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## Constraint Satisfaction Problems

(relational) structure $\mathfrak{A}=\left(A ; R^{\mathfrak{A}}: R \in \tau\right)$; finite signature $\tau$

## Definition (homomorphism of structures)

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be $\tau$-structures, then a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathfrak{B}$ is a function $h: A \rightarrow B$ that preserves all the relations, that is, if $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in R^{\mathfrak{A}}$, then $\left(h\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(a_{k}\right)\right) \in R^{\mathfrak{B}}$.

## Definition (CSP)

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a $\tau$-structure. The constraint satisfaction problem for $\mathfrak{B}$, denoted by $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{B})$, is the computational problem of deciding for a given finite $\tau$-structure $\mathfrak{A}$ whether $\mathfrak{A}$ has a homomorphism to $\mathfrak{B}$ or not.

## Examples of CSPs

The complete graph on 3 vertices is the relational structure

$$
K_{3}=(\{0,1,2\} ; \neq) .
$$

$\operatorname{CSP}\left(K_{3}\right)$ is the 3-colorability problem for graphs (and $\operatorname{CSP}\left(K_{n}\right)$ is the $n$-colorability problem).
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3-SAT is equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{CSP}\left(\{0,1\} ; R_{000} ; R_{001} ; R_{011} ; R_{111}\right)
$$

where $R_{i j k}=\{0,1\}^{3} \backslash\{(i, j, k)\}$.
For example, the clause $x \vee \neg y \vee z$ is modelled by $R_{001}(x, z, y)$.

## Primitive positive definitions

## Definition (pp-formula)

An atomic formula is a formula of the form $x=y, R\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, or $\perp$. A primitive positive formula is a formula $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of the form

$$
\exists y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_{m}\right)
$$

where $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}$ are atomic formulas.
Example: $\phi(x, y)=\exists z R(x, y, z) \wedge R(x, x, z)$ is a pp-formula.
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## Proposition (Jeavons (1998))

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be structures with the same domain. If every relation of $\mathfrak{A}$ has a pp-definition in $\mathfrak{B}$, then there is a poly-time reduction from $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{A})$ to $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{B})$.

## Polymorphisms

## Definition (polymorphism)

An operation $f: A^{k} \rightarrow A$ is a polymorphism of (or preserves) a structure $\mathfrak{A}$ if for every relation $R$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ and for all tuples $\overline{r_{1}}, \ldots, \bar{r}_{k} \in R$ also $f\left(\bar{r}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{r}_{k}\right) \in R$ (computed row-wise).
The set of all polymorphisms of $\mathfrak{A}$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathfrak{A})$.

$$
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## Theorem (Bodirsky, Nešetřil (2006))

A relation $R \subseteq A^{\prime}$ is preserved by all polymorphisms of an $\omega$-categorical structure $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $R$ is has a pp-definition in $\mathfrak{A}$.

## Model-theoretical definitions

## Definition (first-order expansion)

A first-order expansion (fo-expansion) of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a structure $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ augmented by relations that are first-order definable in $\mathfrak{A}$.

## Definition ( $\omega$-categorical structure, homogeneity)

A structure $\mathfrak{A}$ is

- $\omega$-categorical if it is countable and all countable models of the first-order theory of $\mathfrak{A}$ are isomorphic;
- homogeneous if every isomorphism between finite substructures can be extended to an automorphism of the structure.

Example: $(\mathbb{Q},<)$ is an $\omega$-categorical homogeneous structure.

## Complexity dichotomy

## Theorem (Bulatov (2017), Zhuk (2017))

For every finite structure $\mathfrak{B}$ with finite signature $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{B})$ is in $P$ or NP-complete.

## Conjecture (Bodirsky, Pinsker (2011))

For reduct $\mathfrak{B}$ of a finitely bounded homogeneous structure $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{B})$ is in $P$ or NP-complete.

Interesting examples of infinite structures that fall into the scope of the conjecture are e.g. fo-expansions of (algebraic powers of) $(\mathbb{Q},<)$.

## Algebraic products

## Definition (algebraic product)

Let $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{2}$ be structures with signatures $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, respectively. The algebraic product $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}$ is the structure with domain $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ which has for every atomic $\tau_{1}$-formula $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ the relation

$$
\left\{\left(\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)\right) \mid \mathfrak{A}_{1} \models \phi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

and for every atomic $\tau_{2}$-formula $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ the relation

$$
\left\{\left(\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)\right) \mid \mathfrak{A}_{2} \models \phi\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)\right\} .
$$

Example: $(\mathbb{Q},<) \boxtimes(\mathbb{Q},<)$ is the structure $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2} ;<_{1},==_{1},<_{2},==_{2}\right)$, where e.g. $(1,4)<_{1}(2,3)$ and $(-2,5)=2(8 / 3,5)$.

## Algebraic powers of $(\mathbb{Q},<)$ in spatial reasoning

## Cardinal Direction Calculus:

- $\mathfrak{C}=\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2} ; N, E, S, W, N E, S E, S W, N W\right)$ (North, East, etc.)

| N | E | S | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(=,>)$ | $(>,=)$ | $(=,<)$ | $(<,=)$ |


| NE | SE | SW | NW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(>,>)$ | $(>,<)$ | $(<,<)$ | $(<,>)$ |

- the relations $<_{i}$ and $=_{i}$ are pp-definable in $\mathfrak{C}$
- fo-expansions of $\mathfrak{C}$ can be then viewed as fo-expansions of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2},<_{1},={ }_{1},<_{2},={ }_{2}\right)$
- we may then classify complexity of CSPs of fo-expansions of $\mathfrak{C}$


## Algebraic powers of $(\mathbb{Q},<)$ in temporal reasoning

## Allen's Interval Algebra:

- $\mathbb{I}$ is the set of all pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}$ such that $x<y$
- we view $\mathbb{I}$ as the set of all closed intervals $[a, b]$ of rational numbers
- basic relations defined on $\mathbb{I}$ correspond to relative positions of the intervals (e. g. meets, starts, finishes)
- e.g., s( $X, Y$ ) corresponds to $X$ starts $Y$ and $f(X, Y)$ to $X$ finishes $Y$

$$
\begin{array}{llrr}
s(X, Y): & \text { XXX } & f(X, Y): & X X X \\
& \text { YYYYYY } & & \text { YYYYYY }
\end{array}
$$

- one may prove that $(\mathbb{I}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{f})$ is isomorphic to a structure that is pp-interdefinable with ( $\mathbb{Q}^{2},<{ }_{1},={ }_{1},<_{2},={ }_{2}$ )
- complexity classification of CSPs of fo-expansions of $(\mathbb{I}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{f})$ then follows from the classification for ( $\mathbb{Q}^{2},<_{1},=1,<_{2},==_{2}$ )


## Complexity of CSPs of (fo-expansions) of alg. products

$\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2}$ - countable $\omega$-categorical structures
$\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow$ the complexity of the CSP (of an fo-expansion) of $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}$ is related to "the complexity in each dimension"

## Proposition

If $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1}\right)$ is in $P$ and $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{2}\right)$ is in $P$, then $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right)$ is in $P$.
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## Proposition

 If $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1}\right)$ is in $P$ and $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{2}\right)$ is in $P$, then $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right)$ is in $P$.$\theta_{i}: \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i}\right)$ (projects on the $i$-th coordinate) Follows from the results by Barto, Opršal, Pinsker (2018):

## Proposition

Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be an fo-expansion of $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{A}_{2}$. Let $i$ be such that $\theta_{i}(\operatorname{Pol}(\mathfrak{D}))$ has a uniformly continuous minor-preserving map to $\operatorname{Pol}\left(K_{3}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathfrak{D})$ has a uniformly continuous minor-preserving map to $\operatorname{Pol}\left(K_{3}\right)$ as well and $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{D})$ is NP-complete.

## CSPs of fo-expansions of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2},<_{1},=1,<_{2},={ }_{2}\right)$

## Theorem (Bodirsky, Kára $(2009,2010)$ )

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be an fo-expansion of $(\mathbb{Q} ;<)$. If Pol $(\mathfrak{B})$ contains a min-, mx-, mi-, or II-operation, or the dual of such an operation, then $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{B})$ is in $P$. Otherwise, $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{B})$ is NP-complete.

## Theorem (Bodirsky, Jonsson, Martin, Mottet, S. (2022))

Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be an fo-expansion of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2},<_{1},=1,<_{2},==_{2}\right)$. Exactly one of the following two cases applies.

- $\theta_{i}(\operatorname{Pol}(\mathfrak{D}))$ contains a min-, mx-, mi-, or II-operation, or the dual of such an operation, for each $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{D})$ is in $P$.
- There is $i \in\{1,2\}$ such that $\theta_{i}(\operatorname{Pol}(\mathfrak{D}))$ has a uniformly continuous minor-preserving map to $\operatorname{Pol}\left(K_{3}\right)$ and $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathfrak{D})$ is NP-complete.


## Proof idea

## NP-complete:

- follows directly from the previous proposition


## Proof idea

## NP-complete:

- follows directly from the previous proposition

P:

- relations of $\mathfrak{D}$ are defined by fo-formulas in $<_{i}$ and $=_{i}$
- we may assume quantifier-free definitions in conjunctive normal form
- the key is to have a conjunctions of clauses which are (almost) $i$-determined (contains literals only with index $i$ )
- we aim to run first the poly-time algorithm to decide satisfiability of the 1-determined constraints and then the poly-time algorithm to decide satisfiability of the (possibly modified) 2-determined constraints
- existence of such poly-time algorithms follows from the theorem for $(\mathbb{Q},<)$


## What is next

Classify the complexity of:

- CSPs of (reducts) of fo-expansions of

$$
\underbrace{(\{0,1\} ;\{0\},\{1\}) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes(\{0,1\} ;\{0\},\{1\})}_{n} \boxtimes(\mathbb{Q},<)
$$

for $n=1$ and general $n$

- more generally: CSPs of fo-expansions of $\mathfrak{B} \boxtimes(\mathbb{Q},<)$, where $\mathfrak{B}$ is a finite structure
- challenge: CSPs of structures fo-interpretable over $(\mathbb{Q},<)$

All of the above fall into the scope of the infinite-domain dichotomy conjecture.
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