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## 1. Introduction

The ellipsoid method for minimizing a convex function was proposed independently by Yudin and Nemirovski [8] in 1976 and by Shor in 1977 [6]. In the latter paper, the ellipsoid method is presented as a special case of methods with space dilation in the direction of a subgradient. Space dilation methods were proposed by Shor at the end of the sixties [5] with the aim of speeding up the convergence of gradient methods. Based on the framework of methods with space dilation, we suggest an ellipsoid method with space scaling by a parameter $\lambda>0$. For certain values of $\lambda$, existing variants of the ellipsoid method are obtained, namely those by Shor [6], Nemirovski and Yudin [3, page 76]), and Khachiyan [2, Lemma 4]. For details see Table 3.

The ellipsoid method with space scaling is presented in Section 2. It is constructed to find an $\varepsilon$-approximation to the minimum point of the convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, Section 2 also includes theorems on the convergence of the proposed ellipsoid method with space scaling. Finally, results of computational experiments for the three indicated variants of this method applied to a piecewise linear function $f$ are shown in Section 3.

Section 2 presents the computational scheme of the algorithm and theorems on its convergence. Then, in Section 3, we show results of computational experiments for finding the $\varepsilon$-approximation to the minimum point of a convex piece-wise linear function for small $\varepsilon$ using the above three variants of the ellipsoid method.

## 2. Algorithm EM22B for minimizing convex function

Again, let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Its minimum value is denoted by $f^{*}=f\left(x^{*}\right)$, where $x^{*}$ is a minimum point. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $g(x)$ be a subgradient of $f$ at $x$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-x^{*}\right)^{\top} g(x) \geq f(x)-f^{*} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.
The EM22B algorithm is designed to find a $\varepsilon$-approximation to $x^{*}$, i.e., a point $x_{\varepsilon}^{*}$ for which $f\left(x_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)-f^{*} \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is given. Its name refers to ellipsoid method, the year 2022, and the use of the $\boldsymbol{B}$-form (see subsequent paragraph). The algorithm depends on the chosen scaling parameter $\lambda$, the starting point $x_{0}$, a radius $r_{0}$, and the desired approximation precision $\varepsilon$.

For the space dilation, we are here using the $B$-form of such techniques, see [4]. To this end, let us consider two spaces $X=Y=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, a nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and the space transformation from $x \in X$ to $y \in Y$ defined by $y:=A x$. Then, with $B:=A^{-1}$, we get $x=B y$

[^0]for the inverse transformation. The following algorithm updates the $B$-matrix in each step.
$$
\operatorname{Algorithm} \operatorname{EM} 22 \mathrm{~B}\left(\lambda, x_{0}, r_{0}, \varepsilon\right)
$$

Step 0. Choose $\lambda>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r_{0}>0, \varepsilon>0$ such that $\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \leq r_{0}$.
Set $B_{0}:=I_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (denoting the identity matrix) and $k:=0$.
Step 1. If $\left\|B_{k}^{\top} g\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| r_{k} \leq \varepsilon$, then STOP: $k^{*}:=k, x_{\varepsilon}^{*}:=x_{k}$.
Step 2. Compute $x_{k+1}:=x_{k}-\frac{r_{k}}{n+1} B_{k} \xi_{k}$, where $\xi_{k}:=\frac{B_{k}^{\top} g\left(x_{k}\right)}{\left\|B_{k}^{\top} g\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|}$.
Step 3. Update $B_{k+1}:=\lambda\left(B_{k}+\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}-1\right)\left(B_{k} \xi_{k}\right) \xi_{k}^{\top}\right)$ and $r_{k+1}:=\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^{2}-1}} r_{k}$.
Step 4. Set $k:=k+1$ and go to Step 1.
Obviously, updating the $B$-matrix (see Step 3) requires $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ operations. This is due to the the use of space scaling parameter $\lambda$ and the space dilation operator $R_{\alpha}(\xi): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha}(\xi):=I_{n}+(\alpha-1) \xi \xi^{\top} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|\xi\|=1$ is the direction of dilation. For each $k$, the above algorithm uses $\xi_{k}$ as new direction of dilation, see Step 2. Denoting the inverse dilation operator as $R_{\alpha}^{-1}(\xi)$ and setting $\beta:=1 / \alpha$, we have

$$
R_{\alpha}^{-1}(\xi)=R_{\beta}(\xi), \quad B_{k+1}=\lambda B_{k} R_{\beta}\left(\xi_{k}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}
$$

This also shows the roles of the parameter $\lambda$ and the space dilation operator (2) for updating $B$-matrices.

Theorem 1. For any $\left(\lambda, x_{0}, r_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$, algorithm EM22B is well-defined and generates a sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{k^{*}}$. With $A_{k}:=B_{k}^{-1}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{k}\left(x_{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\| \leq r_{k} \quad \text { for } k=0,1,2, \ldots, k^{*} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 can be carried out similar to the one of Theorem 1 [1].
For any $k \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, k^{*}\right\}$, the set

$$
E_{k}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\left\|A_{k}\left(x_{k}-x\right)\right\| \leq r_{k}\right\}
$$

is an ellipsoid that, due to (3), contains $x^{*}$. For its volume, we have $\operatorname{vol}\left(E_{k}\right)=v_{0} r_{k}^{n} / \operatorname{det} A_{k}$, where $v_{0}$ is the volume of the Euclidean $n$-dimensional unit ball and $\operatorname{det} A_{k}$ denotes the determinant of $A_{k}$. The rate of convergence of the EM22B algorithm is determined by the ratio of the volumes of two consecutively generated ellipsoids.

Theorem 2. There is $k^{*} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that algorithm $E M 22 B$ stops at Step 1 for $k=k^{*}$. For each $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq k^{*}$, the ratio of the volumes of the ellipsoids $E_{k}$ and $E_{k-1}$ is a constant $q_{n}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(E_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(E_{k-1}\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{n^{2}-1}}\right)^{n}<\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 n}\right\}<1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $f\left(x_{k^{*}}\right)-f^{*} \leq \varepsilon$ is satisfied.
The proof of Theorem 2 can be done similar to that of [1, Theorem 2]. An important part is as follows.

Using Theorem 2, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|=1$, we get for any $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, k^{*}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k} \geq\left\|A_{k}\left(x_{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\|=\left\|B_{k}^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\|\left\|\xi_{k}\right\| \geq\left(B_{k}^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x^{*}\right)\right)^{\top} \xi_{k}=\frac{\left(x_{k}-x^{*}\right)^{\top} g\left(x^{k}\right)}{\left\|B_{k}^{\top} g\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the algorithm stops in Step 1, then $\left\|B_{k}^{\top} g\left(x_{k^{*}}\right)\right\| r_{k^{*}} \leq \varepsilon$ is fulfilled. This, (5), and (1) imply

$$
\varepsilon \geq r_{k^{*}}\left\|B_{k^{*}}^{\top} g\left(x_{k^{*}}\right)\right\| \geq\left(x_{k^{*}}-x^{*}\right)^{\top} g\left(x_{k^{*}}\right) \geq f\left(x_{k^{*}}\right)-f^{*}
$$

## 3. Computational Experiment

The computational experiments were carried out on a computer with an AMD Ryzen 54500 U 2.38 GHz processor and 16 GB memory on a Windows 10 system using GNU Octave version 6.2.0. The values of $\lambda$ we considered correspond to three versions of the ellipsoid method by Shor 1977 [6], Khachiyan 1980 [2], Nemirovski and Yudin 1979 [3], see Table 1 below.

| Table 1. Characteristics of three variants of the ellipsoid method. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | Update of the $B$-matrix | Multiplications | Updated radius | Reference |  |
| 1 | $B_{1}=B+\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}-1\right)(B \xi) \xi^{\top}$ | $3 n^{2}+n$ | $r_{1}=\frac{n}{\sqrt{n^{2}-1}} r$ | $[6]$ |  |
| $\frac{n}{\sqrt{n^{2}-1}}$ | $B_{2}=\frac{n}{\sqrt{n^{2}-1}} B_{1}$ | $4 n^{2}+n$ | $r_{2}=r$ | $[2]$ |  |
| $\sqrt[n]{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}$ | $B_{3}=\left(\frac{n+1}{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 n}} B_{1}$ | $4 n^{2}+n$ | $r_{3}=\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 n}} r_{1}$ | $[3]$ |  |

Algorithm EM22B is applied to $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{10} 2^{i-1}\left|x_{i}-1\right|$, a convex piecewise linear function. For small $\varepsilon$, Table 2 shows results for computing $x_{\varepsilon}^{*}$ with $f\left(x_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \leq f^{*}+\varepsilon$. Though the three versions of EM22B are equivalent, we observe slight differences in the number of iterations for $\varepsilon \in\left\{10^{-7}, 10^{-8}\right\}$ due to accumulation of numerical errors. A study of such effects for different $f$, $n$, and $\varepsilon$ is intended. Algorithm EMB22 can be accelerated by tighter ellipsoidal approximations [7] and applied to convex programs or saddle point problems for convex-concave functions.

Table 2. Results for applying EM22B with $x_{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)^{\top}, r_{0}=10$.

|  |  | Shor $[6]$ |  |  | Khachiyan $[2]$ |  |  | Nemirovski and Yudin $[3]$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon$ | $f_{\varepsilon}^{*}$ | $k^{*}$ | $\left\\|B_{k}^{*}\right\\|$ | $r_{k}^{*}$ | $k^{*}$ | $\left\\|B_{k}^{*}\right\\|$ | $r_{k}^{*}$ | $k^{*}$ | $\left\\|B_{k}^{*}\right\\|$ | $r_{k}^{*}$ |
| $1.0 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 3124 | $6.4 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $6.6 \mathrm{e}+07$ | 3124 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 10 | 3124 | $2.6 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $1.6 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $1.0 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 4024 | $8.1 \mathrm{e}-17$ | $6.1 \mathrm{e}+09$ | 4024 | $4.9 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 10 | 4024 | $2.8 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| $1.0 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $6.9 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 4474 | $9.0 \mathrm{e}-19$ | $5.8 \mathrm{e}+10$ | 4474 | $5.2 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 10 | $\mathbf{4 4 9 0}$ | $2.8 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| $1.0 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $\mathbf{4 8 2 7}$ | $2.6 \mathrm{e}-20$ | $3.4 \mathrm{e}+11$ | $\mathbf{4 9 3 4}$ | $5.0 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 10 | $\mathbf{4 9 5 3}$ | $2.8 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
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