

## Guidelines and template for submissions

Please answer all (!) of the listed questions in your summary. An exception are the questions / aspects **highlighted in blue**, which you only have to answer if they apply to your work. Please cite relevant and high-quality literature sources - especially for the theoretical foundations. You can choose the citation style yourself. We recommend the citation style of the American Psychological Association (APA) version 7, because it is very clearly structured and very often used. **There is no minimum or maximum number of characters specified. Please be as concise and precise as possible without omitting essential information.**

### I – Introduction

- What problem does your study address or why is your research topic / research question important / relevant at all? What additional value do you expect from the results for the field of research / for practice?
- On which definitions, theories and models does your research question build?
- What previous empirical work exists for this study and to what extent does it link to it?
- What is your research question **[or if defined: your research hypothesis(es)]**?

### Review:

Reviewer 1: / 10 points

Reviewer 2: / 10 points

Remarks for the contributor(s):

## M – Methods

- Which research approach does your empirical study follow (e.g., design-based research, exploratory study, evaluation study, literature analysis, secondary data analysis, etc.), which research paradigm (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods) can it be assigned to, and which specific methods (e.g., survey, experiment, group discussion, or document analysis) did you use?
- How was your sample created (e.g., convenience sampling, random sampling) and what sociodemographic characteristics (e.g., average age and standard deviation/age distribution, gender distribution, prior experience) does it have?

**Important:** Please describe only those characteristics here for which you actually have data / information.

- [if used] Which materials (e.g., a learning app) did the participants in your study receive?
- Which measurement tools (e.g., standardized questionnaire, interview guide, discussion guide) did you use? How were the measurement tools structured (e.g., scales and sample items, guiding questions)?
- How did your study proceed? How did you analyze the data?

**Important:** Please summarize the process briefly and chronologically so that readers can understand it as well as possible.

**Review:**

Reviewer 1: / 10 points

Reviewer 2: / 10 points

Remarks for the contributor(s):

**R – Results**

- What were the results of your study?
- Important: Please report them (in brief) free of any evaluation and without your own interpretation, as precisely as possible, for instance with concrete numerical or statistical values (quantitative) or structured according to categories (qualitative).
- [if available] What did the analysis of your hypotheses show?



**Review:**

Reviewer 1: / 10 points

Reviewer 2: / 10 points

Remarks for the contributor(s):

**D – Discussion**

- How do you explain the main results of the study - with reference to the theories, models and previous empirical results that you presented in the Introduction - and what do you conclude from them (for science and practice)?
- How do you answer your research question (as an overall conclusion of your study) in one sentence?
- What are the main limitations (e.g., restricted sample or methodological inadequacies) of your results?  
**Important:** Please report them openly and honestly. This will "close" potential "gateways" for reviewers. No study in the world is methodologically perfect.
- How should future research build on your study?



**Review:**

Reviewer 1: / 10 points

Reviewer 2: / 10 points

Remarks for the contributor(s):

**References**