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#### Abstract

Recently developed IGBT press pack devices have become a competition for IGCTs in high power industrial applications. This paper presents an overview of state-of-theart medium voltage power semiconductors with active turn-off capability. A new $85 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}, 1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ press pack SPT+ IGBT and the corresponding freewheeling diode are characterized for an operation in Voltage Source Converters. To reduce the IGBT turn-on losses compared to hard switching the clamp circuit configuration of IGCTs was adapted to the operation of press pack IGBTs. The switching behavior of IGBT and diode are characterized for varying dc-link voltages, load currents, junction temperatures and clamp inductances.


## I. Introduction

Recent technology developments of $3.3 \mathrm{kV}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}$ and 6.5 kV IGBTs and IGCTs enabled a substantial improvement of medium voltage converters during the last years [1]-[3]. While medium voltage IGBT modules dominate in traction converters, IGCT press pack devices are mainly used in high power industrial applications, due to advantageous features of press pack cases compared to modules, like a higher thermal and power cycling capability and an explosion-free failure mode [4], [5].
However, recently developed press pack IGBT devices combine the advantages of IGBTs with those of press pack cases. Thus, press pack IGBTs have become a competition for IGCTs in medium and high power industrial applications like medium voltage drives (MVD).

Several authors have compared IGBTs on the basis of the technologies Field Stop (FS), Injection-Enhanced Gate Transistor (IEGT) and Soft Punch Through (SPT and SPT+) technology for hard and soft switching [6]-[10]. The SPT+ technology realizes low losses, smooth switching waveforms, a switching-self-clamping-mode and wide SOA limits [8], [9]. The new Westcode SPT+ IGBT press pack combines the advantages of the SPT+ IGBT technology with the advantages of press pack housing. The investigation of the 85 mm , $4.5 \mathrm{kV}, 1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ press pack SPT+ IGBT and the corresponding freewheeling diode at hard switching showed that the device is attractive for Medium Voltage Converters (MVC) [10]. It should be considered that two major manufacturers (TMEIC and Converteam) offer MVCs on the basis of hard switching press pack IGBTs. However, especially the substantially higher
turn-on losses compared to IGCTs are a severe disadvantage which limits the silicon utilization of these devices [10].

To overcome this disadvantage, this paper considers an operation of IGBT and diode in Voltage Source Converters (VSCs) with clamp circuit. Thus the IGCT clamp circuit configuration is adapted to the operation of the $85 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}, 1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ press pack IGBT. Finally the switching behavior of IGBT and diode are characterized for the first time in this circuit configuration for varying load currents, junction temperatures and clamp inductances. A comparison of the switching losses at hard switching and clamp operation shows a substantial reduction of the turn-on losses at clamp operation while the turn-off losses do not change remarkably.

## II. Overview of MV power semiconductors

Maximum nominal voltage and current ratings of available power semiconductors with turn-off capability are shown in Fig. 1. Both commercially available IGBT modules and asymmetrical IGCTs achieve maximum device voltages of 6.5 kV . So far press pack IGBTs feature maximum device blocking voltages of 4.5 kV . It is interesting to note that the maximum turn-off current of the $125 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}, 2.4 \mathrm{kA}$ press pack IGBT ( $I_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}}$ $=4.8 \mathrm{kA}$ ) is slightly lower than that of the largest currently available $91 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}$ IGCT $\left(I_{\mathrm{TGQM}}=5.5 \mathrm{kA}\right)$. However, compared to the IGCT, the press pack IGBT features several advantages, like short circuit current limitation, short circuit turn-off capability, an adjustment of the switching behavior by the gate unit and a simpler device parallel and series connection. Obviously these characteristics simplify the converter design substantially [1]. A detailed overview of the state-of-the-art of medium voltage drives and power semiconductors has been presented in [5], [10].

## III. Semiconductor Data and Test-bench

The $85 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}$ press pack IGBT T1200EB45E (Westcode), the commercially available gate unit C0030BG400 (Westcode) and the widely distributed $68 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}$ press pack diode D1031SH45T (Infineon) have been selected for the characterization of the devices. The minimum recommended gate resistance values have been used since the clamp circuit determines the IGBT turn-on and diode turn-off behavior compared


Fig. 1: Blocking voltage and maximum turn-off currents of state-of-the-art IGBTs and asymmetrical IGCTs.


Fig. 2: Test circuit ( $\mathrm{C}_{D C}=4.5 \mathrm{mF}, \mathrm{C}_{s t b}=220 \mu \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C}_{c l}=10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$, $\left.\mathrm{R}_{c l}=0.5 \Omega, L_{c l}=1 \ldots 5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}_{\text {Load }}=1 \mathrm{mH}\right)$

TABLE I: Data of PP-IGBT, diode and gate unit

| PP-IGBT <br> Westcode <br> T1200EB45E | PP-Diode <br> Infineon <br> D1031SH45T |  |  | Gate Unit <br> Westcode <br> C0030BG400 | Clamp-Diode <br> Infineon <br> D1031SH45T |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $V_{\mathrm{CES}}$ | 4.5 kV | $V_{\text {RRM }}$ | 4.5 kV | $V_{\mathrm{G}, \text { on/off }}$ | $\pm 15 \mathrm{~V}$ | $V_{\mathrm{RRM}}$ | 4.5 kV |
| $V_{\mathrm{DC} \text {-link }}$ | 2.8 kV | $I_{\mathrm{FRMSM}}$ | 2.3 kA | $R_{\mathrm{G}, \text { on }}$ | $3.3 \Omega$ | $I_{\mathrm{FRMSM}}$ | 2.3 kA |
| $I_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{DC})}$ | 2.1 kA | $I_{\mathrm{FAVM}}$ | 1.5 kA | $R_{\mathrm{G}, \text { off }}$ | $2.2 \Omega$ | $I_{\mathrm{FAVM}}$ | 1.5 kA |
| $I_{\mathrm{C}(\text { nom })}$ | 1.2 kA | $I_{\mathrm{RRM}}$ | 1.5 kA | $P_{\mathrm{o}}$ | 12 W | $I_{\mathrm{RRM}}$ | 1.5 kA |



Fig. 3: IGBT press pack test bench


Fig. 4: IGBT Stack
TABLE II: Data of test-bench and parameter variations

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | 4.5 mF | $V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $2,2.5 \mathrm{kV}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{stb}}$ | $220 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ | $i_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $100 \ldots 1800 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{Load}}$ | 1 mH | $T_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $25,60,90,125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | $0.5 \Omega$ | $R_{\mathrm{G}, \text { on }}$ | $3.3 \Omega$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ | $R_{\mathrm{G}, \text { off }}$ | $2.2 \Omega$ |
| $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | 1,2 and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |  |  |

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{DC}}$ and the stabilization capacitor $\mathrm{C}_{\text {stb }}$. Thus the mechanical construction of the test bench is able to withstand short circuit currents of about 200 kA in case of an IGBT failure. However, the clamp inductance $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cl}}\right)$ reduces the maximum value of failure short circuit currents to about 30 to 50 kA . The two diodes $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r} 1}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r} 2}\right)$ and the fuse F are important components of the protection concept in case of an IGBT failure. The fuse prevents the dc-link capacitors from discharging completely through the stack, and the diodes $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r} 1}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r} 2}\right)$ limit possible negative voltages across the dc-link capacitor preventing an oscillation of the failure short circuit current. The double pulse operation of the buck converter is the reason therefore, that the device junction temperatures can be adjusted by two heaters, which control the case temperatures [12]. The dc-link capacitor is charged by a high voltage power supply before the measurements are started. The variation of several measurement parameters, as depicted in Table II, cause about 500 measurements to characterize the switching behavior of IGBT and diode. Thus, a partially automated measurement system was used. The values of $V_{\mathrm{DC}}$


Fig. 5: IGBT switching waveforms for different collector currents for one set of parameters $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}$ ).


Fig. 6: Diode switching waveforms for different collector currents for one set of parameters $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.
and $i_{\mathrm{L}}$ are set through a LabVIEW graphical user interface in a computer connected to the test bench by a fiber optic cable. The storage and analysis of the data is carried out on a separate PC.

## IV. Experimental Results

This section presents an overview of the experimental results. Three clamp configurations have been defined:

- Clamp 1 with $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}=1 \mu \mathrm{H}$
- Clamp 2 with $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}$
- Clamp 3 with $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}=5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}$

For every set of temperature, dc-link voltage and clamp configuration, the switching behavior of IGBT and diode are investigated for seven different collector currents. Figs. 5 and 6 show exemplary measurements of the IGBT and diode switching behavior at $T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$ and clamp 2 .


Fig. 7: Definitions of IGBT voltages and currents for calculations of $\mathrm{d} v / \mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} i / \mathrm{d} t$ and switching losses $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.8 \mathrm{kA}$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}=1 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.


Fig. 8: Definitions of diode voltages and currents for calculations of $\mathrm{d} v / \mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} i / \mathrm{d} t$ and switching losses $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.8 \mathrm{kA}$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}=1 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.

TABLE III: Definition of voltage and current values for $\mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} t$ calculations

|  |  | $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{i}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IGBT | on | $0.7 V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $0.4 V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $0.1 I_{\mathrm{C}, \text { max }}$ | $0.8 I_{\mathrm{C}, \max }$ |
|  | off | $0.1 V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $0.8 V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $0.9 I_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $0.45 I_{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| Diode | off | $0.15 V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $0.5 V_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $0.9 I_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $0.8 I_{\text {rrm }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $+0.1 I_{\mathrm{rrm}}$ | $+0.2 I_{\mathrm{L}}$ |

## A. Parameter Definitions

The following variables have been used to analyze the switching behavior of IGBT and diode:

- $V_{\mathrm{DC}}, I_{\mathrm{L}}$ : DC voltage and load current (constant during the commutation)
- $I_{\mathrm{C}, \max }$ : Maximum IGBT current value during turn-on transients
- $V_{\mathrm{CE}, \max }$ : Maximum IGBT voltage value during turn-off transients
- $I_{\text {rrm }}$ : Peak reverse recovery current

Definitions of device voltages and currents to calculate rates of change are shown in Figs. 7 and 8, and Table III.


Fig. 9: IGBT turn-on transients at different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}\right)$.


Fig. 10: IGBT turn-on $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t$ and $\mathrm{d} v_{\mathrm{CE}} / \mathrm{d} t$ at different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=25\right.$ and $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\left.V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right)$.


Fig. 11: IGBT turn-on at hard switching $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}, L_{\sigma 1}=120 \mathrm{nH}, \mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t=4 \mathrm{kA} / \mu \mathrm{s}$, $\left.E_{\text {on,IGBT }}=4 \mathrm{~J}\right)[10]$.

The voltage and current slopes are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} i}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\frac{i_{2}-i_{1}}{t\left(i_{2}\right)-t\left(i_{1}\right)}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} v}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\frac{v_{2}-v_{1}}{t\left(v_{2}\right)-t\left(v_{1}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Influence of Clamp

Both IGBT and diode switching behavior are determined by the design of the clamp configuration. IGBT turn-on transients for different clamp inductance values can be seen in Fig. 9 for $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}\right)$. It is obvious that an increase of the clamp inductance decreases the rate of current rise and increases the rate of voltage fall. Fig. 10 shows that the $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t$ changes from $1.5 \mathrm{kA} / \mu \mathrm{s}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}$ to $0.45 \mathrm{kA} / \mu \mathrm{s}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ at the nominal current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$. The inverse effect can be observed for the rate of voltage change $\mathrm{d} v_{\mathrm{CE}} / \mathrm{d} t$, which changes from $-2.3 \mathrm{kV} / \mu \mathrm{s}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}$ to $-3.6 \mathrm{kV} / \mu \mathrm{s}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$.

Fig. 11 shows the switching behavior of the hard switching IGBT [10]. It is important to note that both stress and losses of the hard switching IGBT are substantially higher. On the other hand the turn-on time at hard switching is smaller (about $3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ for hard switching (HS) versus $8 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ ).

Figs. 12 and 13 show the corresponding waveforms and slopes for the turn-off transients of the freewheeling diode. The reduced rate of diode current fall (with increasing $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ ) causes smaller peak reverse recovery currents. Furthermore the reverse recovery current fall intervals decrease with increasing $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$, causing lower overvoltage peaks during the diode turn-off. A snappy behavior of the diode has not been observed in the entire operating range.

Fig. 14 shows the corresponding switching diode behavior at hard switching [10]. The higher $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t$ generates a larger peak reverse recovery current as well as increased stress and losses in the diode.

Figs 15 and 16 show the waveforms and slopes of IGBT turnoff transients for the different clamp configurations. Due to a constant clamp stray inductance the IGBT turn-off transients only slightly vary for different clamp inductance values. The only remarkable difference between the turn-off transients is the small increase of the overvoltage toward the end of the tail current caused by the demagnetization of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$. It is interesting that the influence of the junction temperature on the variation of rates of current and voltage changes is larger than that of different clamp inductance values.

The corresponding turn-off transient at hard switching is depicted in Fig. 17. A comparison to Fig. 15 shows that the switching behavior is almost the same for hard switching and clamp operation. The clamp operation generates slightly higher losses due to the overvoltage caused by the demagnetization of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$.

## C. Switching Losses

The losses of IGBT, diode, clamp diode and clamp resistor are summarized by (3) (total semiconductor losses $E_{\text {Total SC }}$ ) and (4) (total losses $E_{\text {Total }}$ ) respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\text {Total SC }} & =E_{\text {on, IGBT }}+E_{\text {off,IGBT }}+E_{\text {off,Diode }}  \tag{3}\\
E_{\text {Total }} & =E_{\mathrm{Total} \mathrm{SC}}+E_{\mathrm{D}, L_{\mathrm{cl}}}+E_{\mathrm{R}, L_{\mathrm{cl}}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with

- $E_{\text {on,IGBT }}$ : IGBT turn-on switching losses


Fig. 12: Diode turn-off transients at different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}\right)$.


Fig. 13: Diode turn-off $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{d} t$ and $\mathrm{d} v_{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{d} t$ at different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=25\right.$ and $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\left.V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right)$.


Fig. 14: Diode turn-off at hard switching $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}, L_{\sigma 1}=120 \mathrm{nH}, \mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t=-$ $\left.4 \mathrm{kA} / \mu \mathrm{s}, E_{\text {off,Diode }}=3.2 \mathrm{~J}\right)$ [10].

- $E_{\text {off,IGBT }}$ : IGBT turn-off switching losses
- $E_{\text {off,Diode }}$ : Diode turn-off switching losses
- $E_{\mathrm{D}, L_{\mathrm{cl}}}$ : Losses of clamp diode
- $E_{\mathrm{R}, L_{\mathrm{cl}}}$ : Losses of clamp resistance

1) Semiconductor Losses: The influence of the different clamp configurations on the IGBT and diode switching losses


Fig. 15: IGBT turn-off transients at different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}\right)$.


Fig. 16: IGBT turn-off $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t$ and $\mathrm{d} v_{\mathrm{CE}} / \mathrm{d} t$ at different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=25\right.$ and $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\left.V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right)$.


Fig. 17: IGBT turn-off at hard switching $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=\right.$ $2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}, L_{\sigma 1}=120 \mathrm{nH}, \mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t=-1.55 \mathrm{kA} / \mu \mathrm{s}$, $\left.E_{\text {off,IGBT }}=5 \mathrm{~J}\right)[10]$.
for $T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$ are depicted in Fig. 18. The losses of clamp resistor and diode for the three presented clamp configurations at the same operating point can be seen in Fig. 22

The IGBT turn-on losses are drastically reduced (by about $90 \%$ ) compared to hard switching. Obviously the IGBT turn-on


Fig. 18: Semiconductor losses as function of $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ current for different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right)$.


Fig. 19: IGBT turn-on losses as function of collector current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ with $T \mathrm{j}$ as parameter ( $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.
losses increase for smaller $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ values. Exemplarily the turn-on losses at nominal current increase from 0.25 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}=5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}$ to 0.32 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}=1 \mu \mathrm{H}$. The diode turn-off losses present a similar dependence on $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ values since a reduction of $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{d} t$ causes lower reverse recovery currents and thus lower losses. Here the turn-off losses at nominal current change from 0.52 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ to 0.84 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}$. For $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ the losses are reduced about $70 \%$ compared to hard switching. For IGBT turn-off transients the different clamp configurations cause only a slight change of the turn-off waveforms and losses (Fig. 18). It is interesting to note that these losses do not vary significantly from the losses at hard switching.

The dependence on the temperature of IGBT turn-on, diode turn-off and IGBT turn-off losses are shown in Figs. 19 to 21 for $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$ and $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$.

The IGBT turn-on losses increase almost linear with the rising collector current between $0.19 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $0.32 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The losses at nominal current $i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ increase


Fig. 20: Diode turn-off losses as function of collector current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ with $T \mathrm{j}$ as parameter $\left(V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.


Fig. 21: IGBT turn-off losses as function of collector current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ with $T \mathrm{j}$ as parameter $\left(V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.
by about $64 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.25 \mathrm{~J}$ to 0.41 J$)$ (Fig. 19).
The function of diode turn-off losses and device current is non linear. At nominal current the diode turn-off losses increase by about $42 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2.09 \mathrm{~J}$ to 3.56 J$)$. If the losses are linearized the slopes of the loss functions are in a range between $1.59 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $2.68 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 20).

The IGBT turn-off losses depend linearly on the collector current between $3.51 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $4.46 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The turn-off losses at nominal current rise by about $22 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4.27 \mathrm{~J}$ to 5.51 J$)$ for the $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ configuration (Fig. 21).
2) Clamp Losses: The clamp circuit produces losses in the diode $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}$, the resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{cl}}$, the inductance $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and the capacitance $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cl}}$, during the forced commutation and the natural commutation (reverse recovery of the diode). While the losses of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ can be neglected, the losses of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ depend on the inductance design and the operating point of the converter. For these reasons these losses are not considered in the following.

Fig. 22 presents the losses of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ during IGBT turnon and turn-off transients at $T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$. Both loss components have been determined by measurements.

The $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ losses increase for rising $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ due to the slower


Fig. 22: Snubber losses as function of $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ current for different clamp configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right)$.


Fig. 23: Clamp diode losses at IGBT turn-on as function of collector current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ with $T \mathrm{j}$ as parameter $\left(V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.
demagnetization. These losses dominate the clamp losses at IGBT turn-on and turn-off transients. The increase of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ reduces the losses in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ during IGBT turn-on transients, due to the smaller $\mathrm{d} i_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{d} t$ and the reduced reverse recovery current. The rise of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ increases the losses in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ during IGBT turn-off transients (Fig. 22).

The clamp diode turn-off losses increase almost linear with the rising collector current between $0.39 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $0.58 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{A}$ at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The losses at nominal current increase by about $35 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.46 \mathrm{~J}$ to 0.71 J$)$ (Fig. 23).

The function of total losses of the clamp resistance and the device current is non linear. At nominal current the losses increase by about $10 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1.49 \mathrm{~J}$ to 1.65 J$)$ (Fig. 24). The total losses of the clamp resistance increase with rising $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$, making the total losses at high currents for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ larger than those at hard switching.
3) Total Losses $E_{\text {Total }}$ : The sum of semiconductor and clamp component losses $E_{\text {Total }}$ for the clamp configuration $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ can


Fig. 24: Clamp resistance losses at IGBT turn-on as function of collector current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ with $T \mathrm{j}$ as parameter $\left(V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}\right.$ and $\left.L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}\right)$.


Fig. 25: Total switching losses as function of collector current $i_{\mathrm{C}}$ with $T \mathrm{j}$ as parameter ( $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$ and $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}=2 \mu \mathrm{H}$ ).
be seen in Fig. 25. It is interesting that the losses $E_{\text {Total SC }}$ and $E_{\text {Total }}$ are lower than those at hard switching in a current range between 600 to 1800 A at $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}$. As expected, the total losses almost linearly increase with rising collector current.

The total losses for the nominal current increase by about $26 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 8.62 J to 11.63 J ). Moreover, the total semiconductor losses increase by about $31 \%$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(6.61 \mathrm{~J}$ to 9.49 J$)$, which represents a loss reduction of about 30 to $20 \%$ compared to the switching losses at hard switching, see Fig 25.
4) Comparison of Switching and Clamp Losses: The losses of semiconductors and clamp components are compared in Figs. 26 and 27 at $V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}, T \mathrm{j}=125$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The IGBT turn-on losses are reduced by $79 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}, 89 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ and $92 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ refered to hard switching ( 0.86 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}, 0.45 \mathrm{~J}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ and 0.33 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ compared to 4.12 J for hard switching). The diode turn-off losses increase by $9.3 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}, 2 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ and decrease by $10 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ compared to hard switching ( 4.01 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}, 3.74 \mathrm{~J}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ and 3.3 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ regarding the 3.67 J for hard switching). IGBT turn-off transients cause an increase of about $8 \%$ for the configurations with clamp compared to hard switching.

The total semiconductor losses $E_{\text {Total SC }}$ at $T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and


Fig. 26: Comparison of Switching and Clamp Losses for different configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}\right)$.


Fig. 27: Comparison of Switching and Clamp Losses for different configurations $\left(T \mathrm{j}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, V_{\mathrm{DC}}=2.5 \mathrm{kV}, i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}\right)$.
nominal current $i_{\mathrm{C}}=1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ are reduced by $21 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}$, $25 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ and $30 \%$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}$ compared to hard switching ( 10.24 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}, 9.64 \mathrm{~J}$ for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-2}$ and 9.04 J for $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}, 12.85 \mathrm{~J}$ for hard switching).

Based on these results the clamp operation of the 4.5 kV press-pack IGBTs is an interesting technical solution which enables a higher silicon utilization due to reduced switching losses. Furthermore the clamp configuration reduces the stress during IGBT turn-on transients. A low value of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ increases the stress of the diode. The total converter losses are only slightly changed by the clamp configuration. The optimum value of $L_{\mathrm{cl}}$ depends on the required switching frequency of the application.

## V. Conclusions

This paper characterizes the new $85 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.5 \mathrm{kV}, 1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ Westcode press-pack SPT+ IGBT in VSCs applying a clamp circuit which is used in IGCT VSCs today. The switching behavior of both IGBT and freewheeling diode are investigated
for the first time for clamp configurations of varying load currents, junction temperatures and clamp inductances.

The experimental results show that the stress of IGBT and diode can be substantially reduced by the use of the clamp circuit. Furthermore IGBT turn-on losses and diode turn-off losses can be substantially reduced compared to hard switching (e.g. by $92 \%$ and $10 \%$ at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}=5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}, 1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ ). On the other hand the IGBT turn off losses slightly increase in clamp configurations compared to hard switching (e.g. at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}=5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}, 1.2 \mathrm{kA}$ by about $8 \%$ ).

Compared to hard switching the total semiconductor losses $E_{\text {Total SC }}$ can be reduced by $30 \%-33 \%$ at $L_{\mathrm{cl}-3}=5.6 \mu \mathrm{H}$ and by $21 \%-24 \%$ at $L_{\mathrm{cl}-1}=1 \mu \mathrm{H}$ for $T \mathrm{j}=125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $T \mathrm{j}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively.

However, the clamp circuit does not influence the converter efficiency substantially, since the saved switching losses are almost compensated by the additional clamp losses.

Nevertheless, the proposed circuit configuration is very attractive for Medium Voltage IGBT VSCs due to the increased silicon utilization. Thus higher converter currents and powers can be achieved using a given semiconductor. Obviously the attractiveness of clamp configurations increases for high switching frequency applications.
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