Regular Article – Theoretical Physics

In-medium operator product expansion for heavy-light-quark pseudoscalar mesons

Sven Zschocke^{1,2,a}, Thomas Hilger^{3,4}, and Burkhard Kämpfer^{3,4}

 $^1\,$ TU Dresden, Institut für Planetare Geodäsie, Lohrmann-Observatorium, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

² Dept. of Physics and Technology of the University of Bergen, Allegaten 55, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

³ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, PF 510119, D-01314 Dresden, Germany

⁴ TU Dresden, Institut für Theoretische Physik, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

Received: 29 September 2011 / Revised: 7 November 2011

Published online: 12 December 2011 – © Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2011 Communicated by J. Bijnens

Abstract. The operator product expansion (OPE) for heavy-light-quark pseudoscalar mesons (*D*-mesons and *B*-mesons) in medium is determined, both for a moving meson with respect to the surrounding medium as well as for a meson at rest. First of all, the OPE is given in terms of normal-ordered operators up to mass dimension 5, and the mass of the heavy quark and the mass of the light quark are kept finite. The Wilson coefficients of such an expansion are infrared (IR) divergent in the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass. A consistent separation of scales necessitates an OPE in terms of non-normal-ordered operators, which implies operator mixing, where the IR-divergences are absorbed into the operators. It is shown that the Wilson coefficients of such an expansion are IR-stable, and the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass is perfomed. Details of the major steps for the calculation of the Wilson coefficients are presented. By a comparison with previous results obtained by other theoretical groups we have found serious disagreements.

1 Introduction

The discovery of the charm quark in 1974 by detecting the J/Ψ -particle [1,2] has completed the second quark generation and was a manifest triumph of the quark model. While J/Ψ is a bound state of a charm quark and an anticharm quark, $J/\Psi = \bar{c}c$, so-called open-charmed mesons were discovered soon afterwards: $D^+ = c\bar{d}$, $D^0 = c\bar{u}$, and their corresponding anti-mesons $D^- = d\bar{c}$, $\bar{D}^0 = u\bar{c}$. The open charmed mesons are much easier accessible experimentally, because the *D*-mesons are the lightest particles which contain a charm-quark and their lifetime is a few orders of magnitude larger than J/Ψ : the mass of J/Ψ is 3096.9 MeV and the lifetime is 7.06×10^{-21} sec, while, for instance, the mass of D^{\pm} -meson is 1869.6 MeV and the lifetime 1.04×10^{-12} s [3].

Whereas charmed mesons in vacuum were studied thoroughly ever since, nothing is known so far from the experimental side about the properties of these mesons in medium. Nowadays, experiments are initiated to study charmed mesons embedded in a hadronic medium. In fact, the upcoming accelerator FAIR (Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research) at GSI (Gesellschaft für Schwer-Ionenforschung) in Darmstadt/Germany [4] offers the opportunity to study charmed mesons in dense nuclear matter. Especially, the CBM (Compressed Baryonic Matter) Collaboration intends to study the near-threshold production of D-mesons and J/Ψ in heavy-ion collisions, while the PANDA (anti-Proton ANihilation at DArmstadt) Collaboration will focus on charm spectroscopy as well as on charmed mesons produced by anti-proton annihilation in nuclei.

This growing interest can also be motivated from inmedium modifications of K-mesons, that is an expected down-shift of K^- and an up-shift of K^+ with increasing density [5]. There is a similarity between D-mesons and Kmesons in respect to their quark structure: $K^- = s\overline{u}$ corresponds to D^0 , while $K^+ = u\overline{s}$ corresponds to \overline{D}^0 . Thus, we conclude the qualitative fact, that both strangeness and charm might be regarded as probes of the in-medium situation. Moreover, the expected in-medium modifications of D-mesons might have a considerable impact on normal J/Ψ suppression, e.g. [6], and open-charm enhancement, e.g. [7], in heavy-ion collisions.

Despite the upcoming experiments CBM and PANDA, theoretical investigations of charmed mesons, both in vacuum and in medium, are fairly rare. One reason is that the well-established perturbation theory of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the fundamental theory of strong interactions, cannot be applied, because the momentum

^a e-mail: sven.zschocke@tu-dresden.de

transfer Q among bound quarks is small $Q \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD} \simeq 245 \,{\rm MeV}$ [8]. Thus, the running coupling constant of QCD $\alpha_s(Q)$ becomes large, and non-perturbative approaches are compelling in order to derive the physical properties of mesons from fundamental principles.

Especially, the infrared regime of QCD is complicated due to the still unresolved phenomenon of confinement. One aspect thereof is the non-vanishing of normal-ordered products of quark and gluon field operators taken between the non-perturbative, so-called physical, ground state $|vac\rangle$ of QCD, *e.g.*, the chiral quark– and gluoncondensate

$$\langle \operatorname{vac} | : \overline{q}^{\mathrm{a}} q^{\mathrm{a}} : | \operatorname{vac} \rangle \neq 0,$$
 (1)

$$\langle \operatorname{vac} | : G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\,\mu\nu} : |\operatorname{vac} \rangle \neq 0.$$
 (2)

Here, : \mathcal{O} : denotes the normal ordering of a composite operator \mathcal{O} , q^a is the quark field operator of color index a = 1, 2, 3, and $G^A_{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strengh tensor, where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are the Lorentz indices and $A = 1, \ldots, 8$ is the Gell-Mann index; troughout the article the Einstein convention is used. Vacuum expectation values like (1) and (2) are called vacuum condensates, a term which refers to the fact that the physical ground state of QCD is not "empty" but contains colorless and chargeless states of strongly bounded (condensed) quarks and gluons. In contrast, in the perturbative vacuum $|0\rangle$ of QCD the normal-ordered condensates vanish, *e.g.*, $\langle 0|: \overline{q}^a q^a: |0\rangle = 0$ and $\langle 0|: G^{A,\mu\nu}_{\mu\nu} G^{A,\mu\nu}: |0\rangle = 0$.

At finite baryonic densities the vacuum condensates are generalized to in-medium condensates, where the inmedium state is denoted by $|\Omega\rangle$, *i.e.*

$$\langle \Omega | : \overline{q}^{\mathbf{a}} q^{\mathbf{a}} : | \Omega \rangle, \tag{3}$$

$$\langle \Omega | : G^A_{\mu\nu} \, G^{A\,\mu\nu} : | \Omega \rangle. \tag{4}$$

The ground state of a hadronic medium can be approximated as a Fermi gas of nucleons: $|\Omega\rangle = |\text{vac}\rangle + \sum_k |\mathbf{N}(k)\rangle$. It is a formidable challenge to evaluate vacuum and inmedium condensates from the first principles of QCD. So far, approximative solutions have been obtained (*e.g.*, lattice gauge theory, Wilson loop expansion, instantons, etc.), but a comprehensive understanding of the confinement problem is far out of reach. Therefore, the question arises how the fundamental QCD parameters may be related to the vacuum and in-medium properties of mesons.

One of the best methods among the non-perturbative approaches is the QCD Sum Rules (QSR), which link hadronic observables like mass, decay width and coupling constant of the hadron under consideration to the fundamental parameters of QCD. The QSR have first been developed for mesons in vacuum [9–11], and later been generalized to the case of mesons in medium [12–15], see also [16] for the case of finite density and temperature. The decisive advantage of this approach is that QSR consider the existence of vacuum condensates and in-medium condensates as a given fact, instead of determining their numerical values by the first principles of QCD. In this way, QSR are not concerned with the problem of confinement, but assume that confinement exists and they are neither concerned with the involved structure of the ground state $|vac\rangle$ nor the in-medium state $|\Omega\rangle$.

Thus, once a very few parameters, *i.e.* numerical values of condensates, are given, physical properties of a large variety of hadrons can be predicted. The link between hadronic observables and fundamental parameters of QCD is given by a dispersion relation of *n*-point correlators which are non-local products of *n* operators: $\mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \mathcal{O}_2(x_2) \ldots \mathcal{O}_n(x_n)$. Here, we consider so-called current-current correlators $j_1(x) j_2(y)$, which are two-point correlators.

The analyticity of the current-current operator allows to relate the time-like region (hadronic part) with the space-like region (QCD part) of that operator. While the hadronic side of the dispersion relation is parametrized by means of hadronic observables, the Wilson Operator Product Expansion (OPE) [17] is applied on the QCD side. In general, the product $j_1(x)j_2(y)$ diverges in the limit $x \to y$, e.g., [18–20]. The Wilson OPE allows to decompose the product of non-local operators in terms of a series of regular local operators \mathcal{O} and the so-called Wilson coefficients C_k , which are divergent for $x \to y$, *i.e.*

$$j_1(x)\,j_2(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\mathcal{O}_k\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$
 (5)

The point of the OPE (5) is that the fields of the currents are separated into a hard and a soft part. The hard part is proportional to the unit operator and can be treated perturbatively, *i.e.* it can be evaluated with respect to the perturbative vacuum $|0\rangle$. The spatial dependence of the soft part can be Taylor expanded and leads to local condensates in the medium state $|\Omega\rangle$.

In general, the currents of the correlator are interpolating fields, which carry the symmetries of the specific hadron: spin, isospin, parity, charge and the valence quark content. More specific, in case of D-mesons or B-mesons we need to analyze correlators of heavy-light-quark currents. OPE's of such heavy-light-quark current-current correlators have been evaluated since the early days of QCD sum rules, see pioneering investigations [21–28].

One of the main peculiarities within the calculation of the OPE for a pseudoscalar heavy-light-quark meson correlator is the absorption of infrared mass divergences which occur in the Wilson coefficients. In order to render the OPE finite, these divergences have to be absorbed into the condensates by introducing non–normal-ordered condensates, like

$$\langle \Omega | \overline{q}^{\mathbf{a}} q^{\mathbf{a}} | \Omega \rangle,$$
 (6)

$$\langle \Omega | G^A_{\mu\nu} \, G^{A\,\mu\nu} | \Omega \rangle,$$
 (7)

instead of the normal-ordered ones. The unique mathematical scheme behind is the approach of operator mixing which allows for a consistent separation of scales. This problem has been worked out in detail in [28, 29], and accounts for a perturbative piece of the condensates, cf. [26,28–30] for a detailed discussion of the vacuum case.

At non-vanishing baryonic densities the OPE differs from the vacuum case and additional mass singularities occur. In order to treat them consistently one has to find additional expressions which reproduce the vacuum limit but also render the in-medium OPE infrared stable. A crucial point is the mixing of different condensates under this procedure. The in-medium OPE for open charmed mesons has been evaluated in [31–33]. Unfortunately, the results and Wilson coefficients presented in these references significantly differ from each other. Moreover, it is not clear in which way the authors have dealt with the infrared mass singularities. However, the knowledge of the correct OPE is compelling for a reliable prediction of in-medium properties of *D*-mesons within the QSR approach. Recently, in [34,35], an OPE for heavy-light currents in medium has been presented where the operator mixing and cancellation of infrared simgularities were correctly taken into account, but no further details of the involved evaluation of the OPE were given. In view of the progressing in-medium D-meson physics, both experimentally and theoretically, it is timely to present a transparent and thorough calculation of the OPE for *D*-mesons in matter and a comprehensive representation of the techniques which have to be applied.

The pseudoscalar *B*-mesons are also heavy-light-quark systems and their quark structure is: $B^+ = u\bar{b}$, $B^- = b\bar{u}$, $B^0 = d\bar{b}$ and $\overline{B}^0 = b\bar{d}$. So far, the only application of the QSR approach has been performed in [34] in order to determine in-medium modifications of *B*-mesons. Since the formalism of OPE and QSR can easily be extended from *D*-mesons to the case of *B*-mesons, we will incorporate these mesons in our investigation.

The paper is organized as follows: The OPE in terms of normal-ordered condensates is calculated in sect. 2. Non-normal-ordered condensates and, therewith associated, the operator mixing and the absorption of infrared divergences is discussed in sect. 3. The IR-limit, *i.e.* the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass, is considered in sect. 4. In sect. 5 the OPE is given for the physical situation where the meson is at rest with respect to the surrounding medium. Furthermore, a Borel transformation is performed. A comparison with the results given in several publications is given in sect. 6. The summary can be found in sect. 7.

2 OPE in terms of normal-ordered operators

2.1 OPE with IR-divergent Wilson coefficients

Let us introduce the current-current correlator for *D*mesons, which is defined as the Fourier transformation of the expectation value of the time-ordered products of two currents:

$$\Pi(q) = i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, e^{iqx} \langle \Omega | \, \mathrm{T}j(x) j^{\dagger}(0) \, | \Omega \rangle, \qquad (8)$$

where T denotes the Wick time ordering, $q^{\mu} = (q_0, q)$ is the four-momentum of the *D*-meson, and $|\Omega\rangle$ is the ground state of hadronic matter. Application of Wick's theorem to the correlator (8) naturally yields the OPE in terms of normal-ordered operators and can be written as

$$\Pi(q) = \overline{C}_0 \mathcal{I} + \sum_i \overline{C}_i \langle \Omega | : \mathcal{O}_i : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)}, \qquad (9)$$

where \mathcal{I} is the unit operator, the notation ⁽⁰⁾ means tree level matrix elements, and the bar denotes Wilson coefficients which correspond to tree level matrix elements, cf. the OPE of heavy-light-quark currents in vacuum [29]. From now on we drop the label ⁽⁰⁾, *i.e.* normal-ordered condensates are always on tree level throughout the work.

As mentioned in the introduction, the currents in (9) are constructed such that they contain the quantum numbers of the particle under consideration, *i.e.* spin, isospin, parity, charge and the valence quark content. Accordingly, for *D*-mesons they are given by

$$j_{D^+}(x) = :i\,\overline{d}\,\gamma_5\,c:,\tag{10}$$

$$j_{D^-}(x) = : i \,\overline{c} \,\gamma_5 \,d :, \tag{11}$$

$$j_{D^0}(x) = : i \,\overline{u} \,\gamma_5 \,c :, \tag{12}$$

$$j_{\overline{D}^0}(x) = : i \,\overline{c} \,\gamma_5 \, u : . \tag{13}$$

Due to $j_{D^+}^{\dagger} = j_{D^-}$ and $j_{D^0}^{\dagger} = j_{\overline{D}^0}$ we obtain, by means of $j(x) = \exp(iPx) j(0) \exp(-iPx)$ where P is the momentum operator, the relations $\Pi_{D^+}(q) = \Pi_{D^-}(-q)$ and $\Pi_{D^0}(q) = \Pi_{\overline{D}^0}(-q)$, respectively. Furthermore, in isospin symmetric nuclear matter a replacement $u \leftrightarrow d$ does not change these four correlators. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the current-current correlator (8) with the current operator of the D^+ meson given by eq. (10), since the other three correlators Π_{D^-} , Π_{D^0} and $\Pi_{\overline{D}^0}$, can easily be deduced from Π_{D^+} .

Similarly, for *B*-mesons the currents read

$$j_{B^+}(x) = :i\,\overline{b}\,\gamma_5\,u:, \tag{14}$$

$$j_{B^-}(x) = :i\,\overline{u}\,\gamma_5\,b:,\tag{15}$$

$$j_{B^0}(x) = : i \, b \, \gamma_5 \, d :, \tag{16}$$

$$j_{\overline{B}^0}(x) = :i\,\overline{d}\,\gamma_5\,b:. \tag{17}$$

Obviously, we have $j_{B^-} = j_{B^+}^{\dagger}$ and $j_{\overline{B}^0} = j_{B^0}^{\dagger}$ and $\Pi_{B^+}(q) = \Pi_{B^-}(-q)$ and $\Pi_{B^0}(q) = \Pi_{\overline{B}^0}(-q)$. Therefore, the OPE for the *B*-mesons can be deduced from the OPE of B^+ . Furthermore, since the OPE of B^- can be obtained from the OPE of D^+ by the replacements $u \to d$ and $b \to c$, we conclude that the OPE of all heavy-light-quark pseudoscalar currents can be deduced from the OPE of the D^+ meson; therefore, from now on we shall drop the explicit notation D^+ .

In this section, we will determine the Wilson coefficients of (9). The OPE contains all operators up to mass dimension 5:

$$\mathcal{I}$$
: mass dimension 0, (18)

$$\overline{q}_i^a q_j^b$$
: mass dimension 3, (19)

$$\bar{q}_i^c D_\mu^{ca} q_j^b$$
: mass dimension 4, (20)

$$G^{A}_{\alpha\beta}G^{B}_{\mu\nu}$$
 : mass dimension 4, (21)

Page 4 of 21

$$\overline{q}_i^c \, D_\mu^{cd} \, D_\nu^{da} \, q_j^b : \text{mass dimension } 5, \tag{22}$$

$$\overline{q}_i^c \sigma^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^{ca} q_j^b : \text{mass dimension 5}, \tag{23}$$

where the flavor of the quark-fields are either charm or down quarks, the Dirac indices are denoted by i, j =1, 2, 3, 4, and the operators have to carry a colorless structure; for notation see appendix A. In what follows, we will calculate all Wilson coefficients at first non-trivial order in powers of the QCD coupling constant, except for the unity operator where we include α_s corrections.

As we shall see in this section, the Wilson coefficients of (9) are divergent in the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass $m_d \rightarrow 0$, which is the so-called IR divergency. The reason for this divergence is that a proper factorization of short- and long-distance contributions in the OPE requires the calculation of matrix elements at the same order as the Wilson coefficients. This special issue will be the topic of the next section. In this section we will consider the OPE (9), *i.e.* in terms of normal-ordered operators.

By inserting the current (10) into (8) and applying Wick's theorem to the current-current correlation function $\Pi(q)$ we obtain:

$$\Pi(q) = \Pi^{(0)}(q) + \Pi^{(2)}_{d}(q) + \Pi^{(2)}_{c}(q) + \Pi^{(4)}(q), \qquad (24)$$
$$\Pi^{(0)}(q) = -i \int d^{4}x \, e^{iqx} \langle \Omega | : \operatorname{Tr}_{C,D} \left(\gamma_{5} \, S_{d}(0,x) \, \gamma_{5} \, S_{c}(x,0) \right) : |\Omega \rangle,$$

$$\Pi_d^{(2)}(q) =$$

$$(25)$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, e^{iqx} \langle \Omega | : \overline{d}(x) \, \gamma_5 \, S_c(x,0) \, \gamma_5 \, d(0) : |\Omega\rangle, \tag{26}$$

$$\Pi_{c}^{(2)}(q) = \int \mathrm{d}^{4}x \, e^{iqx} \langle \Omega | : \overline{c}(0) \, \gamma_{5} \, S_{d}(0, x) \, \gamma_{5} \, c(x) : |\Omega\rangle, \tag{27}$$

$$\Pi^{(4)}(q) = -i \int d^4 x \, e^{iqx} \langle \Omega | : \overline{d}(x) \, \gamma_5 \, c(x) \, \overline{c}(0) \, \gamma_5 \, d(0) : |\Omega\rangle, \qquad (28)$$

where the notation $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}}$ means trace over Dirac and color indices.

The point of the whole OPE is that the quark and gluon fields are separated into a hard and a soft part. The hard part can be treated perturbatively. The soft part cannot be calculated in this way, but being soft one can Taylor expand the spatial dependence of the fields and relate it to local condensates.

Accordingly, the term $\Pi^{(0)}$ is decomposed into a perturbative part, which is proportional to the unit matrix and is treated by the standard perturbation theory of QCD, and a gluonic part where the gluon fields are soft:

$$\Pi^{(0)}(q) = \Pi^{\text{pert}}(q) + \Pi^{(0)}_{G^2}(q).$$
(29)

The terms $\Pi_{G^2}^{(0)}$, $\Pi_d^{(2)}$, $\Pi_c^{(2)}$ and $\Pi^{(4)}$ describe the nonperturbative part of the correlator. The labels $^{(0)}$, $^{(2)}$ and ⁽⁴⁾ denote the number of non-contracted quark fields, *i.e.* the number of quarks which participate in the formation of a condensate. The term $\Pi^{(4)}$ concerns only the soft part of all operators. Thus there is no flow of hard momentum. The result of the integral in (28) is proportional to Dirac's delta-function $\delta(q^2)$ and derivatives thereof, *i.e.* $\Pi^{(4)}$ vanishes except for $q^2 = 0$. Therefore at large $|q^2|$ (OPE) there is no contribution from this term.

The quark propagator in a weak gluonic background field in coordinate space reads (n is either c or d)

$$iS_n(x,y) = iS_n^{(0)}(x-y) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int d^4 z_1 \dots d^4 z_k \, iS_n^{(0)}(x-z_1) \times g_s \hat{\mathcal{A}}(z_1) iS_n^{(0)}(z_1-z_2) \dots g_s \hat{\mathcal{A}}(z_k) iS_n^{(0)}(z_k-y), \quad (30)$$

where $S^{(0)}(x-y)$ denotes the free propagator and "hat" a contraction with Dirac matrices $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}$. For our investigation we need the quark propagator up to order k = 2, given explicitly by eqs. (B.13)–(B.15).

In what follows we shall determine the Wilson coefficients of (25)–(27), while (28) does not contribute at large $|q^2|$.

2.2 The perturbative part $\Pi^{\rm pert}$

The perturbative part is the Wilson coefficient of the unit operator (18), and is given by

$$\Pi^{\text{pert}}(q) = -i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{C},\text{D}} \left(\gamma_5 \, S_c^{(0)}(p) \, \gamma_5 \, S_d^{(0)}(p-q) \right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s\right). \tag{31}$$

Note that there is neither a normal ordering nor an expectation value, since in perturbative QCD the propagator is a usual *c*-number. The first term in (31) corresponds to a one-loop Feynman diagram; see the left diagram in fig. 1. The terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ are not given explicitly and correspond to two-loop Feynman diagrams, see the middle and the right diagram in fig. 1. Their mathematical structure is almost identical to (35)–(37), but the two gluon fields are not soft anymore but contracted to a free gluon-field propagator; for equal quark mass, these terms can be found in standard text books, *e.g.*, [18, 20].

The explicit solution of (31) is rather involved and is usually determined by means of the dispersion relation

$$\overline{C}_0 \equiv \Pi^{\text{pert}}(q) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(m_c + m_d)^2}^{\infty} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\text{Im } \Pi^{\text{pert}}(s)}{s - q^2} \,. \tag{32}$$

The imaginary part of the perturbative term can be determined by means of the Cutkosky cutting rules [36] (for a didactical representation of the cutting rules including some examples see [37]), and has been calculated for the very first time in [24], and later on several times by different authors, especially [21, 27]; note that an error in the calculation of [24] has been corrected by [27]. In the \overline{MS}



Fig. 1. Feynman diagrams for the perturbative part $\Pi^{\text{pert}}(q)$ in eq. (31); the fourth diagram is not shown but can be obtained from the right one by the replacement $d \leftrightarrow c$. Solid lines depict the free quark propagator, curly lines stand for contracted gluon fields, *i.e.* free gluon propagator, and dashed lines denote the *D*-meson. The left diagram is for the zeroth order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$, while the other two diagrams are the first order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ in perturbation theory of QCD.



Fig. 2. Feynman diagrams corresponding to $\Pi^{G^{2,A}}$, $\Pi^{G^{2,B}}$ and $\Pi^{G^{2,C}}$ in eqs. (35), (36) and (37) from left to right. Solid lines depict the free quark propagator, dashed lines denote the *D*-meson, and curly lines stand for the soft gluon fields; the crosses symbolize the creation or annihilation of a gluon by virtual particles.

scheme [38], the perturbative part is IR-convergent [39]. In accordance with the whole approach, we present our result for $m_d = 0$ in Feynman gauge, which agrees with the findings of [21,27]:

$$\operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\operatorname{pert}}(s) = \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{\left(s - m_c^2\right)^2}{s} \\
+ \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \frac{\left(s - m_c^2\right)^2}{s} \left[\frac{9}{4} + 2\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{m_c^2}{s}\right) \\
+ \ln\left(\frac{s}{m_c^2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{s}{s - m_c^2}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{m_c^2}{s - m_c^2}\right) \\
+ \ln\left(\frac{s}{s - m_c^2}\right) + \frac{m_c^2}{s}\ln\left(\frac{s - m_c^2}{m_c^2}\right) + \frac{m_c^2}{s - m_c^2}\ln\left(\frac{s}{m_c^2}\right) \right].$$
(33)

Here, $\operatorname{Li}_2(x) = -\int_0^x dt t^{-1} \ln(1-t)$ is the Spence function. We note, that the perturbative part must be symmetric in exchanging *c*-quark and *d*-quark. However, since we have presented the perturbative part in the IR limit $m_d = 0$, the given solution (33) is not symmetric anymore.

We will not finish this paragraph without a final remark about the dispersion relation (32). According to (33), the dispersion relation (32) gives an infinite result in ultraviolet regions of integration, *i.e.* one might prefer a twice-subtracted dispersion relation instead of (32). Such a subtraction scheme yields polynomials in the external momentum q. However, after a Borel transformation has been performed, all polynomials disappear, which means a Borel transformation of a twice-subtracted dispersion relation is identical to a Borel transformation of (32).

2.3 The gluonic part $\Pi_{G^2}^{(0)}$

Let us turn to the gluonic part, eqs. (25) and (29), which is the result of inserting the next-to-leading order propagator with the lowest-order term of eq. (B.12), and accounts for pure gluon condensates (21). The expressions up to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ are given by:

$$\Pi_{G^{2}}^{(0)}(q) = \Pi^{G^{2,A}}(q) + \Pi^{G^{2,B}}(q) + \Pi^{G^{2,C}}(q),$$
(34)
$$\Pi^{G^{2,A}}(q) =$$

$$-i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} p}{\left(2\pi\right)^{4}} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}}\left(\gamma_{5} S_{c}^{(1)}(p) \gamma_{5} S_{d}^{(1)}(p-q)\right) : |\Omega\rangle,$$

$$(35)$$

$$\Pi^{G^{2,B}}(q) = -i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left(\gamma_5 \, S_c^{(2)}(p) \, \gamma_5 \, S_d^{(0)}(p-q) \right) : |\Omega\rangle,$$
(36)

$$\Pi^{G^{2,C}}(q) = -i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left(\gamma_5 \, S_c^{(0)}(p+q) \, \gamma_5 \, S_d^{(2)}(p) \right) : |\Omega\rangle,$$
(37)

where the quark propagators are given by eqs. (B.13)–(B.15). These expressions correspond to the Feynman diagrams shown in fig. 2.

First we note, that by inserting the expressions (B.13)–(B.15) into (35)–(37) we recognize that these three integrals are ultraviolet finite. We also note that inserting higher orders of the quark propagator, *e.g.*, $S_d^{(3)}$, or the

gluon fields would lead to higher dimensional gluon condensates, such as $\langle : G^3 : \rangle$, or, by usage of the equations of motion, to quark and mixed quark-gluon condensates. These terms are either of higher-mass dimension or of higher orders in α_s , so we omit such terms.

At this stage of our investigation we would like to mention that the calculation of the gluonic part $\Pi_{G^2}^{(0)}$ is performed at the one-loop level and leads to cumbersome expressions. Some details of the evaluation are therefore shifted to appendix C, where we have described in detail the techniques by means of which we obtain the following final result for the terms (35)–(37):

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{G^2}^{(0)}(q) &= \\ \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : |\Omega \rangle \left(-\frac{1}{12} \frac{m_c}{m_d} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{q^2}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \right) \\ + \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : |\Omega \rangle \left(q^2 - 4 \frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) \\ \times \left(-\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} - \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \ln \left(\frac{m_d^2}{m_c^2} \right) \right) \\ - \frac{2}{9} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \right). \end{split}$$
(38)

Here, $G^2 = G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\,\mu\nu}$, and $v^{\mu} = (1, \boldsymbol{v})$ is the fourvelocity of the surrounding medium. The first line in (38) is the scalar contribution which does not vanish in vacuum, while the other term is a medium-specific condensate and vanishes at zero density. One immediately observes an IR-divergent term $\propto m_d^{-1}$ known from the vacuum OPE of *D*-mesons, while in medium there is an additional logarithmic IR-singularity $\propto \ln m_d^2$. Both these IR singularities appear because in the corresponding diagram (right diagram in fig. 2) there are three light-quark propagators with the very same momentum, while in the other diagrams there are only one or two.

We note the symmetry of (35)–(37) in exchanging the charm and down quark. However, since we have to perform the IR limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ after operator mixing, we have taken into account the leading IR-divergent terms only, that means m_d^{-1} and $\propto \ln m_d^2$. Hence, the solution (38) is no longer symmetric in exchanging down and charm quark. In appendix C further details are given about how the needed expansions in terms of a small *d*-quark mass destroy this kind of symmetry.

Furthermore, terms of the form $\ln q^2$ and $\ln m_d^2$ occur simultaneously and cannot be made small at the same time for $-q^2 \gg m_d^2$. However, as has been found in [39], they are remnants of the large distance behavior, *i.e.* they originate from the small momentum contribution to the loop integrals. Their occurrence breaks the neat separation of scales, which is a necessary feature of every OPE, and must therefore be absorbed into the condensates. In [39] the author argues that these logarithms do not occur when the Wilson coefficients are calculated within a minimal subtraction scheme. Moreover, they can be absorbed into the condensates if one reexpresses normalordered condensates, which naturally emerge if one applies Wick's theorem to (8), by the so-called non-normalordered ones. This procedure has been known for a long time in vacuum, cf. [22,29,30,40,41] and references therein, although an explicit formula could not be found by us. In sect. 3 we introduce a formula which relates normalordered and non-normal-ordered condensates in matter.

2.4 The term $\Pi_d^{(2)}(q)$

Let us now consider the term (26), which leads to condensates which contain down-quarks. After expanding the light-quark fields and performing the Fourier transformation we obtain the expression

$$\Pi_{d}^{(2)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{k}}{k!} \langle \Omega | : \left(\bar{d}_{i} \overleftarrow{D}_{\alpha_{1}} \dots \overleftarrow{D}_{\alpha_{k}} \right)^{a} \\ \times \left(\gamma_{5} \partial^{\alpha_{1}} \dots \partial^{\alpha_{k}} S_{c}(q) \gamma_{5} \right)^{ij}_{ab} d^{b}_{j} : |\Omega\rangle.$$
(39)

The quark fields and their covariant derivatives have to be calculated at the origin, *i.e.* x = 0. From here we can go to higher-quark field derivatives or to higher orders in the perturbative propagator or to higher orders in the gluon field, which enters through the perturbative quark propagator. The quark fields are of mass dimension 3/2. Each covariant derivative and the gluon fields \tilde{A}_{μ} enlarge the mass dimension by one unit. Thus, working in the lowest order of the gluon fields, the following terms have to be considered up to mass dimension 5:

$$\Pi_{d}^{(2)}(q) = \Pi_{d,A}^{(2)}(q) + \Pi_{d,B}^{(2)}(q) + \Pi_{d,C}^{(2)}(q) + \Pi_{d,D}^{(2)}(q),$$
(40)

where the individual contributions are

$$\Pi_{d,A}^{(2)}(q) = \langle \Omega | : \bar{d}_i^a \left(\gamma_5 S_c^{(0)}(q) \gamma_5 \right)_{ab}^{ij} d_j^b : |\Omega\rangle, \tag{41}$$

$$\Pi_{d,B}^{(-)}(q) = -i\langle \Omega | : \left(\bar{d}\overleftarrow{D}_{\mu}\right)_{i}^{a} \left(\gamma_{5}\partial^{\mu}S_{c}^{(0)}(q)\gamma_{5}\right)_{ab}^{ij}d_{j}^{b} : |\Omega\rangle,$$
(42)

$$\Pi_{d,C}^{(2)}(q) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \Omega | : \left(\bar{d} \overleftarrow{D}_{\mu} \overleftarrow{D}_{\nu} \right)_{i}^{a} \left(\gamma_{5} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} S_{c}^{(0)}(q) \gamma_{5} \right)_{ab}^{ij} d_{j}^{b} : |\Omega\rangle, (43)$$

$$\Pi_{d,D}^{(2)}(q) = \langle \Omega | : \bar{d}_{i}^{a} \left(\gamma_{5} S_{c}^{(1)}(q) \gamma_{5} \right)_{ab}^{ij} d_{j}^{b} : |\Omega\rangle, \qquad (44)$$

where we note that the term (42) is not present in vacuum. In fig. 3, three corresponding Feynman diagrams are shown, but there is actually no one-to-one correspondence for the expressions (41)–(44) and Feynman diagrams. It becomes obvious, that the Wilson coefficients can be obtained on tree level, in contrast to Wilson coefficients for gluon condensate which are obtained on one-loop level.



Fig. 3. The first three Feynman diagrams corresponding to $\Pi_d^{(2)}$ in eq. (39). Solid lines depict the free quark propagator, dashed lines denote the *D*-meson, and curly lines stand for soft gluon fields; the crosses symbolize the creation or annihilation of either a gluon or a quark by virtual particles. The left diagram corresponds to the quark condensate $\langle : \overline{dd} : \rangle$, while the middle and right diagrams correspond to the mixed quark-gluon condensate $\langle : \overline{dg}\sigma \mathcal{G}d : \rangle$.

The calculations on tree level are straightforward, so present the result we just present the result:

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{d}^{(2)}(q) &= \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}d : |\Omega \rangle \frac{m_{c}}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} \\ &- \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} d: |\Omega \rangle \frac{2 m_{c} q^{\mu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \\ &- \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda} d: |\Omega \rangle \frac{q^{\lambda}}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} \\ &+ \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda} i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} d: |\Omega \rangle \left(\frac{2 q^{\mu} q^{\lambda}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{g^{\mu\lambda}}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \langle \Omega |: \bar{d} \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} d: |\Omega \rangle \left(\frac{m_{c} g^{\mu\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{4 m_{c} q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{3}} \right) \\ &+ \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} d: |\Omega \rangle \left(\frac{m_{c} g^{\mu\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{4 m_{c} q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{3}} \right) \\ &+ \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} d: |\Omega \rangle \\ &\times \left(\frac{4 q^{\mu} q^{\nu} q^{\lambda}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{3}} - \frac{q^{\mu} g^{\nu\lambda} + q^{\nu} g^{\mu\lambda} + q^{\lambda} g^{\mu\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \right) \\ &- \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}g_{s} \sigma \mathcal{G} d: |\Omega \rangle \frac{m_{c}}{2} \frac{1}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \\ &+ \langle \Omega |: \bar{d}g_{s}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} d: |\Omega \rangle \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} q_{\kappa}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \,. \end{split}$$
(45)

At this point of the evaluation we have to keep terms $\propto m_d$ (such terms appear when applying the equation of motion in some coondensates, *e.g.*, in fourth condensate the term proportional to metric tensor $g^{\mu\lambda}$) because they will be necessary to cancel the infrared divergences or give finite contributions to the correlator. The limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ will be taken in the next subsection after absorption of these infrared divergences.

2.5 The term $\Pi_c^{(2)}(q)$

Now we consider the term (27) which leads to charmed condensates. In order to show the similarity with the expression $\Pi_d^{(2)}(q)$ obtained in the previous subsection, we will keep the light-quark mass finite $m_d \neq 0$. The calculation of $\Pi_c^{(2)}(q)$ implies a tree level evaluation, and we just

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{c}^{(2)}(q) &= \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}c: |\Omega \rangle \frac{m_{d}}{q^{2} - m_{d}^{2}} \\ - \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}c: |\Omega \rangle \frac{2 m_{d} q^{\mu}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{2}} \\ + \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}\gamma_{\lambda}c: |\Omega \rangle \frac{q^{\lambda}}{q^{2} - m_{d}^{2}} \\ - \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}\gamma_{\lambda}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}c: |\Omega \rangle \left(\frac{2 q^{\mu} q^{\lambda}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{g^{\mu\lambda}}{q^{2} - m_{d}^{2}}\right) \\ + \langle \Omega |: \bar{c} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu}c: |\Omega \rangle \left(\frac{m_{d} g^{\mu\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{4 m_{d} q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{3}}\right) \\ - \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}\gamma_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu}c: |\Omega \rangle \\ \times \left(\frac{4 q^{\mu} q^{\nu} q^{\lambda}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{3}} - \frac{q^{\mu} g^{\nu\lambda} + q^{\nu} g^{\mu\lambda} + q^{\lambda} g^{\mu\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{2}}\right) \\ - \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}g_{s} \sigma \mathcal{G} c: |\Omega \rangle \frac{m_{d}}{2} \frac{1}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{2}} \\ - \langle \Omega |: \bar{c}g_{s}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}c: |\Omega \rangle \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} q_{\kappa}}{(q^{2} - m_{d}^{2})^{2}} \,. \end{split}$$
(46)

Obviously, there are no IR-divergent terms due to charmed condensates. In our study we will neglect all charmed condensates (46). This assumption can be justified as follows. According to the approximation of the in-medium state $|\Omega\rangle = |\text{vac}\rangle + \sum_k |\mathcal{N}(k)\rangle$, we can split the term (46) into a vacuum part and a nucleon part: $\Pi_c^{(2)} = \Pi_c^{(2) \text{ vac}} + \Pi_c^{(2) \text{ N}}$.

At first, let us consider the vacuum part: $\Pi_c^{(2) \text{ vac}}$. In vacuum, the only non-vanishing term in the limit $m_d \to 0$ reads

$$\Pi_{c}^{(2)\operatorname{vac}}(q) = -\langle \operatorname{vac}| : \bar{c}\gamma_{\lambda} \, i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}c : |\operatorname{vac}\rangle \left(\frac{2 \, q^{\mu} \, q^{\lambda}}{q^{4}} - \frac{g^{\mu\lambda}}{q^{2}}\right). \tag{47}$$

We insert the operator mixing relation (56) for the vacuum situation, and obtain the expression $\Pi_c^{(2) \text{ vac}}$ in terms of non–normal-ordered condensates

$$\Pi_{c}^{(2) \operatorname{vac}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{c}}{q^{2}} \left\langle \operatorname{vac} | \bar{c}c | \operatorname{vac} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{24 q^{2}} \left\langle \operatorname{vac} | \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2} | \operatorname{vac} \right\rangle - \frac{3}{8} \frac{m_{c}^{4}}{q^{2}} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{c}^{2}} + 1 \right), \quad (48)$$

Page 8 of 21

where we also have used the projection of the Lorentz structure for the vacuum case: $\langle \operatorname{vac} | \overline{c} \gamma_{\lambda} i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} c | \operatorname{vac} \rangle = \frac{m_c}{4} g_{\lambda\mu} \langle \operatorname{vac} | \overline{c} c | \operatorname{vac} \rangle$; cf. eq. (E.11) for the corresponding in-medium projection.

Now we apply the heavy-quark mass (HQM) expansion, which is an expansion of a heavy-quark condensate in inverse powers of the heavy-quark mass, and for the scalar charmed condensate in vacuum it is given by [42–44]:

$$\langle \operatorname{vac} | \overline{c}c | \operatorname{vac} \rangle = \frac{3}{4} m_c^3 \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_c^2} + 1 \right) \\ - \frac{1}{12m_c} \left\langle \operatorname{vac} | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 | \operatorname{vac} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(m_c^{-3} \right).$$
(49)

The idea behind the HQM expansion is that the interaction of the heavy quarks with the QCD vacuum mainly happens via gluon interactions, because the quark itself is too heavy to couple directly to a condensate. Hence, the heavy-quark condensates are expressed in terms of gluon condensates. Obviously, by inserting (49) into (48) we recognize that both the additional gluon condensate and the logarithmic term which appear in (48) are exactly cancelled by the HQM expansion. This statement of cancellation can also be found, *e.g.*, in [23].

Let us now consider the nucleon part: $\Pi_c^{(2) \text{ N}}$. The charmed condensates in a nucleon are negligibly small [45], since they contribute in nucleon only via virtual effects; in this respect, to generate an easily interpreted image we recall the Tamm-Dancoff approach [46–50] where the nucleon consists of a valence quark core of up and down quarks accompanied by a cloud of virtual mesons which accounts for the virtual sea quarks (*e.g.*, charm quarks) and gluons. Thus, we can neglect the in-medium charmed condensates. Finally, we note that it is almost certain for us that the described cancellation of charmed condensates in vacuum via HQM expansion can be generalized to the in-medium charmed condensates, but a detailed proof needs special care and would spoil the intention of our paper.

In the summary of this section, the complete OPE up to operators of mass dimension 5 and up to first non-trivial order in the coupling constant in terms of normal-ordered operators is given by eq. (24), where (25) is given by eqs. (32)–(38), and (26) is given by eq. (45); the term (27) is given by eq. (46) but will be neglected in our further investigation. Finally, the term (28) does not contribute at large $|q^2|$.

3 OPE in terms of non–normal-ordered operators

3.1 Absorption of IR divergences

In order to perform a consistent separation of scales, all the infrared divergences have to be absorbed into the condensates, which means that the coefficient functions are only determined by the short-distance behavior, while

the non-perturbative effects are encoded in the condensates. In [51-53] it has been shown that the Wilson coefficients are polynomial functions of the mass only when they are calculated in a minimal subtraction scheme. In contrast, normal-ordering is not a minimal subtraction scheme. Especially, by introducing normal-ordered condensates the IR-divergent terms appear explicitly in the Wilson coefficients. For a small-quark mass these IRdivergent terms are remnants of the long-distance part of the correlator and have to be absorbed into the condensates since they basically contain the long-distance part of the OPE. Therefore, we have to express the normalordered tree level condensates $\langle : \mathcal{O} : \rangle^{(0)}$ in terms of nonnormal-ordered condensates on one-loop level $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^{(1)}$. That means, the OPE in eq. (9) is re-expressed in terms of nonnormal-ordered operators:

$$\Pi(q) = C_0 \mathcal{I} + \sum_i C_i \langle \Omega | \mathcal{O}_i | \Omega \rangle^{(1)}.$$
 (50)

In the expansion (50) a proper separation of short- and long-distance contributions has been performed. Especially, the Wilson coefficients will be finite in the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass $m_d \rightarrow 0$, that means IR-stable. The Wilson coefficients in (50) have no bar, which indicates that they are Wilson coefficients of nonnormal-ordered operators on one-loop level. In consistency with (9), we have to take into account all operators up to mass dimension 5.

3.2 Operator mixing

In order to express the normal-ordered condensates by physical condensates, we note Wick's theorem for an equal-time operator product of two quark fields. In doing so we partly follow [44] to get

$$T \bar{q}(x) \mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] q(y) =$$

: $\bar{q}(x) \mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] q(y) : -i : Tr_{C,D} \left(\mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] S(y,x) \right) : . (51)$

Here, $\mathcal{O}[\vec{D}_{\mu}]$ denotes a function of covariant derivatives in respect to y, gluon fields, and Dirac structures. Now we set x = 0 in the expression (51) and insert a Fourier representation of the quark propagator:

$$T \bar{q}(0) \mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] q(y) =: \bar{q}(0) \mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] q(y) :$$
$$-i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} p}{\left(2 \pi\right)^{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-i p y} : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left(\mathcal{O} \left[-i p_{\mu} - i \tilde{A}_{\mu} \right] S(p) \right) :. (52)$$

Subsequently we take the limit $y \to 0, i.e.$ no time ordering anymore, and obtain the important relation

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] q | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q} \mathcal{O} \left[\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right] q : | \Omega \rangle - i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left(\mathcal{O} \left[-ip_{\mu} - i\tilde{A}_{\mu} \right] S(p) \right) : | \Omega \rangle, (53)$$

where we have also taken the expectation value. So far, the relation (53) has been applied to the in-medium case

in [34,35,54–58]. Here, $\mathcal{O}[-ip_{\mu} - i\tilde{A}_{\mu}]$ denotes the Fourier transformed operator function, where \tilde{A}_{μ} is defined by eq. (B.11). The derivatives are now contained in the gluon fields. Therefore, the ordering among the Lorentz indices is important as the field operators act on everything to the right. We define (53) as the relation between physical condensates and normal-ordered condensates. Renormalizing the physical condensates by absorbing the divergent terms of $\Pi_{G^2}^{(0)}$ on the r.h.s. of eq. (53) cancels out the infrared divergences and yields infrared stable Wilson coefficients. We note that the extension of operator mixing for the four-quark condensates can straightforward be obtained by very similar steps like (51)–(53).

Equation (53) is valid to any loop order of the corresponding Feynman diagrams. Here, for our purposes it will be sufficient to calculate the matrix elements only up to one-loop order, denoted by the index ⁽¹⁾. Up to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ the following set of relations has been obtained in $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme:

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q}q | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q}q : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)} + \frac{3}{4\pi^2} m_q^3 \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{12m_q} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)}, \tag{54}$$

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} g_s \, \sigma \, \mathcal{G} \, q | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q} g_s \, \sigma \, \mathcal{G} \, q : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2} m_q \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} \, \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \, G^2 : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)},$$
 (55)

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} i D_{\nu} q | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} i D_{\nu} q : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)} + \frac{3}{16\pi^2} m_q^4 g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right) - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{48} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)} + \frac{1}{18} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{v_{\mu} v_{\nu}}{v^2} \right) \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \times \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)},$$
(56)

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \, i \, D_{\mu} \, i \, D_{\nu} \, q | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q} \, i \, D_{\mu} \, i \, D_{\nu} \, q : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)}$$

$$+ \frac{3m_q^5}{16\pi^2} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{m_q}{16} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \, G^2 : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)}$$

$$- \frac{m_q}{36} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{v_{\mu} v_{\nu}}{v^2} \right) \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + \frac{2}{3} \right)$$

$$\times \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)}.$$
(57)

In appendix D, relation (57) is proven in some detail. Furthermore, we notice the relations

$$\langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)},$$

$$\langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} =$$

$$\langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)},$$

$$(59)$$

because these operators are already of order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$. The self-consistency of relations (56) and (57) with relations (54) and (55) can easily be verified by a contraction with the metric tensor and with the aid of the equation of motion (A.3) and relation (A.5), respectively.

The relations for the scalar operators (54) and (55) are identical to the relations for the vacuum condensates and were given, for instance, in [26, 29, 40]; in vacuum higher orders of (54) were obtained in [26]. For the other condensates which vanish in vacuum we obtain of course $\langle \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q \rangle^{(1)} = \langle : \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q : \rangle^{(0)}, \langle \bar{q}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}q \rangle^{(1)} = \langle : \bar{q}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}q : \rangle^{(0)},$ and $\langle \bar{q}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}G_{\mu\nu}q \rangle^{(1)} = \langle : \bar{q}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}G_{\mu\nu}q : \rangle^{(0)}.$

From now on we drop the label $^{(1)}$, *i.e.* non-normalordered condensates are always on one-loop level throughout the work. We note, that a scale μ naturally appears when the non-normal-ordered condensates are introduced. and they would even not be well defined without μ . The μ scale is an IR cutoff which marks the region between perturbative and non-perturbative physics. Hence, any sensitivity to the light-quark mass must be accounted for by a redefinition of the condensates. This resembles the case of parton distribution functions (PDFs) in deep inelastic scattering. The next-to-leading-order calculations are IR divergent which can be accounted for by a redefined PDFs. As a consequence the PDFs become scale dependent which gives rise to the famous DGLAP (Dokshitzer, Gribov, Lipatov, Altarelli, Parisi) equations [59–61]. We underline that a careful application of these operator mixing relations (54)-(59) is mandatory for a consistent treatment of the OPE if one internal quark mass of the currents is much smaller than the confinement scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$.

3.3 Insertion of operator mixing

By inserting eqs. (54)–(59) into eq. (45) we obtain $\Pi_d^{(2)}(q)$ in terms of non–normal-ordered condensates:

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{d}^{(2)}(q) &= \langle \Omega | \bar{d}d | \Omega \rangle \frac{m_{c}}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} - \langle \Omega | \bar{d}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}d | \Omega \rangle \frac{2m_{c}q^{\mu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \\ &- \langle \Omega | \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda}d | \Omega \rangle \frac{q^{\lambda}}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} + \langle \Omega | \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}d | \Omega \rangle 2 \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\lambda}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \\ &- \langle \Omega | \bar{d} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu}d | \Omega \rangle 4 \frac{m_{c}q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{3}} \\ &+ \langle \Omega | \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu}d | \Omega \rangle 4 \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}q^{\lambda}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{3}} \\ &- \langle \Omega | \bar{d}g_{s}\gamma_{\alpha}\sigma\mathcal{G}d | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_{\alpha}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \\ &+ \langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}G^{2} | \Omega \rangle \left(\frac{1}{12} \frac{m_{c}}{m_{d}} \frac{1}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \frac{m_{c}^{2}}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}} \\ &- \langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4} \right) | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{(q^{2} - m_{c}^{2})^{2}} \\ &\times \left(q^{2} - 4 \frac{(vq)^{2}}{v^{2}} \right) \left(\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{d}^{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \right). \end{split}$$
(60)

Page 10 of 21 $\,$

For the mixed quark-gluon condensate (next to the last term in eq. (45)) we have used relation (A.5), while for the mixed quark-gluon condensate which contains γ_5 (last term in eq. (45)) we have used the relation (E.22) which can be shown to be valid for an arbitrary four-vector. Special care is needed when applying the equation of motion (A.3) to some condensates in (45) and neglecting terms $\propto m_d$, because the operator mixing may introduce terms which cancel out factors of m_d . For instance, this is the case for $\langle : \bar{d}\gamma_\lambda \vec{D}_\mu d : \rangle$; contraction with the metric tensor and application of equation of motion (A.3) results in a term $\propto m_d \langle : \bar{d}d : \rangle$, which, however, remains finite and non-zero after operator mixing. Thus, we cannot neglect such terms.

Here, we emphasize that the both IR-divergent terms $\propto m_d^{-1}$ and $\propto \ln m_d$ in eq. (60) will cancel the corresponding IR-divergent terms in (38). This cancellation will be the topic of the next section.

4 OPE in the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass

A sensitivity to light-quark mass signals a sensitivity to very small quark and gluon momenta. Physically such momenta are cut off by confinement, *i.e.* at a scale of $A_{\rm QCD} \simeq 245 \,{\rm MeV}$, and not by the light current quark mass at a few MeV scale. Hence, any sensitivity to the quark mass must be accounted for by a redefinition of the condensates. Moreover, the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ is important, since it demonstrates the cancellation of all IR-divergences in (38) and (60), which is a fundamental feature for the consistency of the whole approach.

In order to calculate the complete OPE for the Dmeson in medium with infrared stable Wilson coefficients in terms of non-normal-ordered condensates we have to add the perturbative part (32), the expressions (38) by virtue of eqs. (58) and (59) and the terms in eq. (60). We emphasize the cancellation of the IR-divergent term $\propto \ln(m_d^2)$ in the Wilson coefficient of the medium-specific gluon condensate and the IR-divergent term $\propto m_d^{-1}$ in the Wilson coefficient of the gluon condensate. This important result of cancellation of IR-divergences due operator mixing (53) has been demonstrated at the first time in [54] for in-medium D-mesons at rest. In a more comprehensive analysis this result has been extended to the case of in-medium D-mesons with finite three-momenta in [55].

Now we will take the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ which shows explicitly that the complete OPE in terms of non-normalordered condensates is infrared stable. The complete projections with respect to Dirac and color indices of the condensates onto invariant structures are given in appendix E. By means of these projections, we obtain up to mass dimension 5:

$$\begin{split} \Pi(q) &= \Pi^{\text{pert}}(q) + \langle \Omega | \bar{d}d | \Omega \rangle \frac{m_c}{q^2 - m_c^2} \\ - \langle \Omega | \bar{d}g \sigma \mathcal{G}d | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_c q^2}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^3} - \langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} \end{split}$$

Eur. Phys. J. A (2011) 47: 151

$$+ \langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v \, G)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) | \Omega \rangle \left(q^2 - 4 \frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) \\ \times \left(- \frac{7}{54} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} - \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_c^2} \right) \\ - \frac{2}{9} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \right) \\ - \langle \Omega | \bar{d} \hat{v} \frac{(vi \overrightarrow{D})}{v^2} d | \Omega \rangle \left(q^2 - 4 \frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \\ - \langle \Omega | \bar{d} \hat{v} (v \overrightarrow{D})^2 d | \Omega \rangle \frac{vq}{v^4} \left(q^2 - 2 \frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) 4 \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^3} \\ + \langle \Omega | \bar{d} \hat{v} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} d | \Omega \rangle \frac{vq}{v^2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{q^2 - \frac{(vq)^2}{v^2}}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^3} - \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \right) \\ - \langle \Omega | \bar{d} \hat{v} d | \Omega \rangle \frac{vq}{v^2} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} \\ + \left[\frac{1}{3} \langle \Omega | \bar{d} \frac{(v \overrightarrow{D})^2}{v^2} d | \Omega \rangle - \frac{1}{24} \langle \Omega | \bar{d} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} d | \Omega \rangle \right] \\ \times \left(q^2 - 4 \frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) 4 \frac{m_c}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^3} .$$
 (61)

The angled brackets in the last term indicate that this combination vanishes in vacuum, thus denotes a mediumspecific part: applying vacuum projections to this medium specific term makes it zero in the vacuum limit. Numerical values of the condensates in (61) are given in [34]. The IR-stable OPE in eq. (61) is the main result of our investigation. It is valid for a meson which the four-momentum $q^{\mu} = (q_0, \mathbf{q})$, while the surrounding medium has a fourvelocity $v^{\mu} = (1, \boldsymbol{v})$ in respect to a given frame.

Needless to say, in the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ the OPE simplifies considerably. But we emphasize that the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ is not performed because such terms are small anyway or in order to simplify the OPE, instead this limit is necessary from physical reasons: the dependence of the correlator from the light-quark mass m_d signals a sensitivity to very small momenta, which are cut off by confinement, that means at a scale of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, which is much larger than the light-quark mass. Thus only the OPE in the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass is meaningful from the physical point of view.

5 OPE for a meson at rest with respect to the medium

In the previous section we have presented the OPE for the general case $q^{\mu} = (q_0, \boldsymbol{q})$. However, for many investigations concerning in-medium properties of *D*-mesons it will be sufficient to consider the *D*-mesons at rest with respect to the medium they are embedded in. Therefore, we will also consider the special case $q^{\mu} = (q_0, \boldsymbol{0})$; furthermore, we choose a frame comoving with the medium $v^{\mu} = (1, \boldsymbol{0})$. Eur. Phys. J. A (2011) 47: 151

We present the OPE separated into an even part and an odd part: $\Pi(q_0) = \Pi^{\text{even}}(q_0^2) + q_0 \Pi^{\text{odd}}(q_0^2)$. Furthermore, we perform an analytical continuation $q_0 = i \omega$. Then, the even part of the IR-stable OPE for $m_d \to 0$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{\text{even}}(\omega) &= C_0(\omega) - \langle \Omega | \bar{d} d | \Omega \rangle \frac{m_c}{\omega^2 + m_c^2} \\ &- \langle \Omega | \bar{d} g_s \, \sigma \mathcal{G} \, d | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{m_c \, \omega^2}{(\omega^2 + m_c^2)^3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} \, \langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \, G^2 | \Omega \rangle \, \frac{1}{\omega^2 + m_c^2} \\ &- \langle \Omega | \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) | \Omega \rangle \\ &\times \left(\frac{7}{18} + \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_c^2} + \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\frac{m_c^2}{\omega^2 + m_c^2} \right) \right) \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega^2 + m_c^2)^2} \\ &- 2 \langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} i \overrightarrow{D}_0 d | \Omega \rangle \, \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega^2 + m_c^2)^2} \\ &- 4 \left[\langle \Omega | \overline{d} \overrightarrow{D}_0^2 d | \Omega \rangle - \langle \Omega | \frac{1}{8} \overline{d} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} d | \Omega \rangle \right] \frac{m_c \omega^2}{(\omega^2 + m_c^2)^3} \,. \ (62) \end{split}$$

Note that the angled brackets in the last term denote that this combination vanishes in vacuum, thus denotes a medium-specific part which is absent in vacuum. The odd part of the IR-stable OPE for $m_d \rightarrow 0$ is given by:

$$\Pi^{\text{odd}}(\omega) = \langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} d | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{\omega^2 + m_c^2} +4 \langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} \overrightarrow{D}_0^2 d | \Omega \rangle \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega^2 + m_c^2)^3} - \langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} g_s \, \sigma \, \mathcal{G} \, d | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + m_c^2)^2} \,.$$
(63)

The OPE is an asymptotic series. As such it must be truncated at a certain mass dimension and, therefore, takes into account a finite number of operators. One way to deal with asymptotic series is to perform a Borel transformation which suppresses the effect of higher mass dimensional operators. The Borel transformation of a function $f(Q^2)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}\left[f\left(M^{2}\right)\right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{Q^{2} \to n M^{2}} \frac{\left(Q^{2}\right)^{n+1}}{n!} \left(-\frac{d}{dQ^{2}}\right)^{n} f\left(Q^{2}\right), \qquad (64)$$

where the parameter M is the so-called Borel mass. Applying the Borel transformation to the even part (62) we

Page 11 of 21

obtain

$$\mathcal{B}\left[\Pi^{\text{even}}(\omega^{2})\right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{m_{c}^{2}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{e}^{-s/M^{2}} \,\mathrm{Im}\,\Pi^{\text{pert}}(s) \\ + \mathrm{e}^{-m_{c}^{2}/M^{2}} \left(-m_{c}\langle\Omega|\overline{d}d|\Omega\rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m_{c}^{3}}{2M^{4}} - \frac{m_{c}}{M^{2}}\right)\langle\Omega|\overline{d}\,g_{s}\,\sigma\,\mathcal{G}\,d|\Omega\rangle + \frac{1}{12}\,\langle\Omega|\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\,G^{2}|\Omega\rangle \\ + \left[\left(\frac{7}{18} + \frac{1}{3}\,\ln\frac{\mu^{2}\,m_{c}^{2}}{M^{4}} - \frac{2}{3}\,\gamma_{E}\right)\left(\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M^{2}} - 1\right) - \frac{2}{3}\,\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] \\ \times \langle\Omega|\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\left(\frac{(vG)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4}\right)|\Omega\rangle \\ + 2\left(\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M^{2}} - 1\right)\langle\Omega|d^{\dagger}\,i\,\overline{D}_{0}\,d|\Omega\rangle \\ + 4\left(\frac{m_{c}^{3}}{2M^{4}} - \frac{m_{c}}{M^{2}}\right)\left[\langle\Omega|\overline{d}\,\overline{D}_{0}^{2}\,d|\Omega\rangle \\ - \langle\Omega|\frac{1}{8}\,\overline{d}\,g_{s}\,\sigma\,\mathcal{G}\,d|\Omega\rangle\right]\right), \tag{65}$$

while for the odd part (63) we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}\left[\Pi^{\text{odd}}(\omega^{2})\right] = e^{-m_{c}^{2}/M^{2}} \left(\langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} d | \Omega \rangle - 4 \left(\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{2 M^{4}} - \frac{1}{M^{2}} \right) \langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} \overrightarrow{D}_{0}^{2} d | \Omega \rangle - \frac{1}{M^{2}} \langle \Omega | d^{\dagger} g_{s} \sigma \mathcal{G} d | \Omega \rangle \right).$$

$$(66)$$

This Borel transformed OPE has been presented in [34,35] for *D*-mesons in medium, however no further details about how to arrive at this correct OPE have been presented; so far a detailed presentation can only be found in [54] and in the more extensive study [55], where the approach of operator mixing and cancellation of IR-divergences for the vacuum case [26, 29, 30] has been generalized to the in-medium case.

6 Comparison with the literature

Even the OPE for *D*-mesons in vacuum is not as trivial as the common belief might be. This can be illustrated, for instance, by a brief review of the history about the different results obtained for the Wilson coefficient of the condensate $\langle vac | g_s \overline{d} \sigma \mathcal{G} d | vac \rangle$. The first attempt to calculate this coefficient was done in [62], where a wrong factor -1/4 has been presented. At the first time, the correct result -1/2 has been presented in [21], where the needed correction of [62] was explicitly mentioned. Later, in [63] a wrong factor +1/4 has been given. The correct result in ref. [21] has later been confirmed in [29,64]. But this was not the end of the story. In [65] a wrong factor has been given again, which has been corrected later in [66] by the same author, explicitly mentioning the needed corrections Page 12 of 21 $\,$

	$\langle \Omega \overline{d} d \Omega \rangle$	$\langle \Omega \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \Omega \rangle$	$\langle \Omega \overline{d} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} d \Omega angle$
Equation (65)	$-m_c$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{M^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_c^2}{M^2} \right) m_c$
Reference [31]	$-m_c$	$\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{m_c^2}{M^2}$	
Reference [32]	$-m_c$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{M^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_c^2}{M^2} \right) m_c$
Reference [64]	$-m_c$	$\frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_c^2}{M^2} \right)$	$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{M^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_c^2}{M^2} \right) m_c$

Table 1. Comparison of scalar condensates of the OPE given by eq. (65) with refs. [31,32,64]. In [31] there was no scalar mixed condensate, because only operators up to mass dimension 4 were taken into account.

Table 2. Comparison of tensorial condensates of OPE given by eq. (65) with ref. [31].

	$\langle \Omega \overline{d}^{\dagger} i \overline{D}_{0} d \Omega \rangle$	$\langle arOmega rac{lpha_s}{\pi} \left(rac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - rac{G^2}{4} ight) arOmega angle$
Equation (65)	$2\left(\frac{m_c^2}{M^2} - 1\right)$	$\left(\frac{7}{18} + \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2 m_c^2}{M^4} - \frac{2}{3} \gamma_E\right) \left(\frac{m_c^2}{M^2} - 1\right) - \frac{2}{3} \frac{m_c^2}{M^2}$
Reference [31]	$2\left(\frac{m_c^2}{M^2} - 1\right)$	$\frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{m_c^2}{M^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_c^6}{M^6} + \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{M^2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{m_c^2}{4 \pi \mu^2} \right) \right]$
		$\left. + \mathrm{e}^{m_c^2/M^2} \left(-2\gamma_E - \ln\frac{m_c^2}{M^2} + \int_0^{m_c^2/M^2} dt\frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}{t} \right) \right]$

in refs. [63, 65]. This brief survey of history shows that the calculation of the OPE for *D*-mesons needs special care, and even more for the in-medium case.

So far, in-medium QSR for *D*-mesons were given in [31-33] and in our own investigations [34,35,54,55]. References [32] and [33] are from the same author(s) and the given results agree with each other. Furthermore, in a recent study [64] the *D*-mesons in vacuum were considered, but since the Wilson coefficients of scalar condensates in vacuum and in medium are the same we can compare the results. Thus, at the moment being a comparison of our results is meaningful with the findings of refs. [31, 32, 64].

6.1 Scalar part of the OPE for D-mesons in medium

In table 1 we compare our results for scalar condensates in medium with the corresponding results of refs. [31, 32, 64]. Here, we list the Borel transformed Wilson coefficients $c_{\mathcal{O}}/e^{-m_c^2/M^2}$. Obviously, there are serious disagreements of the findings in [31,64] to our results, while the results of ref. [32] do agree. It seemed to us that the wrong Wilson coefficient for the scalar gluon condensate in [31, 64] is either caused by a missing sign in an intermediate step somewhere in their calculation or because of an incorrect operator mixing in refs. [31, 64].

6.2 Tensor part of the OPE for D-mesons in medium

In table 2, we compare the tensor part of the in-medium OPE with the literature. As before, we list the Borel transformed Wilson coefficients $c_{\mathcal{O}}/e^{-m_c^2/M^2}$. We have found a serious disagreement between our results and the findings of ref. [31]. Unfortunately, it is impossible to compare our

results directly with the ones given in [32, 33], as it is somehow hidden in their work. We could not understand in detail how the authors of [32, 33] have treated the IR singularities. However, for the unprojected OPE and before introducing physical condensates such a comparison is possible. That means we compare our result (45) with [32], although this is less meaningful, because many calculations still have to be done from this point on. We have found an agreement of (45) with [32] to a large extent, except a slight disagreement of a factor $\frac{1}{3}$ in the Wilson coefficient of the condensate $\langle: \bar{d}\gamma_{\lambda}\sigma \mathcal{G}d :\rangle$, which emerges from $\langle: \bar{d} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu}d :\rangle$ and $\langle: \bar{d}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}d :\rangle$ by means of the equation of motion (A.3); for further details see [55].

Finally, we note that for the odd part of the in-medium OPE a comparison of our result with [31] is also not possible, because it is not clear whether the odd part has been considered in [31] or not; note there is no odd part in vacuum, hence a comparison with [64] is impossible. Furthermore, in [32,33] the OPE is given in terms of normal-ordered condensates which implies IR-divergent Wilson coefficients and makes a comparison difficult.

In summary, we come to the conclusion that the OPE for in-medium D-mesons has not been determined correctly, except by the presentations given in [34,35] where, however, no further details of the involved calculations have been given; so far, such details were only presented in [54] and in the more detailed analysis [55].

7 Summary

We have determined the in-medium OPE, at first nontrivial order in powers of the QCD coupling constant and including all operators up to mass dimension 5, for heavy-light-quark pseudoscalar mesons: D-mesons and B-mesons. We have outlined that it is sufficient to consider the OPE just for D^+ mesons, since all other OPE's of heavy-light-quark pseudoscalar mesons can easily be deduced from that result.

So far, in-medium QSR for D-mesons are fairly rare [31–35, 54–57], and the OPE in these works differ significantly. Especially, the applied OPE in [31–33] is incorrect. From our view, the reason for this fact is that the derivation of the correct OPE turns out to be an ambitious assignment of a task. Accordingly, it is timely to present the derivation of the OPE for D-mesons in matter in some detail.

First, we have determined the OPE with the aid of Wick's theorem, leading to an OPE in terms of normalordered condensates, see eq. (9). The complete OPE up to operators of mass dimension 5 in terms of normal-ordered condensates is given by eq. (24) and eq. (29): the term Π^{pert} by eqs. (32) and (33), the term $\Pi_{G^2}^{(0)}$ by eq. (38) and the term $\Pi_d^{(2)}$ by eq. (45). We also have determined $\Pi_c^{(2)}$ given by eq. (46) which contains charmed condensates, but we have argued why these charmed condensates can be neglected. The Wilson coefficients of OPE (9) are IRdivergent, that means they are infinite in the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass, $m_d \rightarrow 0$.

It has been described in detail that a consistent treatment of the OPE is obtained in terms of non-normalordered condensates, see eq. (50). The relation between normal-ordered condensates and non-normal-ordered condensates is given by eq. (53) to any loop order. We have determined explicitly the relations among these condensates to one-loop order, that is nothing else but the operator mixing under finite renormalization, see eqs. (54)-(59). By means of these relations we have obtained the OPE with IR-stable Wilson coefficients which allows the limit of a vanishing light-quark mass.

The result of an OPE in the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ is given by eq. (61), which is valid for moving *D*-mesons with respect to the surrounding medium, first obtained in [55]. The OPE in eq. (61) is the main result of our investigation. The important case of *D*-mesons at rest with respect to the medium simplifies the OPE considerably and is given by eqs. (62) and (63), and has first been obtained in [54].

The OPE for a D-meson at rest has been compared with other OPE's used so far in the literature. Remarkably, we have found that some theoretical investigations have used seriously incorrect expressions for the OPE of D-mesons in matter. The aim of our investigation is, therefore, to present a more detailed analysis about how to obtain the correct OPE of D-mesons in medium. We hope that our investigation will support the correctness of prospective theoretical studies.

The authors would like to thank for fruitful discussions with Prof. Laszlo P. Csernai, Prof. Stefan Leupold, Dr. Matthias Lutz, Dr. Ronny Thomas and Prof. Wolfram Weise. The authors are greatful to Dr. David John Broadhurst for valuable discussions and for his great kindness in 2005 to send a copy of the Ph.D. Thesis of S.C. Generalis. One of the authors (SZ) thanks for the pleasant stay at Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf in 2005, and for the kind hospitality at the Department of Physics and Technology and the Bergen Computational Physics Laboratory of Unifob at the University of Bergen/Norway in 2006 where parts of the work were done. He also thanks for financial support by EU fund Hadron-Physics Integrated Infrastructure Initiative.

Appendix A. Notation and conventions

In this appendix we briefly define the basic quantities and conventions used throughout this work. Our expressions are obtained within the framework of [67]. Contravariant four-vectors $q^{\mu} = (q_0, \boldsymbol{q})$, covariant four-vectors $q_{\mu} = (q_0, -\boldsymbol{q})$ in Minkowski space. The Lagrangian density of QCD reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \overline{\Psi}^a \left(i \, \gamma^\mu \overrightarrow{D}^{ab}_\mu - M \, \delta^{ab} \right) \Psi^b -\frac{1}{4} \, G^A_{\mu\nu} \, G^{A\,\mu\nu}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $M = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s, m_c, m_b, m_t)$ is the matrix of current quark mass, the quark field flavors are $\Psi = (u, d, s, c, b, t)^{\text{T}}$, and the covariant derivative

$$\vec{D}^{ab}_{\mu}(x) = \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{I}^{ab} - ig_s \mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\mu}(x), \qquad (A.2)$$

with $g_s = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha_s}$ being the strong coupling constant, and \mathcal{I}^{ab} is the unit matrix in color space. The notation \overrightarrow{D} means not a vector but denotes that the partial derivative acts on the field to the right, vice versa \overleftarrow{D} acts on the field to the left. The gluon fields are $\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\mu\nu} = A^A_{\mu} t^A_{ab}$ and the gluon field strength tensor $\mathcal{G}^{ab}_{\mu\nu} = G^A_{\mu\nu} t^A_{ab}$; recall the use of Einstein convention. Here, $t^A_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^A_{ab}$ are the generators of $SU(N_c)$ where $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors and λ^A_{ab} are the Gell-Mann matrices. The Lorentz indices are denoted by greek indices $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, the color indices are denoted by small letters a, b = 1, 2, 3, and the Gell-Mann index is denoted by a capital letter $A = 1, \ldots, 8$. Finally, we note the equation of motion for quark and gluon fields:

$$\gamma^{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} q^{a} = -i \, m_{q} \, q^{a}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\overrightarrow{D}^{\mu}_{AB} G^B_{\mu\nu} = g_s \sum_f \overline{q}_f \gamma_\nu t^A q_f.$$
(A.4)

We also note the needed relation

$$\vec{D}^2 \Psi = \left(\hat{\vec{D}}\,\hat{\vec{D}}\,+\frac{1}{2}\,g_s\,\sigma\,\mathcal{G}\right)\Psi = \left(\frac{1}{2}\,g_s\,\sigma\,\mathcal{G}\,-m^2\right)\Psi\,,\quad(A.5)$$

where $\overrightarrow{D}^2 = g^{\mu\nu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu}$. Furthermore, we use $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}] = i(\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu})$, where the metric tensor is $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$, and for the Levi-Civita symbol we use the convention $\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\sigma} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu}{}^{\tau} = 6 g^{\sigma\tau}$ with $\epsilon_{1234} = +1$.

Appendix B. Background field method in Fock-Schwinger gauge

The OPE is calculated using the background field method [23] by applying Wick's theorem to the currentcurrent correlator and employing the Fock-Schwinger gauge

$$(x^{\mu} - x_0^{\mu}) \mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x) = 0, \qquad (B.1)$$

first introduced in Quantum Electrodynamics [68, 69] for the photon fields, and later extended to QCD [23, 67, 70] for the gluon fields. Usually one chooses the frame $x_0^{\mu} = 0$. Very detailed aspects about translational invariance and the employed gauge can especially be found in [67]. One decisive advantage of Fock-Schwinger gauge is, that the gluon fields can be expressed in terms of the gluon field strength tensor

$$\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\mu}(x) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\alpha \, \alpha \, x^{\nu} \, \mathcal{G}^{ab}_{\nu\mu}(\alpha \, x). \tag{B.2}$$

This relation allows for a considerable simplification of the calculations. Furthermore, the Fock-Schwinger gauge allows to express partial derivatives of quark and gluon fields by covariant derivatives. Accordingly, a covariant expansion for gluon and quark fields in Fock-Schwinger gauge is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^{ab}_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\nu}}{k!(k+2)} x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k} \left(\overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha_1} \dots \overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha_k} \mathcal{G}^{ab}_{\nu\mu} \right)_{x=0},$$
(B.3)

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k} \left(\overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha_1} \dots \overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha_k} \Psi \right)_{x=0}, \qquad (B.4)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k} \left(\overline{\Psi} \overleftarrow{D}_{\alpha_k} \dots \overleftarrow{D}_{\alpha_1} \right)_{x=0}.$$
(B.5)

The propagator of a quark in a weak gluonic background field in coordinate space is given by eq. (30).

We will now give a closed expression for the quark propagator in momentum space which can be calculated directly from eq. (30) by introducing the Fourier transforms of the quark propagator and the gluon field:

$$S(p) = \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, p \, x} \, S(x, 0),$$

$$\tilde{S}(p) = \int d^4 x \, e^{-i \, p \, x} \, S(0, x),$$
(B.6)

$$A_{\mu}(p) = \int d^4x \, e^{i \, p \, x} \, A_{\mu}(x). \tag{B.7}$$

For the Fourier transform of gluon fields (B.7) we obtain

$$A_{\mu}(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{k+1} \frac{(2\pi)^4}{k!(k+2)} \left(\overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha_1} \dots \overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha_k} \mathcal{G}_{\rho\mu}(0) \right) \\ \times \left(\partial^{\rho} \partial^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial^{\alpha_k} \delta^{(4)}(p) \right), \tag{B.8}$$

where the partial derivatives are with respect to fourmomentum. By repeated partial integration and careful consideration of partial derivatives acting on Dirac's delta distribution, one can confirm the following form for the quark propagator eq. (30) in momentum space:

$$S(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S^{(k)}(p),$$
(B.9)

$$S^{(\kappa)}(p) = (-1)^{\kappa} S^{(0)}(p) \times \underbrace{\left(\gamma \tilde{A}\right) S^{(0)}(p) \times \ldots \times S^{(0)}(p) \left(\gamma \tilde{A}\right)}_{\mathbf{k}} S^{(0)}(p), \quad (B.10)$$

where \tilde{A} is a derivative operator which naturally emerges during the Fourier transform and is defined as

$$\tilde{A}_{\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{\mu}^{(k)},$$
(B.11)
$$\tilde{A}_{\mu}^{(k)} = -\frac{(-i)^{k+1}g_s}{k!(k+2)} \times \left(\vec{D}_{\alpha_1} \dots \vec{D}_{\alpha_k} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}(0)\right) \partial^{\nu} \partial^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial^{\alpha_k}.$$
(B.12)

Due to the Fock-Schwinger gauge, the quark propagator (30) does not obey translational invariance and, hence, is not a function of the coordinate differences and cannot be written as S(x, y) = S(x - y) [67]. However, after performing the Fourier transformation, the difference between S(x, 0) and S(0, y) is merely manifested by the operators \tilde{A}_{μ} acting on the terms to the right or to the left of them and the equality can be read off.

For the OPE up to mass dimension 5, only the first three terms in the sum of eq. (B.9) became relevant, and are given by (n is either c or d)

$$S_n^{(0)}(p) = \frac{\hat{p} + m_n}{p^2 - m_n^2} \,\delta^{ab},\tag{B.13}$$

$$S_n^{(1)}(p) = i \frac{g_s}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(0) S_n^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\mu} S_n^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\nu} S_n^{(0)}(p), (B.14)$$

$$S_n^{(2)}(p) = \left(i\frac{g_s}{2}\right)^2 \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^{ac}(0) \mathcal{G}_{\kappa\lambda}^{cb}(0) T_n^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}(p), \qquad (B.15)$$

where we have defined the tensor

$$T_{n}^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}(p) = S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\mu} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\nu} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\kappa} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\lambda} +S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\mu} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\kappa} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\nu} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\lambda} +S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\mu} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\kappa} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\lambda} S_{n}^{(0)}(p) \gamma^{\nu}.$$
(B.16)

Appendix C. The terms (35)-(37)

The evaluation of the gluonic expressions (35)-(37) is involved and leads to intricate expressions. We therefore would like to give some basic steps about how to arrive at the final expression given by eq. (38), including

the needed approximations. First, by inserting the expressions (B.13)-(B.15) into (35)-(37) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{G^{2,A}}(q) &= \\ -\left(\frac{ig_s}{2}\right)^2 \frac{\delta_{AB}}{2} \left\langle \Omega \right| : G^A_{\mu\nu} \, G^B_{\kappa\lambda} : \left| \Omega \right\rangle \, \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{\left(2\pi\right)^4} \, \mathrm{Tr}_\mathrm{D} \\ &\times \left(\gamma_5 \, S^{(0)}_c(p) \, \gamma^\mu \, S^{(0)}_c(p) \, \gamma^\nu \, S^{(0)}_c(p) \gamma_5 \right. \\ &\times S^{(0)}_d(p-q) \, \gamma^\kappa \, S^{(0)}_d(p-q) \, \gamma^\lambda \, S^{(0)}_d(p-q) \right), \quad (\mathrm{C.1}) \end{split}$$

$$\Pi^{G^{2,B}}(q) = -i\left(\frac{ig_s}{2}\right)^2 \frac{\delta_{AB}}{2} \langle \Omega | : G^A_{\mu\nu} G^B_{\kappa\lambda} : |\Omega\rangle$$

$$\times \int \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\gamma_5 T_c^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}(p) \gamma_5 S_d^{(0)}(p-q)\right), \quad (\mathrm{C.2})$$

$$\Pi^{G^{2,C}}(q) = -i\left(\frac{ig_s}{2}\right)^2 \frac{\delta_{AB}}{2} \langle \Omega | : G^A_{\mu\nu} G^B_{\kappa\lambda} : |\Omega\rangle$$
$$\times \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\gamma_5 S^{(0)}_c(p+q) \gamma_5 T^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}_d(p)\right), \quad (C.3)$$

where the trace over color-indices has been performed, *i.e.* Tr_D denotes the trace over Dirac-indices only, which are evaluated by means of the algebraic software HIP [71]. Finally, we are left with the following four basic integrals:

$$\begin{split} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left[(p-q)^{2}+m_{d}^{2}\right]^{n}} \left[p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right]^{k}} = \\ \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-2)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(k)} I_{n-1,k-1,n+k-2}(q^{2},m_{d}^{2},m_{c}^{2}), \quad (\mathrm{C.4}) \\ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{p_{\mu}}{\left[(p-q)^{2}+m_{d}^{2}\right]^{n}} \left[p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right]^{k}} = \\ \frac{q_{\mu}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-2)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(k)} I_{n,k-1,n+k-2}(q^{2},m_{d}^{2},m_{c}^{2}), \quad (\mathrm{C.5}) \\ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{\left[(p-q)^{2}+m_{d}^{2}\right]^{n}} \left[p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right]^{k}} = \\ \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-3)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(k)} I_{n-1,k-1,n+k-3}(q^{2},m_{d}^{2},m_{c}^{2}) \\ + \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-2)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(k)} I_{n+1,k-1,n+k-2}(q^{2},m_{d}^{2},m_{c}^{2}), \quad (\mathrm{C.6}) \\ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}p_{\kappa}}{\left[(p-q)^{2}+m_{d}^{2}\right]^{n}} \left[p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right]^{k}} = \\ \frac{g_{\mu\nu}q_{\kappa}+g_{\mu\kappa}q_{\nu}+g_{\nu\kappa}q_{\mu}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-3)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(k)} \\ \times I_{n,k-1,n+k-3}(q^{2},m_{d}^{2},m_{c}^{2}) \\ + \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}q_{\kappa}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-2)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(k)} \\ \times I_{n+2,k-1,n+k-2}(q^{2},m_{d}^{2},m_{c}^{2}), \quad (\mathrm{C.7}) \end{split}$$

Page 15 of 21

where $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t \, t^{x-1} \, \mathrm{e}^{-t}$ is the gamma function, and the master integral reads

$$I_{i,j,k}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \, \frac{\alpha^i \, (1-\alpha)^j}{\left[\alpha(1-\alpha) \, q^2 + \alpha \, m_d^2 + (1-\alpha) \, m_c^2\right]^k} \,.$$
(C.8)

Needless to say that these integrals lead to rather cumbersome expressions for finite mass m_d and m_c . On the other hand, it is not possible to put them to zero since the integrals are IR-divergent, which means they contain terms like m_d^{-1} and $\ln m_d$. In order to extract the corresponding terms $\propto m_d^{-1}$ one has to multiply the integral with the lowest power n of m_d that gives a finite result for the mass going to zero. What remains is the corresponding coefficient for the m_d^{-n} term. All the other coefficients can be obtained by taking suitable derivatives of $m_d^n I_{ijk}$ with respect to m_d and setting afterward m_d equal to zero. The terms $\propto \ln m_d$ can be obtained by taking the first derivative of I_{ijk} and extracting the term $\propto m_d^{-1}$ from that expression in the same way. In this respect we also note the useful derivative relations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_d^2} I_{ijk}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2) = -k I_{i+1,j,k+1}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2), \quad (C.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_c^2} I_{ijk}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2) = -k I_{i,j+1,k+1}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2), \quad (C.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} I_{ijk}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2) = -k I_{i+1,j+1,k+1}(q^2, m_d^2, m_c^2).$$
(C.11)

Sometimes, some additional manipulations have to be made in order to obtain meaningful expressions. Using

$$\arctan z = \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{1+iz}{1-iz},$$
 (C.12)

one gets

$$\arctan\left(i\frac{q^2 - m_d^2}{q^2 + m_d^2}\right) = \frac{1}{2i}\ln\frac{m_d^2}{q^2},$$
 (C.13)

which is a source of mass logarithms. Another source of terms $\propto \ln m_d$ arises from a slightly different expression, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \arctan\left(i\frac{q^2 + m_c^2 - m_d^2}{\sqrt{2q^2m_d^2 + q^4 + 2q^2m_c^2 + m_c^4 - 2m_c^2m_d^2 + m_d^4}}\right) \\ \xrightarrow{m_d=0} \arctan(i), \end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

which is not well defined. Expanding the fraction in m_d and keeping only the lowest power, which is the dominant contribution for $m_d \rightarrow 0$, leads to

$$\arctan\left(i\frac{q^2+m_c^2-m_d^2}{\sqrt{2q^2m_d^2+q^4+2q^2m_c^2+m_c^4-2m_c^2m_d^2+m_d^4}}\right) \\ \stackrel{m_d \approx 0}{=} \frac{1}{2i}\ln\frac{q^2m_d^2}{(q^2+m_c^2)^2} \,. \tag{C.15}$$

Page 16 of 21 $\,$

This term arises in the tensor part of the term (C.3) and causes an infrared-divergent Wilson coefficient. It prohibits us from taking the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$ at this stage. These formulas and the technique described here in detail allow us to evaluate the integrals (C.1)–(C.3). We underline that the expressions (C.1)–(C.3) are symmetric in exchanging charm and down quarks. However, it is obvious that the needed series expansions in respect to the small quark mass m_d destroy this symmetry. We finally have obtained the following results:

$$\Pi^{G^2,A}_{\text{scalar}}(q) = -\frac{1}{8} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : |\Omega\rangle \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2}, \qquad (C.16)$$

$$\Pi^{G^2,B}_{\text{scalar}}(q) = +\frac{1}{24} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : |\Omega\rangle \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2}, \qquad (C.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\rm scalar}^{G^2,C}(q) &= \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : |\Omega \rangle \\ \times \left(-\frac{1}{12} \frac{m_c}{m_d} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} + \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{m_c^2}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$
(C.18)

where $G^2 = G^A_{\mu\nu} G^A_{\mu\nu}$. We recognize that the term $\propto m_d^{-1}$ prohibits us from regarding one quark to be massless in evaluating the scalar terms. For the tensor part we obtain for $m_d \approx 0$ the following results:

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{\text{tensor}}^{G^2,A}(q) &= \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : |\Omega\rangle \left(q^2 - 4\frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) \\ &\times \left(-\frac{1}{3} \frac{m_c^2}{q^6} \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) - \frac{1}{6q^2} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} + \frac{1}{3q^4} \right), \quad (\text{C.19}) \\ \Pi_{\text{tensor}}^{G^2,B}(q) &= \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : |\Omega\rangle \\ &\times \left(q^2 - 4\frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) \left(-\frac{1}{9q^4} - \frac{1}{18q^2} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{9q^4} \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) + \frac{m_c^2}{9q^6} \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \right), \quad (\text{C.20}) \\ \Pi_{\text{tensor}}^{G^2,C}(q) &= \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : |\Omega\rangle \\ &\times \left(q^2 - 4\frac{(vq)^2}{v^2} \right) \left(-\frac{2}{9q^4} + \frac{1}{18q^2} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{6q^2} \frac{m_c^2}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{9q^4} + \frac{2m_c^2}{9q^6} \right) \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{9q^2} \left(\frac{m_c^2}{(q^2 - m_c^2)^2} + \frac{1}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \ln \left(-\frac{m_c^2}{q^2 - m_c^2} \right) \right). \quad (\text{C.21}) \end{split}$$

Here, we observe the occurrence of a term $\propto \ln m_d$, which again prohibits us from taking the limit $m_d \rightarrow 0$. The divergent terms cancel, however, after the introduction of

physical condensates. According to eq. (34), we collect all the terms (C.16)–(C.21) and obtain the expression given by eq. (38).

Appendix D. Proof of relation (57)

The operator in relation (57) reads $\mathcal{O}[\vec{D}_{\mu}] = i \vec{D}_{\mu} i \vec{D}_{\nu}$. From the operator mixing (53) we obtain in one-loop approximation

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \, i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \, i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} \, q | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q} \, i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \, i \, \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} \, q : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)} - i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \times \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C,D}} \left[\left(p_{\mu} + \tilde{A}_{\mu} \right) \left(p_{\nu} + \tilde{A}_{\nu} \right) S(p) \right] : | \Omega \rangle^{(0)}.$$
(D.1)

In consistence with the whole approach, we determine the integral up to order $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$, and the expression in the last line can be separated into three terms as follows:

$$T_{1} = -i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mu}^{(0)} \, \tilde{A}_{\nu}^{(0)} \, S^{(0)}(p) \right] : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)},$$
(D.2)

$$T_2 = -i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{*}p}{(2\pi)^4} p_{\mu} p_{\nu} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left[S^{(0)}(p) \right] : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)},$$
(D.3)

$$T_{3} = -i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} p_{\mu} p_{\nu} \langle \Omega | : \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D}} \left[S^{(2)}(p) \right] : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)},$$
(D.4)

while all other terms vanish. Let us consider explicitly T_1 . Using the expressions of the fermion propagator given by eqs. (B.13)–(B.15), and the derivative operator $\tilde{A}^{(0)}_{\mu} = \frac{i g_s}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\nu}$ which acts on the quark propagators only, we obtain

$$T_{1} = -i\left(\frac{i\,g_{s}}{2}\right)^{2} \langle \Omega | : G_{\mu\kappa}^{A} G_{\nu\lambda}^{B} : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)} \operatorname{Tr}_{C}\left[\frac{\lambda^{A}}{2} \frac{\lambda^{B}}{2}\right]$$
$$\times \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}p}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{\left(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2}\right)^{3}}$$
$$\times \operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[\left(\hat{p} + m_{q}\right)\gamma_{\kappa}\left(\hat{p} + m_{q}\right)\gamma_{\lambda}\left(\hat{p} + m_{q}\right)\right] + \kappa \leftrightarrow \lambda, \quad (D.5)$$

where the integrals are divergent and have to be evaluated in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions, *i.e.* by means of dimensional regularization; μ is the renormalization scale of finite renormalization governed by the renormalization group equation [18, 19].

Now we insert the projection (E.12) and perform the Dirac traces; note the color trace is $\text{Tr}_{C} \lambda^{A} \lambda^{B} = 2 \delta^{AB}$. After a lengthly but straigtforward calculation we finally

obtain

$$\begin{split} T_{1} &= -i\left(\frac{i\,g_{s}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{4}{96} \langle \Omega | : G^{2} : |\Omega \rangle^{(0)} \\ &\times \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}p}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{\left(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2}\right)^{3}} \left(24\,m_{q}^{3}\,g_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &+ 8\,m_{q}\,p^{2}\,g_{\mu\nu} - 32\,m_{q}\,p_{\mu}p_{\nu}) \\ &+ i\left(\frac{i\,g_{s}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{4}{24} \langle \Omega | : \left(\frac{(v\,G)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4}\right) : |\Omega \rangle^{(0)} \\ &\times \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}p}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{\left(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2}\right)^{3}} \left(24\,m_{q}^{3}\,g_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &+ 8\,m_{q}\,p^{2}\,g_{\mu\nu} - 32\,m_{q}\,p_{\mu}p_{\nu}) \\ &- i\left(\frac{i\,g_{s}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{8}{24} \langle \Omega | : \left(\frac{(v\,G)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4}\right) : |\Omega \rangle^{(0)} \\ &\times \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}p}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{\left(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2}\right)^{3}} \left(-8\,m_{q}(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2})g_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &+ 16\,m_{q}\,p^{2}\,v_{\mu}v_{\nu} + 16\,m_{q}^{3}\,v_{\mu}v_{\nu} \\ &- 32\,m_{q}\left(p\,v\right)\left(v_{\mu}p_{\nu} + v_{\nu}p_{\mu}\right) + 32\,m_{q}(p\,v)^{2}g_{\mu\nu}\right), \quad (\mathrm{D.6}) \end{split}$$

where we have kept some ratios in order to show more explicitly their source from the projection. The two needed integrals can be evaluated by standard techniques and in $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme [38] they are given by:

$$I_{1} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}p}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2}\right)^{3}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m_{q}^{2}} \frac{i}{(4\pi)^{2}}, \qquad (\mathrm{D.7})$$

$$I_{2} = \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}p}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{\left(p^{2} - m_{q}^{2}\right)^{3}} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{i}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{q}^{2}}\right]. \qquad (\mathrm{D.8})$$

The second integral is logarithmically divergent and has to be evaluated by means of dimensional regularization. Inserting both integrals into (D.6), we arrive at

$$T_{1} = +\frac{1}{32} m_{q} g_{\mu\nu} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2} : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)} -\frac{1}{24} m_{q} g_{\mu\nu} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4} \right) : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)} +\frac{1}{6} m_{q} v_{\mu} v_{\nu} \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4} \right) : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)}.$$
(D.9)

Now we consider the term T_2 . Using $\langle \Omega | \Omega \rangle = 1$, and inserting (B.13) into (D.3) yields

$$T_2 = -3 m_q g_{\mu\nu} i \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - m_q^2}, \qquad (\mathrm{D.10})$$

where we have used that the only possible Lorentz structure is the metric tensor, and we have used $\text{Tr}_{\text{C}}[\delta^{ab}] = 3$, $\text{Tr}_{\text{D}}[\hat{p}] = 0$, $\text{Tr}_{\text{D}}[m_q] = D m_q$ and $g^{\mu}_{\mu} = D$, where $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$. The nominator of the integral is treated by means of $p^2 = (p^2 - m_q^2) + m_q^2$, and then using the common law $\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D} = 0$ by definition, *e.g.*, [72], we conclude the superficial degree of divergence of this integral is 2. Standard evaluation in $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme [38] yields:

$$I_3 = \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{m_q^2}{p^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} m_q^4 \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right).$$
(D.11)

Thus, we obtain

$$T_2 = \frac{3 m_q^5}{16 \pi^2} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right).$$
 (D.12)

The determination of T_3 is a lenghtly calculation because of the more involved Dirac traces, so we present just the final result. An application of the same techniques as used by T_1 yields for T_3 :

$$T_{3} = -\left(\frac{5}{96} - \frac{1}{16} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{q}^{2}}\right) m_{q} g_{\mu\nu} \\ \times \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2} : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)} \\ + \left(\frac{5}{216} - \frac{1}{36} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{q}^{2}}\right) m_{q} g_{\mu\nu} \\ \times \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4}\right) : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)} \\ - \left(\frac{5}{54} - \frac{1}{9} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{q}^{2}}\right) m_{q} v_{\mu} v_{\nu} \\ \times \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left(\frac{(v G)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4}\right) : |\Omega\rangle^{(0)}.$$
(D.13)

According to eq. (D.1) we add the terms T_1 , T_2 and T_3 and finally obtain

$$\langle |\Omega \bar{q} i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} i \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} q | \Omega \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \Omega | : \bar{q} i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} i \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} q : |\Omega \rangle^{(0)} + \frac{3 m_q^5}{16 \pi^2} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right) + \frac{m_q}{16} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : |\Omega \rangle^{(0)} - \frac{m_q}{36} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{v_{\mu} v_{\nu}}{v^2} \right) \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + \frac{2}{3} \right) \times \langle \Omega | : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : |\Omega \rangle^{(0)},$$
(D.14)

which is just relation (57).

Appendix E. Projections

Condensates are vacuum or in-medium expectation values of quantum field operators. They reflect basic properties of the ground state of QCD or of the medium. Condensates are assumed to be color singlets, Lorentz invariants and invariants under parity transformations and time reversal, according to the corresponding symmetries assumed for the medium. Therefore, one has to project out color, spinor and Lorentz indices from several structures that appear during our calculations. Expectation values which are not invariant under parity transformations and time reversal are supposed to be zero. In what follows we adopt the method described in [73].

Appendix E.1. Projection of color and Dirac structure

Up to mass dimension 5 we meet the following structures

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q}_i^a q_j^b | \Omega \rangle, \quad \langle \Omega | \left(\bar{q}_i \overrightarrow{D}_\mu \right)^a q_j^b | \Omega \rangle, \\ \langle \Omega | \left(\bar{q}_i \overrightarrow{D}_\mu \overrightarrow{D}_\nu \right)^a q_j^b | \Omega \rangle,$$
 (E.1)

$$\langle \Omega | G^A_{\mu\nu} G^B_{\kappa\lambda} | \Omega \rangle, \quad \langle \Omega | \bar{q}^a_i \mathcal{G}^{ab}_{\mu\nu} q^b_j | \Omega \rangle,$$
 (E.2)

where the Dirac indices i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3. The last structure is already invariant under color rotations, thus one does not need to take care of color projections for this one. One can expand the remaining other condensates using an orthogonal basis. For $N_c \times N_c$ dimensional matrices such a set is given by the generators t_{ab}^A of $SU(N_c)$ supplemented by the unit matrix \mathcal{I} in N_c dimensions; an appropriate scalar product is given by the trace operation (A, B) =Tr(AB). Using SU(3) generator identities the prescription for the expansion in terms of the generators of $SU(N_c)$ reads as follows:

$$M_{ab} = \sum_{A} c_A t_{ab}^A + c_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}_{ab}, \qquad (E.3)$$
$$c_A = \frac{2}{\text{Tr}_{C}(t^A t^A)} \text{Tr}_{C}(t^A M) \text{ and } c_{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr}_{C}(M). \quad (E.4)$$

In order to retain color singlets the only non-vanishing expansion coefficient is $c_{\mathcal{I}}$. All the other coefficients belong to expectation values which do not transform as scalars under color transformations.

The projection of spinor indices proceeds in an analogous way. A complete set is given by the elements O_k of the Clifford algebra, *i.e.* $O_k \in \{\mathcal{I}, \gamma_{\mu}, \sigma_{\mu\nu}, i\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_5\}$, satisfying $\operatorname{Tr}_{D}(O_i O^j) = 4\delta_j^j$. The expansion reads

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q}_i \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q_j | \Omega \rangle = \sum_k d_k O_{ji}^k,$$

$$d_k = \frac{1}{4} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} O_k \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q | \Omega \rangle, \qquad (E.5)$$

resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \bar{q}_i \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q_j | \Omega \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{4} \bigg(\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q | \Omega \rangle \mathcal{I}_{ji} + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q | \Omega \rangle \gamma_{ji}^\mu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q | \Omega \rangle \sigma_{ji}^{\mu\nu} - \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q | \Omega \rangle \gamma_5 \gamma_{ji}^\mu \\ &+ \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_5 \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\dots} q | \Omega \rangle \gamma_{5,ji} \bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{E.6}$$

The notation used is: $\gamma_5 \gamma_{ji}^{\mu} \equiv (\gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu})_{ij}$ and $\gamma_{5,ji} \equiv (\gamma_5)_{ij}$. Together with color projection one gets

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q}_{i}^{a} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu...} q_{j}^{b} | \Omega \rangle =$$

$$\frac{\delta^{ab}}{12} \left(\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu...} q | \Omega \rangle \mathcal{I}_{ji} + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu...} q | \Omega \rangle \gamma_{ji}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu...} q | \Omega \rangle \sigma_{ji}^{\mu\nu} - \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu...} q | \Omega \rangle \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ji}^{\mu} + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu...} q | \Omega \rangle \gamma_{5,ji} \right).$$

$$(E.7)$$

Here $\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu\ldots}$ stands for an arbitrary operator with Lorentz indices $\mu\nu\ldots$ In ref. [73] it has been stated that terms corresponding to the projection onto $\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_5$ do not appear due to parity and/or time reversal. This statement can be misunderstood. It is true for $\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_5$, however not for $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$, which does not contribute because there is no independent Lorentz structure that reflects the symmetry properties of $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$. In fact, it contributes if the gluon field strength tensor enters the operator product (*i.e.* $\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}$).

Appendix E.2. Projection of Lorentz structure

A striking difference of vacuum and in-medium projections appears when projecting Lorentz indices. It is important to note that this projection is not an expansion in terms of a complete orthogonal set. It strongly depends on the structures available to perform the projection. In vacuum, there are only two independent objects, the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the total antisymmetric symbol $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, being a pseudo-tensor under parity transformations. In medium the condensates also depend on the medium's four-velocity v^{μ} which, therefore, is an additional structure for projections. As a result, also pseudo-vectorial structures can be invariant under parity transformations.

Now we give a list of the in-medium projections up to mass dimension 5. Terms that violate time reversal or parity invariance are omitted. Equations of motion enable us to rewrite the condensates in terms of canonical condensates [44].

Appendix E.2.1. Condensates of mass dimension 3

The in-medium projections of the Lorentz structure of the condensates of mass dimension 3 read:

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q}q | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega | \bar{q}q | \Omega \rangle, \tag{E.8}$$

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \hat{v} q | \Omega \rangle \frac{v_{\mu}}{v^2}.$$
 (E.9)

A condensate of the type $\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q \rangle$ occurs neither in vacuum nor in a medium since there is no possibility to create an antisymmetric structure in the Lorentz indices μ , ν . Condensates of the type $\langle \bar{q}\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}q \rangle$, $\langle \bar{q}\gamma_5q \rangle$ cannot be projected onto structures that are invariant under parity transformations. Eur. Phys. J. A (2011) 47: 151

Appendix E.2.2. Condensates of mass dimension 4

The in-medium projections of the Lorentz structure of the condensates of mass dimension 4 read:

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} q | \Omega \rangle &= -\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \hat{v} q | \Omega \rangle \, i \frac{m_q v_{\mu}}{v^2} \,, \tag{E.10} \\ \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} q | \Omega \rangle &= -\langle \Omega | \bar{q} q | \Omega \rangle \, i \frac{m_q}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \\ &- \left[i \frac{m_q}{4} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} q | \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \hat{v} \frac{(vD)}{v^2} q | \Omega \rangle \right] \frac{1}{3} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{v_{\mu} v_{\nu}}{v^2} \right) , \end{split}$$

$$\langle \Omega | G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{B}_{\kappa\lambda} | \Omega \rangle = \frac{\delta^{AB}}{96} \left(g_{\mu\kappa} g_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\kappa} \right) \langle \Omega | G^{2} | \Omega \rangle$$
$$- \frac{\delta^{AB}}{24} \langle \Omega | \left(\frac{(vG)^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{G^{2}}{4} \right) | \Omega \rangle S_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}, \qquad (E.12)$$

where we have defined

$$S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \left(g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu} - 2\left(g_{\alpha\mu}\frac{v_{\beta}v_{\nu}}{v^2} - g_{\alpha\nu}\frac{v_{\beta}v_{\mu}}{v^2} + g_{\beta\nu}\frac{v_{\alpha}v_{\mu}}{v^2} - g_{\beta\mu}\frac{v_{\alpha}v_{\nu}}{v^2}\right)\right). (E.13)$$

Again, terms of the form $\langle \bar{q}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu \vec{D}_\mu q \rangle$, $\langle \bar{q}\gamma_5 \vec{D}_\mu q \rangle$ do not have a projection due to the requirement of parity invariance. The same holds true for $\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\vec{D}_\kappa q \rangle$, which can be contracted with $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}v^{\lambda}$ giving an odd term with respect to parity.

Appendix E.2.3. Condensates of mass dimension 5

The in-medium projections of the Lorentz structure of the condensates of mass dimension 5 read:

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} q | \Omega \rangle &= \\ - \langle \Omega | \bar{q}q | \Omega \rangle \frac{m_q^2}{4} g_{\mu\nu} + \langle \Omega | \bar{q}g_s \sigma \mathcal{G}q | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{8} g_{\mu\nu} \\ - \left[\frac{m_q^2}{4} \langle \Omega | \bar{q}q | \Omega \rangle - \frac{1}{8} \langle \Omega | \bar{q}g_s \sigma \mathcal{G}q | \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \frac{(vD)^2}{v^2} q | \Omega \rangle \right] \\ \times \frac{1}{3} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{v_{\mu}v_{\nu}}{v^2} \right), \quad (E.14) \\ \langle \Omega | \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\alpha}q | \Omega \rangle &= \frac{1}{v^4} \langle \Omega | \bar{q}\hat{v}(vD)^2 q | \Omega \rangle \\ \times \left(\frac{2 v_{\mu}v_{\nu}v_{\alpha}}{v^2} - \frac{1}{3} \left(v_{\mu}g_{\nu\alpha} + v_{\nu}g_{\mu\alpha} + v_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} \right) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{6v^2} \langle \Omega | \bar{q}\hat{v}g_s \sigma \mathcal{G}q | \Omega \rangle \left(\frac{v_{\mu}v_{\nu}v_{\alpha}}{v^2} - v_{\mu}g_{\nu\alpha} \right) \\ + \frac{m_q^2}{3v^2} \langle \Omega | \bar{q}\hat{v}q | \Omega \rangle \left(\frac{2v_{\mu}v_{\nu}v_{\alpha}}{v^2} - v_{\nu}g_{\mu\alpha} - v_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (E.15) \end{split}$$

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_5 \gamma_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} q | \Omega \rangle = -\frac{1}{6 v^2} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \hat{v} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} q | \Omega \rangle \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\sigma} v^{\sigma}, (E.16)$$

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} g_s \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} q | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega | \bar{q} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} q | \Omega \rangle \frac{1}{12} \left(g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu} g_{\beta\mu} \right)$$

$$+ \left[\frac{1}{12} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} g_s \sigma \mathcal{G} q | \Omega \rangle - i \frac{2m_q}{3} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \hat{v} \frac{(vD)}{v^2} q | \Omega \rangle \right]$$

$$- \frac{2}{3} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \frac{(vD)^2}{v^2} q | \Omega \rangle$$

$$(E.17)$$

We have explicitly separated the medium specific contributions from the vacuum projections. Medium specific contributions are either condensates that contain the medium four-velocity v or combinations of condensates that appear in vacuum and medium. The latter ones are always written with angled brackets. Applying vacuum projections to the medium specific terms makes them zero in the vacuum limit.

In order to give an example for this procedure we briefly prove eq. (E.16). Due to Lorentz covariance we write

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{q} \gamma_5 \gamma_\alpha \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} q | \Omega \rangle = A \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\sigma} v^{\sigma}.$$
 (E.18)

Our aim is to determine the Lorentz scalar A. We can write for any four-vector v_{μ}

$$\hat{v}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = i\,v_{\alpha}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}.\tag{E.19}$$

Expanding the product of Dirac matrices in terms of the Clifford algebra, one obtains

$$\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\alpha} + g^{\nu\alpha}\gamma^{\mu} + g^{\alpha\mu}\gamma^{\nu} + i\epsilon^{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_{\sigma}.$$
 (E.20)

Due to the equations of motion and by the definition of the gluon field strength tensor, one can show that

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{d} v^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} d | \Omega \rangle = 0.$$
 (E.21)

Altogether, this gives the relation

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{d}\hat{v}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}d | \Omega \rangle = -\langle \Omega | \bar{d}\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}d | \Omega \rangle \epsilon^{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu}v_\alpha.$$
(E.22)

Contracting (E.18) with $\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\sigma}$ and using $\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\sigma}\epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu}{}^{\tau} = 6g^{\sigma\tau}$, one can show the desired relation (E.16).

Let us define the operator \hat{T} in such a way that it creates a traceless expression with respect to Lorentz indices. For two Lorentz indices \hat{T} reads

$$\hat{T}(\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4}\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\alpha}, \qquad (E.23)$$

and we immediately observe that the medium specific terms in (E.11) and in (E.14) originate from the contraction of $v^{\mu}v^{\nu}/v^2$ with a certain traceless expression:

$$\frac{v^{\mu}v^{\nu}}{v^{2}} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \, \hat{T} \left(\gamma_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} \right) q | \Omega \rangle = \left[i \frac{m_{q}}{4} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} q | \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \hat{v} \frac{(v \overrightarrow{D})}{v^{2}} q | \Omega \rangle \right], \quad (E.24)$$

$$\frac{v^{\mu}v^{\nu}}{v^{2}} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \, \hat{T} \left(\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \overrightarrow{D}_{\nu} \right) q | \Omega \rangle = \left[\frac{m_{q}^{2}}{4} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} q | \Omega \rangle - \frac{1}{8} \langle \Omega | \bar{q} g_{s} \sigma \mathcal{G} q | \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega | \bar{q} \frac{(v \overrightarrow{D})^{2}}{v^{2}} q | \Omega \rangle \right]. \quad (E.25)$$

This is clear since the additional medium contributions can be obtained by performing the complete projection of the structure to be projected minus the vacuum projection, which is proportional to products of the metric tensor, $\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4}g^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta}$. Therefore, the additional medium contributions must be traceless. The generalization to arbitrary Lorentz indices is obvious.

References

- 1. E598 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1404 (1974).
- SLAC-SP-017 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1406 (1974).
- Particle Data Group (K. Nakamura *et al.*), J. Phys. G 37, 075021 (2010).
- 4. http://www.fair-center.de/.
- J. Schaffner-Bielich, I.N. Mishustin, J. Bondorf, Nucl. Phys. A 625, 325 (1997).
- 6. NA50 Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 39, 335 (2005).
- M.I. Gorenstein, A.P. Kostyuk, H. Stöcker, W. Greiner, J. Phys. G 27, L47 (2001).
- J.M. Campbell, E.W.N. Glover, C.J. Maxwell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1568 (1998).
- M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147, 385 (1979).
- M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147, 448 (1979).
- M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147, 519 (1979).
- A.I. Bochkarev, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 145, 276 (1984).
- A.I. Bochkarev, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 268, 220 (1986).
- T. Hatsuda, Y. Koike, S.H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B **394**, 221 (1993).
- E.G. Drukarev, E.M. Levin, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. A 556, 467 (1991).
- S. Zschocke, O.P. Pavlenko, B. Kämpfer, Eur. Phys. J. A 15, 529 (2002).
- 17. K. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 179, 1499 (1969).
- C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw Hill, 1980), (Dover, 2006).
- T. Muta, Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics (World Scientific Publishing, 1997).
- P. Pascual, R. Tarrach, QCD: Renormalization for the Practitioner, Lect. Notes Phys., Vol. 194 (Springer, 1984).
- T.M. Aliev, V.L. Eletsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 38, 936 (1983), T.M. Aliev, V.L. Eletsky, Yad. Fiz. 38 1537 (1983).
- S. Narison, QCD Spectral Sum Rules, Lect. Notes Phys. Vol. 26 (World Scientific, 1981).
- L.J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein, S. Yazaki, Phys. Rep. 127, 1 (1985).
- L.J. Reinders, S. Yazaki, R. Rubinstein, Phys. Lett. B 97, 257 (1980).
- L.J. Reinders, S. Yazaki, R. Rubinstein, Phys. Lett. B 103, 63 (1981).
- S.C. Generalis, D.J. Broadhurst, Phys. Lett. B 139, 85 (1984).
- 27. D.J. Broadhurst, Phys. Lett. B 101, 423 (1981).
- S.C. Generalis, Ph.D. Thesis, Open University report No. OUT-4102-13 (1984).

- Eur. Phys. J. A (2011) 47: 151
- 29. M. Jamin, M. Münz, Z. Phys. C 60, 569 (1993).
- K.G. Chetyrkin, C.A. Dominguez, D. Pirjol, K. Schilcher, Phys. Rev. D 51, 5090 (1995).
- 31. A. Hayashigaki, Phys. Lett. B 487, 96 (2000).
- 32. P. Morath, *Schwere Quarks in dichter Materie*, Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universität München (2001).
- P. Morath, W. Weise, S.-H. Lee, in *Lisbon 1999, QCD: Perturbative or nonperturbative?* (World Scientific, 1999) p. 425.
- T. Hilger, R. Thomas, B. Kämpfer, Phys. Rev. C 79, 025202 (2009).
- T. Hilger, B. Kämpfer, arXiv:0904.3491 (2009) Proceedings of the 47th International Winter Meeting On Nuclear Physics, 26-30 Jan 2009, Bormio, Italy.
- 36. R.E. Cutkosky, J. Math. Phys. 1, 429 (1960).
- 37. A.K. Das, *Finite Temperature Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).
- W.A. Bardeen, A.J. Buras, D.W. Duke, T. Muta, Phys. Rev. D 18, 3998 (1978).
- 39. F.V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 125, 85 (1983).
- S. Narison, QCD as a Theory of Hadrons: From Partons to Confinement, in Camb. Mon. Part. Phys., Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. Vol. 17 (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- V.P. Spiridonov, K.G. Chetyrkin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 47, 522 (1988).
- S.C. Generalis, D.J. Broadhurst, Phys. Lett. B 165, 175 (1985).
- 43. A.G. Grozin, Y.F. Pinelis, Z. Phys. C 33, 419 (1987).
- 44. A.G. Grozin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10, 3497 (1995).
- 45. A.R. Zhitnitsky, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3006 (1997).
- 46. I. Tamm, J. Phys. 9, 449 (1945).
- 47. S.M. Dancoff, Phys. Rev. 78, 382 (1950).
- 48. M. Rosa-Clot, M. Testa, Nuovo Cimento A 78, 113 (1983).
- 49. M. Rosa-Clot, M. Testa, Phys. Rev. C 23, 2730 (1981).
- H. Holtmann, A. Szczurek, J. Speth, Nucl. Phys. A 569, 631 (1996).
- K.G. Chetyrkin, F.V. Tkachov, S.G. Gorishnii, Phys. Lett. B **119**, 407 (1982).
- 52. F.V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 124, 212 (1983).
- C.H. Llewellyn Smith, J.P. de Vries, Nucl. Phys. B 296, 991 (1988).
- 54. S. Zschocke, B. Kämpfer, Open charm mesons in nuclear matter with QCD sum rule approach (2006), unpublished.
- 55. T. Hilger, *QCD sum rules for D mesons in nuclear matter*, Diploma Thesis, Technische Universität Dresden (2008).
- T. Hilger, B. Kämpfer, S. Leupold, Phys. Rev. C 84, 045202 (2011).
- 57. T. Hilger, R. Schulze, B. Kämpfer, J. Phys. G 37, 094054 (2010).
- T. Hilger, B. Kämpfer, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 207, 277 (2010).
- V.N. Gribov, L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 438 (1972).
- 60. G. Altarelli, G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126, 298 (1977).
- 61. Yu.L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP 46, 641 (1977).
- V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, M.B. Voloshin, V.I. Zakharov, *Proceedings of Neutrino 78, West Lafayette* C 278 (1978).
- 63. S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B 210, 238 (1988).
- A. Hayashigaki, K. Terasaki, arXiv:hep-ph/0411285 (2004).
- 65. S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B **520**, 115 (2001).
- 66. S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B 605, 319 (2005).

- V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Fortschr. Phys. **32**, 585 (1984).
- 68. V.A. Fock, Sov. Phys. **12**, 404 (1937).
- 69. J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82, 684 (1952).
- 70. C. Cronström, Phys. Lett. B **90**, 267 (1980).
- 71. E. Yehudai, *HIP: Symbolic high-energy physics calculations using maple*, FERMILAB-PUB-92-022-T (1992).
- E. Leader, E. Predazzi, An introduction to gauge theories and modern particle physics, in Camb. Mon. Part. Phys., Nucl. Phys. Cosmol., Vol. 4 (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- X.M. Jin, T. D. Cohen, R.J. Furnstahl, D.K. Griegel, Phys. Rev. C 47, 2882 (1993).