



Evangelos Panos, Martin Densing

Energy Economics Group, Laboratory for Energy Systems Analysis

Electricity Prices under Climate Policy

ENERDAY 2018, 12th Conference on Energy Economics and Technology, Dresden



Research question of the study

Could it be a reversal of the current trends in electricity prices ahead?

Especially under the implementation of the "Clean Energy for all Europeans Package"





The Bi-level Electricity Market (BEM) model

Bi-level Nash-Cournot game to understand price formation & investments

| | Optimization | Optimization | Optimization | Optimization |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Player 1 | Player 2 | Player 3 | Player N |
| 1 st level | Investment | Investment | | Investment |
| (investment | in supply | in supply | | in supply |
| decision) | technologies | technologies | | technologies |
| 2 nd level | Quantity | Quantity | Market clearing of TSO | Quantity |
| (spot market | bidding | bidding | under transmission | bidding |
| trading) | (4*24hours) | (4*24hours) | constraints (price-taker) | (4*24hours) |

• The model can also run in different modes: (i) Investment and production decision on same level; (ii) Deterministic or Stochastic; (iii) Social welfare maximisation



01

Main features of the BEM model

Long term horizon & high intra-annual resolution

Each modelling period is divided into 96 typical operating hours, corresponding to 1 typical day per season; the framework is flexible allowing for defining more types of days within a season



02

Grid Transmission constraints between the players

A DC power flow approximation is modelled for representing the grid transmission constraints between the nodes/players; in each node power plants can be located belonging to player(s); in the current setup of the model the players are Switzerland and its neighbouring countries





Main features of the BEM model



Operating constraints for power plants

A linearized approximation of the unit commitment problem is formulated based on clustering of similar units to represent: part load efficiency losses, ramping constraints, minimum operating levels, online/offline times, start-up costs, etc.





Representation of RES variability & storage

Based on a historical sample of solar and wind generation the model ensures that there is enough storage and dispatchable capacity to accommodate residual load curve variations and curtailment.

Elastic and inelastic electricity markets

05

The model can represent both elastic (i.e. traded) electricity demand and inelastic (i.e. over the counter - OTC) demand; the OTC demand is considered to be perfect competitive to avoid an exponential demand function representing both markets



s.t.

Stylised formulation of the BEM model

For each player* *i*:

max expected total profit = (profit from selling power – capital costs)

- capacity_i ≤ max_capacity_i
- constraint on player's risk
- production-, imports-amounts, and prices given by: max total profit of player *i*':
 - $production_{i'} \leq capacity_{i'}$
 - s.t. dispatching constraints (ramping rates, online/offline times, part load efficiency losses, minimum operating levels)
 price_{i'} = f_i (production_{i'} + net import_i)

* In the current model setup the players are Switzerland and its neighboring countries



s.t.

Stylised formulation of the BEM model

The TSO (price-taker) maximizes profit of redistributing electricity:

max total profit from distributing power across all nodes

- constraint on no arbitrage (zero sum of distributed power)
- transmission grid constraints
- constraint on system security (enough dispatchable and storage capacity to accommodate variations of non-dispatchable generation and residual load curve)
 - constraint on electricity balance of each node: demand = production +net imports)



Calibration procedure of the BEM model

• The model has an estimation mode for the conjecture of a player regarding the aggregated reaction of its rivals, which is used to reproduce the historical prices

In a quantity offering setting q_i , each producer i tries to maximises its own profit (sales at price $p(q_i, q_{-i})$ minus production costs $C_i(q_i)$) without anticipating the market equilibrium:

 $\max_{q_i \in \mathbb{R}^+} p(q_i, q_{-i})q_i - C_i(q_i)$

The first order condition of the above problem is:

$$p(q_i, q_{-i}) - \frac{\partial q_{-i}(q_i)}{\partial q_i} \cdot \frac{\partial p(q_i, q_{-i})}{\partial q_i} \cdot q_i - C'_i(q_i) \le 0 \perp q_i \ge 0$$

$$heta_i\coloneqqrac{\partial q_{-i}(q_i)}{\partial q_i}$$
 is the conjecture of producer i

 $heta_i = 0$ perfect competition conjecture

 $\theta_i = 1$ Nash conjectures

 $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$ Intermediate imperfect competition conjectures





Calibration of the BEM model to 2015/6 prices



Average wholesale day-ahead price 2015/6

BEM model price 2015/2016 (Game-theoretic formulation)

BEM model price 2015/2016 (Social Welfare formulation)

1 std. dev. of the historical prices 2015/2016



Definition of the scenarios

• Two core scenarios emphasizing the year 2030 are assessed:

| | Base | Low Carbon |
|--|--|--|
| Description | Reference scenario, based on the EU TRENDS 2016 scenario | Climate scenario -40% reduction of CO ₂ in 2030 from 1990 levels (Clean Energy for All Europeans) |
| Fuel prices in 2030 ¹ | Gas: 28 €/MWh, Coal | : 12 €/MWh (EUR of 2015) |
| CO ₂ price in 2030 ² | 30 €/tCO2 | 80 €/tCO2 |

¹ IEA World Energy Outlook 2017, New Policies Scenario ² IEA World Energy Outlook 2017, Sustainable Development Scenario Today's gas price (2015/6) 14 €/MWh, today's coal price 9 €/MWh

- Two additional variants:
 - a) Enabling batteries for additional flexibility
 - b) Maintaining the fuel costs and CO₂ prices of today



Marginal production costs in the scenarios

 The increase of the fossil and CO₂ prices in 2030 from today's level, results in a substantial increase of the marginal electricity production cost

| Scenario | Lignite | Coal | Nuclear | Gas CC | Biomass/Waste | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------------|--|--|
| Marginal cost in EUR/MWh when including the CO ₂ price: | | | | | | | |
| Today | 17 | 27 – 30 | 18 | 27 – 36 | 23 – 30 | | |
| Base | 40 | 54 – 57 | 18 | 56 – 65 | 23 – 30 | | |
| Low Carbon | 83 | 96 – 98 | 18 | 103 – 113 | 23 – 30 | | |
| Marginal cost in EUR/MWh when excluding the CO ₂ price: | | | | | | | |
| Today | 13 | 23 – 26 | 18 | 25 – 34 | 23 – 30 | | |
| Base | 15 | 29 – 32 | 18 | 46 – 55 | 23 – 30 | | |
| Low Carbon | 15 | 29 – 32 | 18 | 46 – 55 | 23 – 30 | | |



Results: Electricity generation mix today & in 2030





Results: Electricity prices today and in 2030







Results: Drivers influencing the prices in 2030

• The comparison between the Base scenario and its variant with today's prices (TodayCost scenario) reveals the important role of gas and CO₂ prices in the electricity price increase







Results: Electricity prices and storage in 2030

• Comparison between having and not having batteries in the Low Carbon scenario







- A reversal of the recent trends of the electricity prices is ahead, driven by the gas and CO₂ prices
 - In Germany, the CO₂ prices have a greater impact on electricity prices than in the other countries due to the retaining in the solid-based generation in the domestic supply mix
 - In France, the prices follow those of neighbours ; in the Low Carbon scenario the increased wind power pushes the more expensive gas-based generation further out of the merit order curve and results in lower prices than in Base
 - Italy remains the country with the highest prices due to the high contribution of gas in the domestic electricity supply ; the high capacity factor of solar PV accentuates price dampening during the noon
 - In Switzerland, the prices closely follow the increase in the gas price, even though the country does not build gas power plants, as the country is a hub influenced by its neighbors
- Intra-day storage helps in mitigating peak prices and reduces volatility, and in large scales complements hydrostorage and also participates in the arbitrage trade



Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen



This work was financed in the context of the project "Oligopolistic capacity expansion with subsequent market-bidding under transmission constraints" sponsored by the Swiss Federal Office for Energy, https://www.aramis.admin.ch/Default.aspx?DocumentID=46075&Load=true