

Performance Analysis of Computer Systems

Introduction to Queuing Theory

Holger Brunst (<u>holger.brunst@tu-dresden.de</u>) Matthias S. Mueller (<u>matthias.mueller@tu-dresden.de</u>)





Summary of Previous Lecture

Performance Simulation and Prediction

Holger Brunst (<u>holger.brunst@tu-dresden.de</u>) Matthias S. Mueller (<u>matthias.mueller@tu-dresden.de</u>)



Discrete-Event Simulation

- Events have a time value (timestamp) at which they are be processed
- Common overall structure:
 - Event scheduler
 - Global time variable
 - Event-processing routines
 - Event-generation mechanisms





Center for Information Services 8

High Performance Computing

Discrete-Event Simulation (cont.)

- Event Scheduler:
 - Maintains a list of pending events in global time order
 - successive takes the event with the smallest time and executes the event processing routine for this event
 - Inserts newly generated events into the event list
 - Updates the global time
- Global time update mechanisms
 - Fixed-increment (unit time): scheduler increments global time by a small amount and than executes any events which should occur at this time value
 - Event-driven: the earliest event sets the global time to the time at which this event should be processed



4



Discrete-Event Simulation (cont.)

- Event generation
- Execution-Driven
 - Executes a given program/benchmark
 - Similar to an emulator
 - Needs very detailed simulator
- Distribution-Driven
 - Events are generated by the simulator itself with the help of random numbers





LARS: Queuing Theorie

5

Parallel Discrete-Event Simulation

- Motivation
 - In large simulation models independent events could be processed concurrently
 - Use this to parallelize the simulator
 - Problem: How to determine if events can be processed concurrently?





LARS: Queuing Theorie

6

Parallel Discrete-Event Simulation (cont.)

- Prerequisite
 - Partition the state variables into disjoint sets
 - These are called "Logical Processes" (LP)
 - LPs communicating with *time stamped messages*
 - Shared state variables can be either emulated with an additional logical process, or
 - replicated into all LPs with a synchronization algorithm
- Causality Errors
 - Events need to be processed in non-decreasing timestamp order
 - Resulting errors are called *causality errors*







Types of PDES

- Conservative Approaches
 - Strictly *avoids* causality errors
 - Need to determine when it is safe to process an event
- Optimistic Approaches
 - Detect and recover from causality errors
 - Speculative executes events



8





Introduction to Queuing Theory

Holger Brunst (<u>holger.brunst@tu-dresden.de</u>) Matthias S. Mueller (<u>matthias.mueller@tu-dresden.de</u>)



Introduction to Queuing Models

If the facts don't fit the theory, change the facts.

Albert Einstein



10

LARS: Queuing Theorie

ZIH

Outline

- Motivation
- Queuing/Kendall notation
- Queuing in daily life
- Exponential distribution and its memoryless property
- Little's law
- Stochastic processes, birth-death process
- M|M|1 queuing model





11



Motivation

- Sharing of system resources in computer systems:
 - CPU, Disk, Network, etc.
- Generally, only one job can use the resource at any time
- All other jobs using the same resource wait in queues
- Queuing or queuing theory helps in determining the time that jobs spend in various system queues.
- These times can be combined to predict the response time of jobs





LARS: Queuing Theorie

12

- Imagine yourself at a supermarket checkout
- The checkout has a number of open cash points
- Usually, the cash points are busy and arriving customers have to wait
- In queuing theory terms you would be called "customer" or "job"
- In order to analyze such systems, the following system characteristics should be specified:
 - 1. Arrival Process



1. Arrival Process (Ankunftsprozess)

- If customers arrive at $t_1, t_2, ..., t_{j'}$ the random variables $\tau_j = t_{j-1} t_{j-1}$ are called **interarrival times (Zwischenankunftszeiten)**.
- General assumption: The τ_j form a sequence of independent and identically **d**istributed (**IID**) random variables
- Most common arrival process is the **Poisson Process** which has exponentially distributed inter-arrival times
- Erlang- and hyper-exponential distributions are also used
- 2. Service Time Distribution (Antwortzeitverteilung)
 - The time a customer spends at the service station e.g. the cash points
 - This time is called the **service time (Antwortzeit)**
 - Commonly assumed to be IID random variables
 - Exponential distribution is often used







3. Number of Servers (Anzahl der Bedienstationen)

- The number of service providing entities available to customers
- If in the same queuing system, servers are assumed to be:
 - Identical
 - Available to all customers

5. System Capacity

- The maximum number of customers who can stay in the system
- In most systems the capacity is finite
- However, if the number is large, infinite capacity is often assumed for simplicity
- The number includes both waiting and served customers



5. Population Size

- The total number of serviced customers
- In most real systems the population is finite
- If this size is large, once again, the size is assumed infinite for simplicity reasons

6. Service Discipline or Scheduling

- The order in which customers are served:
 - First come first served (**FCFS**)
 - Last come first served (LCFS) with or without preemption (PR)
 - Round Robin (**RR**) with fixed size quantum
 - Shortest processing time (SPT)
 - RANDOM
 - System with fixed delay, e.g. satellite link
 - Prioritized scheduling (PRIO)



16





Kendall Notation

- These six parameters need to be specified in order to define a single queuing station
- To compactly describe the queuing station in an unambigous way, the so called Kendall Notation is often used:

Arrivals | Services | Servers | Capacity | Population | Scheduling

- − Arrivals → customer arrival process
- − Services → customer service requirements
- − Servers → number of service providing entities
- Capacity
 maximum number of customers in queuing station
- Population \Rightarrow size of the customer population
- Population and Scheduling are often omitted i.e. assumed to be infinitely and FCFS





Kendall Notation

- The specific values of the parameters, especially Arrivals and Services, are diverse. Some commonly used once are:
 - *M* (Markovian or Memory-less): whenever the interarrival or service times are (negative) exponentially distributed
 - **G** (General): whenever the times involved may be arbitrarily distributed
 - **D** (Deterministic): whenever the times involved are constant
 - *E_r* (*r*-stage Erlang): whenever the times involved are distributed according to an *r*-stage Erlang distribution
 - *H_r*: whenever the times involved are distributed according to an *r*-stage hyper-exponential distribution







High Performance Computing

Kendall Notation - Example

- **M|G|2|8||LCFS** denotes a queuing station with:
 - Negative exponentially distributed interarrival times
 - Generally distributed service times
 - 2 service providing entities
 - Maximal 8 customers present
 - No limitation on the total customer population
 - LCFS scheduling strategy
- Simple queuing stations as above can be used for many queuing phenomena in computer and communication systems
- However, just a single queue with single service entity is considered, only allowing performance evaluations of parts of a complex system
- Examples: Analysis of network access mechanisms, simple transmission links, or various disk and CPU scheduling mechanisms





Queuing in Daily Life

- Coin-operated coffee machines
 - Service time, i.e., the time for preparing the coffee, is deterministic
 - Waiting time occurs due to the stochastic in the arrival process
 - Kendall notation: G|D|1
- Visiting a doctor with appointment
 - Arrival times of patients is deterministic (if their appointments are accurate)
 - However, one often experiences long waiting times due to the stochastic service times (time the doctor talks to or examines patients)
 - Kendall notation: D|G|1
- Visiting a doctor without appointment
 - Things become get even worse during "walk-in" consulting hours
 - Both arrival and service process obeys only general characteristics and the perceived waiting time increases
 - Kendall notation: G|G|1







Exponential (Markov) Distribution

- The (negative) exponential distribution is used extensively in queuing models
- It is the only continuous distribution with the so-called memoryless property which strongly simplifies the analysis:
 - Remembering the time since the last event does not help in predicting the time till the next event!
- Commonly used to model random durations, e.g.:
 - Duration of a phone call, Time between two phone calls
 - Duration of services, reparations, maintenance
 - Lifetime of radiactive atoms
 - Lifetime of parts, machines, technical equipment (without decline!)



ZiH

LARS: Queuing Theorie

21

Exponential Distribution

Probability density function (Dichtefunktion), short: pdf

$$f(x;\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} &, x \ge 0, \\ 0 &, x < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Supported on interval [0,∞)
- λ > 0 is a parameter of the distribution
- Often called **rate parameter**
- Probability of continuous random variable X:

ECHNISCHE

$$P(a \le X \le b) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$



Exponential Distribution

Cumulative distribution function (Verteilungsfunktion), short CDF

$$F(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} &, x \ge 0, \\ 0 &, x < 0. \end{cases}$$



Memoryless Property

- Stated earlier: Remembering the time since the last event does not help in predicting the time till the next event!
- Probability distribution of an exponentially distributed event *T* to occur within time *t*: $E(T) = B(T < t) = 1 = e^{-\lambda t} < 0$

$$F(T) = P(T < t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}, t \ge 0$$

- We see an arrival event and start the clock at t = 0. The mean time to the next arrival event is $1/\lambda$.
- Suppose we do not see an arrival event until t = x. The distribution of the time remaining until the next arrival is:

$$P(T < x + t | T > x) = \frac{P(x < T < x + t)}{P(T > x)}$$

$$= \frac{P(T < x + t) - P(T < x)}{P(T > x)}$$

$$= \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda(x+t)}) - (1 - e^{-\lambda x})}{e^{-\lambda t}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{24}$$



Memoryless Property

• A random variable T is said to be memoryless if:

$$P(T < x + t \mid T > x) = P(T < t) \quad \forall x, t \ge 0$$

- Example:
 - Give a real-life example whose lifetime can be modeled by a variable T such that P(T > s + t | T > s) goes down as s goes up
 - Bus with exponentially distributed arrival times and λ =2 per hour
 - Average waiting time?
 - Expected waiting time when already waiting for 15 minutes?





ZIH

Little's Law

- Named after John Little (MIT) who proved the law in 1961
- One of the most general laws in performance analysis
- Can be applied almost unconditionally to all queuing models and at many levels of abstraction
- Interesting point of notice: Long used before actually proved
- Little's Law basically relates the average number of jobs N in queuing station to the average number of arrivals per time unit λ and the average time R spent in the queuing station

$$N = \lambda R$$





LARS: Queuing Theorie

ZiH

Little's Law - Understanding

- Consider a queuing station as a black box
- On average λ jobs arrive per time unit
- Upon its arrival, a job is either served or has to wait
- Denote E[R] (residence time or response time) as the average time spend in the queuing system
- Denote average number of jobs in the queuing system as E[N]
- Observe a single marked job which enters the system at $t=t_i$ leaves at $t=t_o$.
- On average t_o t_i will be equal to E[R]
- While this particular job passes the system, other jobs have arrived
- Since on average E[R] time units elapsed, their average number is $\lambda \times E[R]$
- This number must be equal to the previously defined E[N] as every job could be the marked job. Thus:

$E[N] = \lambda E[R]$





Little's Law - Remarks

- We assumed that the queue throughput T equals the arrival rate λ
- Always the case if system is not overloaded and infinite buffers
- Otherwise customers will get lost and E[N] = T E[R]
- The relationship expressed by Little's law is valid independently of the form of the involved distributions
- This law is valid independently of the scheduling discipline and the number of servers
- E[N] is easy to obtain and measures like E[R] can be derived from it
- Applies also to networks of queuing stations





LARS: Queuing Theorie



Stochastic Processes

- Analytical modeling uses several random variables but also stochastic processes which are sequences of random variables
- Collection of random variables { $X(t) | t \in T$ }, indexed by the parameter t (usually time) which can take values of set T
- Values that X(t) assumes are called states. All possible states are called state space I.
- The state space and the time parameter can be discrete or continuous
- Discrete-state stochastic processes are also called **chain**, often with *I* = { 0,1,2,...}
- Famous representatives: Markov Process, Birth-Death Process, and Poisson Process (form a hierarchy)





LARS: Queuing Theorie

29

Birth-Death Process

- Future states of the process are independent of the past and depend only on the present
- Special case of the continuous time Markov chain
- State transitions are restricted to neighboring states
- States are represented by integers. State n can only change to state n+1 or state n-1
- Example: The number of jobs in a queue with a single server and individual arrivals (no bulk arrivals)
- An arrival to the queue (birth) causes the state to change by +1. A departure (death) causes the state to change by -1
- Below: State transition diagram with *n* states, arrival rates λ_n and service rates μ_n . Arrival times and service times are exponentially distributed



Birth-Death Process

• The **steady-state probability** p_n of a birth-death process being in state *n* is given by the following theorem:

$$p_{n} = p_{0} \frac{\lambda_{0} \lambda_{1} \sqcup \lambda_{n-1}}{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \sqcup \mu_{n}}$$
$$= p_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{\mu_{j+1}}, \qquad n = 1, 2, K, \infty$$

- p_0 is the probability of being in the **zero state**
- Can be proven (see book)
- Now that we have an expression for state probabilities we are able to analyze queues in the form of M/M/m/B/K
- Based on the state probabilities we can compute many other performance measures



MMI Queuing Model

Most commonly used type of queue

- Can be used to model single-processor system or individual devices in a computer system
- Interarrival and service times are exponentially distributed, one server
- No buffer or population size limits, FCFS service discipline
- Analysis: We need the mean arrival rate λ and the mean service rate μ
- State transition similar to birth-death process with $\lambda_n = \lambda$ and $\mu_n = \mu$
- The probability of n jobs in the system becomes:

$$p_n = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n p_0, \qquad n = 1, 2, K, \infty$$



MMI Queuing Model

• The quantity $\lambda/\mu = \rho$ is called **traffic intensity**

• Thus
$$p_n = \rho^n p_0$$

$$p_n = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n p_0, \qquad n = 1, 2, \mathsf{K}, \infty$$

All probabilities should add to 1. Knowing this we can derive an equation for the probability of zero jobs (p_0) in the systems:

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \rho + \rho^2 + \bot + \rho\infty} = 1 - \rho$$

• Substituting p_0 in p_n leads to:

$$p_n = (1 - \rho)\rho^n$$
, $n = 0, 1, 2, K, \infty$

- Based on this expression, many other properties can be derived
- Utilization of the server: $U = 1 p_0 = \rho$
- The mean number of jobs in the system:

$$E[n] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} np_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(1-\rho)\rho^n = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$$



33



MMI Queuing Model

• The probability of n or more jobs in the system is: $P(\ge n \text{ jobs in the system}) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} p_j = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (1-\rho)\rho^j = \rho^n$

Using Little's law we can compute the mean response time:

$$E[n] = \lambda E[r]$$
$$E[r] = \frac{E[n]}{\lambda} = \left(\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1/\mu}{1-\rho}$$





LARS: Queuing Theorie



Thank You!

Holger Brunst (<u>holger.brunst@tu-dresden.de</u>) Matthias S. Mueller (<u>matthias.mueller@tu-dresden.de</u>)

