luhmann 2000). The accuracy of the translation parameters in x and y direction of image coordinates can be denoted by ±0.01 pixel.
3.2 Determination of Approximate Values

The achieved subpixel image coordinates as well as preliminary values for the interior and exterior camera orientation provide a basis for the determination of approximate values for 3D object points. The computation based on the determination of the intersection of two skew straight lines (projection rays). The shortest vector between these straight lines is defined as

\[ d(a_i,d_i,d_j) = \frac{(P_{0i} - P_{0j}) \times (a_i \times a_j)}{\|a_i \times a_j\|^2} \times (a_i \times a_j) \]  

with 
\[ i = [1,2] \]

Camera positions
\[ x, y \]

Image coordinates
\[ c \]

Focal length
\[ P_0\left(P_{0i}, P_{0j}, P_{0k}\right) \]

Perspective centre
\[ R(\omega, \phi, \kappa) \]

Rotary matrix
\[ a_i(a_i, a_j, a_k) \rightarrow R \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ c \end{array} \right] \]

Direction of the projection rays

The specification of a layer \( \Sigma \), defined by the direction of the image ray \( a_i \) and the vector \( d_i \), and the computation of the intersection of \( a_i \) with \( \Sigma \) yield the sought target coordinates in object space:

\[ X(X_i, Y_i, Z_i) = P_{0j} + \frac{D - n \times P_{0j}}{n \times a_j} \times a_j - \frac{d_i}{2} \]  

with 
\[ \Sigma : n(n_i, n_j, n_k) = a_j \times a_i \]

\[ D = n \times P_{0j} \]

3.3 Bundle Adjustment

A self-calibration bundle adjustment is used to evaluate the relative orientation parameters. The geodetic datum for the object coordinate system was set into one camera (e.g. \( i = 1 \)). This means that

\[ \omega = \phi_i = \kappa_i \equiv 0 \]

\[ P_{0i} = P_{0j} = P_{0k} \equiv 0 \]

\[ X \text{-axis points at } P_{0j} \]

\[ Z \text{-axis points contrary at direction of exposure axis} \]

On every position, the constant length of the reference bar describes additional restriction equations (scale of the system), at which the influence on the whole adjustment model is controlled by means of weights. These restrictions stabilise the whole adjustment and allow a complete calibration of both cameras, including the interior orientation parameters.

First test configurations yielded the results shown in Table 3a and 4a. A significant determination of all parameters was not possible. Especially the correlations between rotation angles and focal lengths \((c_1, c_2)\) as well as principal points \((p_1, p_2)\) suggest a geometric instability. Reasons for that are an unsuitable ratio between horizontal and vertical reference bar arrangements, a bad base-distance ratio (1:10) and poor intersection angles because of less convergent stereoscopic camera orientation (determined by the mobile mapping system).

At least partially, the recording configuration can be optimized. Further trials using a 1:10 model resulted in a more stable configuration (base-distance ratio: 1:5; good measurement volume cover; Table 3b). Nevertheless, large correlations between interior and exterior orientation parameters appeared (Table 4b). Therefore, the reference bar approach was extended by one target to a reference triangle.

3.4 Reference triangle

Three of the four circular black targets in Figure 4 were used to introduce three additional observations – equivalent to the reference bar adjustment. In contrast to the above mentioned approach, more geometric stabilization could be reached by closing the constraints (Table 4c).

Further researches mainly deal with the dimension of the triangle as a compromise between a robust, geometric well configured adjustment and the usability as well as flexibility for the operator.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The paper shows that a calibration with a photogrammetric calibration field is realisable. With the known camera calibration parameters it is now possible to measure road elements in the relative coordinate system. With the local calibration field and the UTM coordinates of the control points it is feasible to compute the vector from the origin of the car coordinate system to the principle points of the two cameras. This vector and the angle from the IMU are necessary to get the orientation of the two cameras during the measurement drive. All parameters form each coordinate system enable to transform the measurements into GPS coordinates.

Beside a calibration using a temporarily stable point field, the reference bar approach could be extended for mobile mapping applications. While panning a reference bar with at least two signalised targets through the measurement volume, a 3D point field is simulated. The data was processed by a self-calibrating bundle adjustment. Thereby, the know length of the reference bar defines an additional observation per image.

It could be shown that an adaptation of the reference bar method for mobile mapping task (stereoscopic non-convergent camera configuration) is in principle possible, but restricted in geometric quality of the virtual point field configuration. Thus, a new reference bar design was taken into considerations.

In the future, an optimized dimension of the introduced reference triangle with reference to aim for usability and flexibility will be developed.
Table 3: A posterior accuracy of the orientation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camera 1</th>
<th>Camera 2</th>
<th>Camera 1</th>
<th>Camera 2</th>
<th>Camera 1</th>
<th>Camera 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δψ</td>
<td>δψ/δγ/δζ</td>
<td>δα/δϕ/δκ</td>
<td>δζ/δτ</td>
<td>δψ/δγ/δζ</td>
<td>δα/δϕ/δκ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fix</td>
<td>fix</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.5594</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0714</td>
<td>1.1164</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.0776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1164</td>
<td>2.0714</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.0776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6293</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.5594</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.5594</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Correlations between parameters (abridgement)
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