

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

Logic-Based Ontology Engineering

Exercise Sheet 9

Summer Semester 2018

27th June 2018

Dr.-Ing. Stefan Borgwardt, PD Anni-Yasmin Turhan

Exercise 9.1 We consider again the ontology from Exercise 8.2, namely $\mathcal{O} = (\emptyset, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R})$, with abbreviations for the axioms as follows:

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ C \sqcap \exists s. E \sqsubseteq F \sqcap D_1, \qquad (\mathsf{T}_1) \\ C \sqsubseteq A \sqcap B, \qquad (\mathsf{T}_2) \\ D \equiv D_1 \sqcap \exists r. A_1 \sqcap \exists r. A_2, \qquad (\mathsf{T}_3) \\ A \sqsubseteq D_1 \sqcap \exists s. (A_1 \sqcap A_2), \qquad (\mathsf{T}_4) \\ B \sqsubseteq D, \qquad (\mathsf{T}_5) \\ F \sqsubseteq \exists r. (A_1 \sqcap A_2) \ \} \qquad (\mathsf{T}_6)$$

 $\mathcal{R} = \{ s \sqsubseteq r, \\ ran(s) = E \} \qquad (\mathbf{R}_2$

- (a) Compute all justifications for the consequence $C \sqcap \exists s. \top \sqsubseteq D$ w.r.t. \mathcal{O} using the black-box algorithm **AllJustifications**.
- (b) What are the diagnoses for this consequence?

Exercise 9.2 Consider the black-box algorithm **SingleJustification**.

- (a) How does the algorithm need to be modified if we want to split the ontology into three instead of two parts (\mathcal{O}_l , \mathcal{O}_m and \mathcal{O}_r)?
- (b) Does the modified algorithm run faster than the original one?