

# LÉVY PROCESSES, MARTINGALES AND UNIFORM INTEGRABILITY

DAVID BERGER, FRANZISKA KÜHN, AND RENÉ L. SCHILLING

**ABSTRACT.** We give equivalent conditions for the existence of generalized moments of a Lévy process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . We show, in particular, that the existence of a generalized  $g$ -moment is equivalent to uniform integrability of  $(g(X_t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ . As an application, it turns out that certain functions of a Lévy process which are integrable and local martingales are already true martingales.

A *generalized moment* of a stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an expression of the form  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)]$ . Such moments arise naturally when studying Markov semigroups. For Lévy processes, a necessary and sufficient condition based on the jumps and the integrability properties of the jump measure of the process is known (see Section 1). We will give a new proof of this result and add a few useful further equivalent conditions; in particular,  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)]$  exists if, and only if,  $g(X_t)$  is uniformly integrable for bounded  $t$ -sets. Our arguments are based on Gronwall's lemma and this technique can also be used (see Section 2) to show that certain functions of a Lévy process  $(f(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  which are both a local martingale and integrable, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}|f(X_t)| < \infty$ , are already proper martingales. In the last section (Section 3) we apply our results to get a short proof of the characterization of infinitely divisible lattice distributions and a 'martingale' criterion for the transience of Lévy processes.

Let us recall a few key concepts and techniques which will be needed later on. Most of our notation is standard or self-explanatory; in addition, we use  $|x|_{\ell^p}^p := \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^p$  with the usual modification if  $p = \infty$ . We write  $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| g(x) dx$  for the weighted  $L^1$ -norm (with positive and measurable weight function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ) and  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g) := \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ measurable and } \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)} < \infty\}$ .

**Lévy processes.** A Lévy process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a stochastic process with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , stationary and independent increments and right-continuous sample paths with finite left-hand limits (càdlàg). Our standard references for Lévy processes are Sato [9] (for probabilistic properties) and Jacob [4] and [5] (for analytic aspects). It is well-known that a stochastic process  $X$  is a Lévy process if it has càdlàg paths and if its conditional characteristic function is of the form

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i\xi \cdot (X_t - X_s)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = e^{-(t-s)\psi(\xi)}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma(X_r, r \leq s)$  is the natural filtration of  $X$ . The *characteristic exponent*  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is uniquely determined by the *Lévy-Khintchine formula*

$$(1) \quad \psi(\xi) = -ib \cdot \xi + \frac{1}{2} Q\xi \cdot \xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - e^{i\xi \cdot x} + i\xi \cdot x \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(|x|)) \nu(dx);$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G51; 60G44; 60G40; 26A12; 26B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Lévy process; Dynkin's formula; generalized moment; Gronwall's inequality; local martingale; condition D; condition DL.

*Acknowledgement.* Financial support through the DFG-NCN Beethoven Classic 3 project SCHI419/11-1 is gratefully acknowledged.

the Lévy triplet  $(b, Q, \nu)$  where  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  (a positive semidefinite matrix) and  $\nu$  (a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \min\{|x|^2, 1\} \nu(dx) < \infty$ ) uniquely describe  $\psi$ .

Using the characteristic exponent we can determine the infinitesimal generator  $A$  of the process  $X$  either as *pseudo-differential operator*

$$Au(x) = -\psi(D)u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[-\psi \mathcal{F}u](x), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} u(x) dx$  is the Fourier transform and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing smooth functions, or as integro-differential operator

$$(2) \quad Au(x) = Lu(x) + Ju(x) + Ku(x)$$

where  $L$ ,  $J$  and  $K$  are again linear operators:  $L$  is the local part,  $J$  takes into account the small jumps and  $K$  the large jumps, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(x) &= b \cdot \nabla u(x) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot Q \nabla u(x), \\ Ju(x) &= \int_{0 < |y| < 1} (u(x+y) - u(x) - y \cdot \nabla u(x)) \nu(dy), \\ Ku(x) &= \int_{|y| \geq 1} (u(x+y) - u(x)) \nu(dy). \end{aligned}$$

The precise form of the domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  of  $A$  (as closed operator on the Banach space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity  $(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ ) is not known; but both the test functions  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and the Schwartz spaces  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are operator cores. On the other hand, the expression (2) has a pointwise meaning for every  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and we will continue to use the notation  $Ag(x)$  despite the fact that  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \not\subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

The *transition semigroup*  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  corresponding to the generator  $A$  or the process  $X$  is given by  $P_t u(x) = \mathbb{E}[u(x + X_t)]$ . Its adjoint,  $P_t^* u(x) = \mathbb{E}[u(x - X_t)]$  is the transition semigroup of the Lévy process  $-X = (-X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

**Dynkin's formula.** Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process and denote by  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$  its natural filtration and  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  the infinitesimal generator. Dynkin's formula states that for every  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and every stopping time  $\sigma$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\sigma] < \infty$  we have

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E}[u(X_\sigma + x)] - u(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, \sigma)} Au(X_s) ds \right].$$

There are several ways to prove this result, e.g. using arguments from potential theory (as in [12, Proposition 7.31]), semigroup theory (as in [8, Proposition VII.1.6]) or by Itô's formula. At the heart of the argument is the fact that

$$(4) \quad M_t^{[u]} := u(X_t + x) - u(x) - \int_{[0, t)} Au(X_s) ds, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale combined with a stopping argument. There are various ways to extend the class of functions  $u$  for which we have some kind of Dynkin's formula. It is clear that formula (3) can be extended to those functions  $u$  such that  $u(X_\sigma) \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$  and  $Au(X_{s \wedge \sigma}) \in L^1(ds \otimes \mathbb{P})$ . Such moment estimates will be given below.

Here we need a *Dynkin inequality* which we are going to prove for positive  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Lemma 1** (Dynkin's inequality). *Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process with generator  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  and extend  $A$  using (2) to  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For every  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfying  $g(x) \geq 0$  and every stopping*

time  $\sigma$  the following inequality holds

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{E}g(X_{t \wedge \sigma}) \leq g(0) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \sigma)} |Ag(X_s)| ds \right].$$

*Proof.* Pick a cut-off function  $\chi_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathbb{1}_{\overline{B_{R+1}}(0)} \leq \chi_R \leq \mathbb{1}_{\overline{B_{R+2}}(0)}$  for some  $R > 0$ . Since  $g\chi_R \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we know that  $g\chi_R \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ , and we see that for any stopping time  $\sigma$  the process  $(M_{t \wedge \sigma}^{[g\chi_R]})_{t \geq 0}$  is a martingale, hence

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E}[(g\chi_R)(X_{t \wedge \sigma})] - g(0) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \sigma)} A(g\chi_R)(X_s) ds \right].$$

If we replace  $\sigma$  by the stopping time  $\sigma \wedge \tau_R$  where  $\tau_R = \inf \{s \geq 0 \mid |X_s| \geq R\}$ , then we can use the fact that  $|X_s| \leq R$  if  $s \in [0, t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R)$ . This implies, in particular, that  $\partial^\alpha(g\chi_R)(X_s) = \partial^\alpha g(X_s)$ , and we see from the integro-differential representation (2) of  $A$  that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} A(g\chi_R)(X_s) &= L(g\chi_R)(X_s) + J(g\chi_R)(X_s) + K(g\chi_R)(X_s) \\ &= b \cdot \nabla g(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot Q \nabla g(X_s) + \int_{0 < |y| < 1} (g(X_s + y) - g(X_s) - y \cdot \nabla g(X_s)) \nu(dy) \\ &\quad + \int_{|y| \geq 1} ((g\chi_R)(X_s + y) - g(X_s)) \nu(dy); \end{aligned}$$

for the second equality observe that  $|X_s| \leq R$ ,  $|X_s + y| \leq R + 1$  for  $|y| < 1$  and that  $L$  is a local operator. Since  $g$  is positive, hence  $g\chi_R \leq g$ , we conclude that  $A(g\chi_R)(X_s) \leq Ag(X_s)$ . Inserting this into (6) gives

$$\mathbb{E}[(g\chi_R)(X_{t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R})] - g(0) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R)} Ag(X_s) ds \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \sigma)} |Ag(X_s)| ds \right].$$

Since  $g \geq 0$ , we can use Fatou's lemma on the left-hand side and get (5).  $\square$

**Friedrichs mollifiers.** Let  $j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function with compact support  $\text{supp } j \subset \overline{B_1}(0)$  such that  $j(x)$  is rotationally symmetric and  $\int j(x) dx = 1$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$  we define  $j_\epsilon(x) := \epsilon^{-d} j(x/\epsilon)$ , i.e.  $j_\epsilon$  is again smooth, rotationally symmetric and satisfies  $\text{supp } j_\epsilon \subset \overline{B_\epsilon}(0)$  and  $\int j_\epsilon(x) dx = 1$ . For any locally bounded function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the convolution

$$g^\epsilon(x) := j_\epsilon * g(x) := \int g(x - y) j_\epsilon(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

exists and defines a  $C^\infty$ -function. Moreover,  $\text{supp } g^\epsilon \subset \text{supp } g + \text{supp } j_\epsilon \subset \text{supp } g + \overline{B_\epsilon}(0)$ . The function  $g^\epsilon$  is called *Friedrichs regularization* of  $g$ .

**Submultiplicative functions.** A function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be *submultiplicative* if there exists a constant  $c = c(g) \in [1, \infty)$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d : g(x + y) \leq cg(x)g(y).$$

In order to avoid pathologies, we consider only measurable submultiplicative functions.<sup>(1)</sup> Every locally bounded submultiplicative function grows at most exponentially, i.e. there are constants  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $g(x) \leq ae^{b|x|}$ . Since  $1 + g$  inherits submultiplicativity from  $g$ , we may assume that  $g \geq 1$ . The following lemma shows that we can even assume that a submultiplicative function is smooth.

<sup>(1)</sup>An example of a non-measurable submultiplicative function is  $g(x) = e^{a(x)}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a$  is a non-measurable solution to the functional equation  $a(x + y) = a(x) + a(y)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $g$  be a locally bounded submultiplicative function and  $g^\epsilon$  its Friedrichs regularization. Then  $g^\epsilon \in C^\infty$  is submultiplicative and it satisfies*

$$(8) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \quad c_\epsilon^{-1} g(x) \leq g^\epsilon(x) \leq c_\epsilon g(x)$$

for some constant  $c_\epsilon = c_{\epsilon, g}$ .

*Proof.* Submultiplicativity follows immediately from the two-sided estimate (8):

$$g^\epsilon(x+y) \leq c_\epsilon g(x+y) \leq c_\epsilon c g(x)g(y) \leq c_\epsilon^3 c g^\epsilon(x)g^\epsilon(y).$$

In order to see (8), we use the definition of  $g^\epsilon$  and fact that  $g$  is submultiplicative,

$$g^\epsilon(x) = \int g(x-y)j_\epsilon(y) dy \leq c g(x) \int g(-y)j_\epsilon(y) dy \leq c \sup_{|y| \leq \epsilon} g(y)g(x)$$

and

$$g(x) = \int g(x)j_\epsilon(y) dy \leq c \int g(x-y)g(y)j_\epsilon(y) dy \leq c \sup_{|y| \leq \epsilon} g(y)g^\epsilon(x). \quad \square$$

### 1. GENERALIZED MOMENTS AND UNIFORM INTEGRABILITY

Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process with triplet  $(b, Q, \nu)$ . The following moment result for a locally bounded submultiplicative functions  $g$  is well-known, cf. Sato [9, Theorem 25.3, p. 159]:

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] < \infty \text{ for some (hence, all) } t > 0 \iff \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

Our aim is to show that this is also equivalent to a certain uniform integrability condition. Although we cast the statement and proof for Lévy processes, an extension to certain Lévy-type processes is possible; see Remark 4 below. We denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  be the family of stopping times for the process  $X$  equipped with its natural filtration.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process with generator  $A$ , transition semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and triplet  $(b, Q, \nu)$ , and let  $g$  be a locally bounded submultiplicative function. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- a)  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)]$  exists and is finite for some (hence, all)  $t > 0$ ;
- b)  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t} g(X_s)]$  exists and is finite for some (hence, all)  $t > 0$ ;
- c)  $\{g(X_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}, \sigma \leq t}$  is uniformly integrable for every fixed  $t > 0$ ;
- d)  $\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}, \sigma \leq t} \mathbb{E}[g(X_\sigma)]$  is finite for every fixed  $t > 0$ ;
- e)  $\int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy) < \infty$ ;
- f) The adjoint semigroup  $P_t^* f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(x - X_t)]$  is a strongly continuous operator semigroup on the weighted  $L^1$ -space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$ .
- g) The adjoint generator  $(A^* \phi)(x) := (A\phi)(-x)$  satisfies  $A^* \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$  for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- h) There exists a non-negative function  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\phi \not\equiv 0$ , such that  $A^* \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$ .

If one (hence, all) of the conditions is satisfied, then there are constants  $c_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , such that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] \leq c_1 e^{c_2 t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and

$$\|A^* \phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)} \leq c_3 \left( |b|_{\ell^1} + |Q|_{\ell^1} + \int_{y \neq 0} (1 \wedge |y|^2) \nu(dy) + \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy) \right) \|\phi\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

**Remark 4.** There is a further equivalent condition if  $x \mapsto g(|x|)$  is locally bounded, submultiplicative and  $g(r)$  is increasing:

$$\text{i) } \mathbb{E} \left[ g \left( \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s| \right) \right] < \infty \text{ for some (hence, all) } t > 0.$$

The direction i)  $\Rightarrow$  a) follows from the assumption that  $g$  is increasing. The implication b)  $\Rightarrow$  i) does not need monotonicity since we have

$$g \left( \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s| \right) \leq \sup_{s \leq t} g(|X_s|)$$

at least if  $x \mapsto g(|x|)$  is continuous. This can always be achieved by a Friedrichs regularization.

Let us also point out that we may replace  $A^*$  and  $P_t^*$  by  $A$  and  $P_t$  if either (the law of)  $X_t$  is symmetric or if  $g$  is even, i.e.  $g(x) = g(-x)$ .

The conditions d) (for deterministic stopping times), e), i) can be found in Sato [9, Chapter 25]; b) is due to Siebert [14] and variants of g), h) appear first in Hulanicki [3]; their proofs are cast in the language of probability on (Lie) groups. The streamlined proofs given in this paper are new.

Some of our arguments carry over to Lévy-type processes whose generators have bounded coefficients (see [1, p. 55] for the notation); in particular e)  $\Rightarrow$  a) (using the alternative proof below)  $\Rightarrow$  b)  $\Rightarrow$  c)  $\Rightarrow$  d) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(x, dy) < \infty &\Rightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^x [g(X_t - x)] < \infty \\ &\Rightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^x [\sup_{s \leq t} g(X_s - x)] < \infty \\ &\Rightarrow \{g(X_\sigma - x)\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}, \sigma \leq t} \text{ is unif. integrable} \\ &\Rightarrow \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}, \sigma \leq t} \mathbb{E}^x [g(X_\sigma - x)] < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

while d)  $\Rightarrow$  e) only yields  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int g(y) \nu(x, dy) < \infty$ , and an additional condition of the type  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(x, dy) \leq C \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(x, dy)$  is needed to get equivalences; this is partly worked out in [6].

In order to prove this theorem, we need a few preparations.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $g$  be a locally bounded submultiplicative function. If  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process, then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) \right] = \kappa_T < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq 2T} g(X_s) \right] \leq \kappa_T (1 + c\kappa_T) < \infty$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] < \infty \text{ for some } t > 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] < \infty \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

*Proof.* 1° We have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq 2T} g(X_s) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{T \leq s \leq 2T} g(X_s) \right] = \kappa_T + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_{s+T}) \right].$$

Since  $g$  is submultiplicative, we see  $g(X_{s+T}) \leq cg(X_{s+T} - X_T)g(X_T)$ ; moreover,  $X_T$  and  $(X_{s+T} - X_T)_{s \geq 0} \sim (X_s)_{s \geq 0}$  are independent,<sup>(2)</sup> and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_{s+T}) \right] &\leq c \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_{s+T} - X_T) \right] \mathbb{E} [g(X_T)] \\ &\leq c \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) \right] \mathbb{E} [g(X_T)] \leq c\kappa_T^2. \end{aligned}$$

**2°** Let  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_{t_0})] < \infty$ . Using the Markov property we see that for any  $s < t_0$

$$\mathbb{E} [g(X_{t_0})] = \mathbb{E} [g(X_{t_0} - X_s + X_s)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} [g(X_s + y)] \mathbb{P}(X_{t_0-s} \in dy).$$

Thus, there is some  $y$  such that  $\mathbb{E} [g(X_s + y)] < \infty$ , and we conclude from the submultiplicative property that  $\mathbb{E} [g(X_s)] \leq cg(-y)\mathbb{E} [g(X_s + y)] < \infty$  for all  $s \leq t_0$ . As before, we can now show that  $\mathbb{E} [g(X_{2t_0})] < \infty$  and, by iteration, we see that  $\mathbb{E} [g(X_t)] < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $g$  be a locally bounded submultiplicative function and denote by  $g^\epsilon$  its regularization with a Friedrichs mollifier. If  $A$  is the generator of a Lévy process given by (2), then*

$$|Ag^\epsilon(x)| \leq C_\epsilon \left( |b|_{\ell^1} + |Q|_{\ell^1} + \int_{y \neq 0} (1 \wedge |y|^2) \nu(dy) + \sup_{|y| \leq 1} g(y) + \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy) \right) g(x).$$

Note that the constant  $C_\epsilon$  appearing in Lemma 6 is, in general, unbounded as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $g \geq 1$ . Otherwise we use  $g+1$  instead of  $g$  and observe that  $A(g+1)^\epsilon = A(g^\epsilon+1) = Ag^\epsilon$ . As in (2) we write  $A = L + J + K$ .

Observe that  $|\partial^\alpha g^\epsilon(x)| \leq c_{\alpha,\epsilon} g(x)$  holds for every multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . This follows from

$$|\partial^\alpha g^\epsilon(x)| = |(\partial^\alpha j_\epsilon) * g(x)| \leq \int |\partial^\alpha j_\epsilon(y)| g(x-y) dy \leq cg(x) \sup_{|y| \leq \epsilon} |\partial^\alpha j_\epsilon(y)| dy.$$

We can now estimate the three parts of  $A$  separately. For the local part we use the above estimate with  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $|\alpha| = 2$ :

$$|Lg^\epsilon(x)| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |b_i| c_{\epsilon,i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^d |q_{ik}| c_{\epsilon,i,k} \right) \cdot g(x) \leq c_\epsilon (|b|_{\ell^1} + |Q|_{\ell^1}) \cdot g(x).$$

The large-jump part is estimated using  $|\alpha| = 0$  and the submultiplicativity of  $g$ :

$$|Kg^\epsilon(x)| \leq c_\epsilon \int_{|y| \geq 1} (g(x)g(y) + g(x)) \nu(dy) = c_\epsilon \int_{|y| \geq 1} (g(y) + 1) \nu(dy) \cdot g(x).$$

---

<sup>(2)</sup>We may replace this by the (strong) Markov property if  $\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{s \leq T} \mathbb{E}^y [g(X_s - y)] < \infty$ . For Lévy processes the first supremum is always trivial.

Using Taylor's formula with integral remainder term we can rewrite the part containing the small jumps and we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|Jg^\varepsilon(x)| &= \left| \sum_{i,k=1}^d \int_{0<|y|<1} \int_0^1 \partial_i \partial_k g^\varepsilon(x+ty) y_i y_k (1-t) dt \nu(dy) \right| \\
&\leq c_\varepsilon \sum_{i,k=1}^d c_{\varepsilon,i,k} \int_{0<|y|<1} \int_0^1 g(x+ty) |y_i y_k| (1-t) dt \nu(dy) \\
&\leq c_\varepsilon \sum_{i,k=1}^d c_{\varepsilon,i,k} \int_{0<|y|<1} \int_0^1 g(ty) |y_i y_k| (1-t) dt \nu(dy) \cdot g(x) \\
&\leq c'_\varepsilon \sup_{|y|\leq 1} g(y) \int_{0<|y|<1} |y|^2 \nu(dy) \cdot g(x).
\end{aligned}$$

If we combine these three estimates, the claim follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We show a) $\Rightarrow$ b) $\Rightarrow$ c) $\Rightarrow$ d) $\Rightarrow$ e) $\Rightarrow$ g) $\Rightarrow$ h) $\Rightarrow$ a) and c) $\Rightarrow$ f) $\Rightarrow$ a).<sup>(3)</sup> Throughout the proof we will assume that  $g \geq 1$  and  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Otherwise we could replace  $g$  by its Friedrichs regularization  $g^\varepsilon$ , see Lemma 2, and  $g + 1$ , resp.,  $g^\varepsilon + 1$ .

**1°** a)  $\Rightarrow$  b). If  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)]$  is finite for some  $t > 0$ , then Lemma 5 shows that  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)]$  is finite for all  $t > 0$ . For  $a, b > 0$  we define a stopping time

$$\sigma := \sigma_{a,b} := \inf \{s \mid g(X_s) > cg(0)e^{a+b}\}$$

and observe that we can use the subadditivity of  $g$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(g(X_t) > e^a) &\geq \mathbb{P}(g(X_t) > e^a, g(X_\sigma) \geq cg(0)e^{a+b}, \sigma \leq t) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}(g(X_\sigma - X_t) < g(0)e^b, g(X_\sigma) \geq cg(0)e^{a+b}, \sigma \leq t).
\end{aligned}$$

The strong Markov property yields (for all  $t \leq T$ ,  $T$  will be determined in the following step)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(g(X_t) > e^a) &\geq \int_{\sigma \leq t} \mathbb{P}(g(-X_{t-\sigma(\omega)}) < g(0)e^b) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\
&\geq \inf_{r \leq t} \mathbb{P}(g(-X_r) < g(0)e^b) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\sigma \leq t) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \leq t} g(X_s) > cg(0)e^{a+b}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last estimate we use that  $\{\sup_{s \leq t} g(X_s) > cg(0)e^{a+b}\} \subseteq \{\sigma \leq t\}$ . The factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  comes from the fact that  $g$  is locally bounded and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(|X_t| > \varepsilon) = 0$  (continuity in probability), which shows that there is some  $0 < T \leq t_0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(g(-X_t) < g(0)e^b) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \leq T.$$

This proves that for  $e^\gamma := cg(0)e^b$

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) > e^{a+\gamma}) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(g(X_T) > e^a).$$

<sup>(3)</sup>A direct proof of e) $\Rightarrow$ a) is given in the next section.

We can now use the layer-cake formula to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) \right] &= 1 + \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) > y) dy \\
&= 1 + e^\gamma \int_{-\gamma}^\infty \mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \leq T} g(X_s) > e^\gamma e^a) e^a da \\
&\leq 1 + e^\gamma \int_{-\gamma}^0 e^a da + 2e^\gamma \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(g(X_T) > e^a) e^a da \\
&\leq e^\gamma + 2e^\gamma \mathbb{E}[g(X_T)].
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5 we see that  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq nT} g(X_s)] < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e. b) holds for all  $t > 0$ .

**2°** b)  $\Rightarrow$  c). If  $\sup_{s \leq t} g(X_s)$  is integrable for some  $t > 0$ , then it is integrable for all  $t > 0$ , cf. Lemma 5. For fixed  $t > 0$ , let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  be a stopping time with  $\sigma \leq t$ , then

$$g(X_\sigma) \leq \sup_{r \leq t} g(X_r) \in L^1(\mathbb{P}).$$

Consequently, the family  $\{g(X_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}, \sigma \leq t}$  is dominated by the integrable random variable  $\sup_{r \leq t} g(X_r)$ ; hence, it is uniformly integrable.

**3°** c)  $\Rightarrow$  d). This is immediate from the fact uniform integrability implies boundedness in  $L^1$ .

**4°** d)  $\Rightarrow$  e). We rearrange (7) and insert it into (6) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[(g\chi_R)(X_{t \wedge \tau_R})] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \tau_R)} (L + J)g(X_s) ds \right] \\
&= g(0) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \tau_R)} \int_{|y| \geq 1} (g\chi_R(X_s + y) - g(X_s)) \nu(dy) ds \right] \\
&= g(0) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \tau_R)} \int_{|y| \geq 1} g\chi_R(X_s + y) \nu(dy) ds \right] - \nu(|y| \geq 1) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \tau_R)} g(X_s) ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use Lemma 6 for the Lévy generator  $L + J$  and the estimates

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \tau_R)} g(X_s) ds \right] \leq \int_{[0, t)} \mathbb{E}[g(X_s)] ds \leq t \sup_{s \leq t} \mathbb{E}[g(X_s)]$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[(g\chi_R)(X_{t \wedge \tau_R})] \leq \mathbb{E}[g(X_{t \wedge \tau_R})] \leq \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}, \sigma \leq t} \mathbb{E}[g(X_\sigma)].$$

Because of our assumption d), there is a constant  $C_t$ , not depending on  $R$ , such that

$$C_t \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \tau_R)} \int_{|y| \geq 1} g\chi_R(X_s + y) \nu(dy) ds \right].$$



Letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , Fatou's lemma and yet another application of submultiplicativity yield

$$\begin{aligned} C_t &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0,t)} \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(X_s + y) \nu(dy) ds \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0,t)} \int_{|y| \geq 1} \frac{g(y)}{g(-X_s)} \nu(dy) ds \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c} \iint_{|X_s| \leq \varepsilon} \frac{d\mathbb{P} ds}{g(-X_s)} \cdot \int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is locally bounded and  $s \mapsto X_s$  is càdlàg, e) follows.

**5°** e)  $\Rightarrow$  g). Let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The reflection  $\tilde{\phi}(x) := \phi(-x)$  is again in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and thus  $\|A^* \tilde{\phi}\|_\infty < \infty$  by the representation of  $A^*$  as an integro-differential operator (2) and Taylor's formula. Choose  $R > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } \phi$  is contained in the ball  $B_R(0)$ , then

$$(A^* \tilde{\phi})(-x) = \int_{y \neq 0} \phi(x - y) \nu(dy), \quad |x| \geq 2R.$$

Since  $g$  is bounded on compact sets, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) |(A^* \tilde{\phi})(x)| dx &= \left( \int_{|x| \leq 2R} + \int_{|x| > 2R} \right) g(x) |(A^* \tilde{\phi})(x)| dx \\ &\leq |B_{2R}(0)| \sup_{|x| \leq 2R} g(x) \|A^* \tilde{\phi}\|_\infty + \int_{|x| > 2R} \int_{y \neq 0} g(x) |\phi(x - y)| \nu(dy) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the integral expression on the right-hand side is finite. By Tonelli's theorem and a change of variables ( $z = x - y$ ),

$$I := \int_{|x| > 2R} \int_{y \neq 0} g(x) |\phi(x - y)| \nu(dy) dx = \int \int \mathbb{1}_{|z+y| > 2R} \mathbb{1}_{|z| \leq R} |\phi(z)| g(z + y) dz \nu(dy),$$

where we use that  $\text{supp } \tilde{\phi} \subseteq B_R(0)$ . The elementary estimate  $\mathbb{1}_{|z+y| > 2R} \mathbb{1}_{|z| \leq R} \leq \mathbb{1}_{|y| \geq R}$  and the submultiplicativity of  $g$  now yield

$$I \leq c \left( \int_{|z| \leq R} |\phi(z)| g(z) dz \right) \left( \int_{|y| \geq R} g(y) \nu(dy) \right) < \infty;$$

the integrals are finite because of e) and the local boundedness of  $g, \phi$ .

**6°** g)  $\Rightarrow$  h). Trivial.

**7°** h)  $\Rightarrow$  a). This part of the proof draws from a work by Hulanicki [3]. Take  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  non-negative such that  $\phi \not\equiv 0$  and  $A^* \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$ . Let us first assume that  $g$  is bounded. Set

$$h(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t \phi)(-x) g(x) dx, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $(P_t u)(x) = \mathbb{E}[u(x + X_t)]$  is the semigroup. We want to show that  $|h'(t)| \leq Ch(t)$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . An application of Tonelli's theorem and a change of variables yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_t A \phi(-x)| g(x) dx &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(A \phi)(-y)| g(y + X_t) dy \right] \\ &\leq c \mathbb{E} [g(X_t)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(A^* \phi)(y)| g(y) dy < \infty; \end{aligned}$$

the latter integral is finite because  $A^*\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$  and  $g$  is bounded. Moreover, Dynkin's formula (3) entails that  $\frac{d}{dt}P_t\phi = P_t A\phi$ . Consequently, we can use the differentiation lemma for parameter-dependent integrals, cf. [7, Proposition A.1], to obtain

$$h'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d}{dt}(P_t\phi)(-x)g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t A\phi)(-x)g(x) dx$$

and, by the above estimate,

$$|h'(t)| \leq c\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(A^*\phi)(y)|g(y) dy.$$

The submultiplicativity of  $g$  gives

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\phi(x)}{g(x)} dx \right) g(y) \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)g(y-x) dx = c(\phi * g)(y)$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.

$$(10) \quad g(y) \leq \frac{c}{\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, 1/g)}}(\phi * g)(y);$$

note that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, 1/g)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\phi(x)}{g(x)} dx \in (0, \infty)$$

because  $g \geq 1$  is locally bounded and  $\phi > 0$  on a set of positive Lebesgue measure. Using (10) for  $y = X_t$ , we get

$$|h'(t)| \leq c\mathbb{E}[(\phi * g)(X_t)] = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}[\phi(X_t - x)]g(x) dx = Ch(t).$$

Hence, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$h(t) \leq h(0)e^{\alpha t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some constant  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Invoking once more (10), we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] \leq c'\mathbb{E}[(\phi * g)(X_t)] = c'h(t) \leq c'h(0)e^{\alpha t}.$$

So far, we assumed that  $g$  is bounded. For unbounded  $g$ , we replace  $g$  by  $\min\{g, n\}$  – which is again submultiplicative – in the above estimates and find that

$$\mathbb{E}[\min\{g(X_t), n\}] \leq c''e^{\alpha t}$$

for some constant  $c'' > 0$  not depending on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by Fatou's lemma,  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] \leq c''e^{\alpha t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**8°** c)  $\Rightarrow$  f). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_t^* f(x)| g(x) dx &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - X_t)| g(x - X_t + X_t) dx \right] \\ &\leq c\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)] \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $P_t^* : L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$  is continuous. Let  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and assume that  $\text{supp } \phi$  is contained in some ball  $B_R(0)$  with radius  $R > 0$ . We show that  $P_t^*\phi \rightarrow \phi$  in

$L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is uniformly continuous, we can pick  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\eta) > 0$  in such a way that  $|\phi(x+y) - \phi(x)| \leq \eta$  for all  $x$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_t^* \phi(x) - \phi(x)| g(x) dx &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi(x - X_t) - \phi(x)| g(x) dx \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{B_{R+\epsilon}(0)} |\phi(x - X_t) - \phi(x)| \mathbb{1}_{|X_t| < \epsilon} g(x) dx \right] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} [|\phi(x - X_t) - \phi(x)| \mathbb{1}_{|X_t| \geq \epsilon}] g(x) dx \\ &\leq |B_{R+\epsilon}(0)| \sup_{|x| < R+\epsilon} g(x) \cdot \eta + \mathbb{P}(|X_t| \geq \epsilon) \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)} + c \mathbb{E} [g(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{|X_t| \geq \epsilon}] \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(g(X_t))_{t \leq 1}$  is uniformly integrable,  $X_t \rightarrow 0$  in probability, and  $\eta > 0$  is arbitrary, we obtain that  $\|P_t^* \phi - \phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Using that  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a dense subset of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$ , we conclude that  $(P_t^*)_{t \geq 0}$  is a strongly continuous operator semigroup on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$ .

**9°** f)  $\Rightarrow$  a). Let  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)$  such that  $\phi \geq 0$  and  $\phi = 1$  on  $B_1(0)$ . Using  $g \geq 1$  and the submultiplicative property of  $g$ , we see that

$$\|P_t^* \phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, g)} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x + X_t)) \phi(x) dx \right] \geq \frac{1}{c} \mathbb{E} [g(X_t)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\phi(x) dx}{g(-x)};$$

this implies that  $\mathbb{E} [g(X_t)] < \infty$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 3 contains the following moment result for Lévy processes with bounded jumps. Alternate proofs can be found in Sato [9, Theorem 25.3, p. 159] or [5, Lemma 8.2]. If we use in Step 3° the submultiplicative function  $g(x) := e^{\beta|x|}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process whose jumps are uniformly bounded. Then  $Y$  has exponential moments, i.e.  $\mathbb{E} [e^{\beta|Y_t|}] < \infty$  for all  $\beta > 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ .*

If  $Y$  has a non-degenerate jump part, then moments of the type  $\mathbb{E} [e^{\beta|X_t|^{1+\epsilon}}]$  do not exist, cf. [2, Theorem 3.3(c)].

## 2. DOOB'S CONDITION (DL) FOR LÉVY PROCESSES

Let  $Y$  be a stochastic process and  $\mathcal{T}$  be the family of all stopping times with respect to the natural filtration of  $Y$ . Recall that  $Y$  satisfies the condition (DL) if for each fixed  $t > 0$  the family  $(Y_{t \wedge \sigma})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}}$  is uniformly integrable, i.e. if

$$(DL) \quad \forall t > 0 : \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \int |Y_{t \wedge \sigma}| \mathbb{1}_{|Y_{t \wedge \sigma}| \geq R} d\mathbb{P} = 0.$$

It is well known, cf. [8, Proposition IV.1.7, p. 124], that a local martingale is a martingale if, and only if, it is of class DL.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3, we get the following characterization of the condition (DL) for functions of a Lévy process.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process with triplet  $(b, Q, \nu)$  and  $g$  a locally bounded submultiplicative function. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- a) *The process  $(g(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the condition (DL);*
- b)  *$\mathbb{E}[g(X_t)]$  is finite for some  $t > 0$ ;*
- c)  *$\int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy) < \infty$ .*

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $X$  be a Lévy process and  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$  for some locally bounded submultiplicative function  $g$ . If  $\mathbb{E} [g(X_t)] < \infty$  and if  $(f(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a local martingale, then  $(f(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a martingale.*

*Proof.* Theorem 8 shows that  $(g(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ , hence  $(f(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  enjoys the property (DL); therefore the local martingale  $(f(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is already a proper martingale.  $\square$

The setting of Corollary 9 is quite natural if one thinks of Itô's formula or the expression (4) appearing in the discussion of Dynkin's formula: If  $A$  is the (pointwise extension to  $C^2$  of the) generator of  $X$ , and if  $Af = 0$ , then  $f(X_t)$  is, by Itô's formula, a local martingale. Corollary 9 thus gives a condition when this local martingale is a true martingale.

**A direct proof that Theorem 8.c) entails b).** Sometimes it is useful to have a direct proof that existence of the moments of the Lévy measure give generalized moments for the process. The approach below gives a method using standard 'household' techniques from any course on Markov processes, notably Dynkin's formula and Gronwall's inequality; therefore it applies to more general (strong) Markov processes.

*Alternative proof for Theorem 8.c)  $\Rightarrow$  b) resp. Theorem 3.e)  $\Rightarrow$  a).* In view of Lemma 2 we may replace  $g$  by its regularization  $g^\varepsilon$ . Combining Dynkin's inequality (Lemma 1) and the estimate from Lemma 6 shows

$$\mathbb{E} [g^\varepsilon(X_{t \wedge \sigma})] \leq g^\varepsilon(0) + C_{\varepsilon, g}^{b, Q, \nu} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \sigma)} g(X_s) ds \right].$$

The constant  $C$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ , the triplet  $(b, Q, \nu)$  and on  $\int_{|y| \geq 1} g(y) \nu(dy)$ , see Lemma 6. If we replace  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma \wedge \tau_R$  with  $\tau_R = \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid |X_s| \geq R\}$  and set  $\kappa_R := \sup_{|y| \leq R} g(y)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [g^\varepsilon(X_{t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R}) \wedge \kappa_R] &\leq g^\varepsilon(0) + C_{\varepsilon, g}^{b, Q, \nu} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[0, t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R)} g(X_s) \wedge \kappa_R ds \right] \\ &\leq g^\varepsilon(0) + \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon, g}^{b, Q, \nu} \int_{[0, t)} \mathbb{E} [g^\varepsilon(X_{s \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R}) \wedge \kappa_R] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We may now appeal to Gronwall's lemma and find

$$\mathbb{E} [g^\varepsilon(X_{t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau_R}) \wedge \kappa_R] \leq g^\varepsilon(0) e^{t C_{\varepsilon, g}^{b, Q, \nu}}$$

and an application of Fatou's lemma on the left-hand side proves  $\mathbb{E} [g^\varepsilon(X_{t \wedge \sigma})] < \infty$ , and b) follows, if we take  $\sigma \equiv t$ .  $\square$

### 3. MARTINGALE METHODS FOR LÉVY PROCESSES

Let us give a further application of Theorem 3 to the recurrence/transience behaviour of Lévy processes. As a warm-up and in order to illustrate the method, we begin with a very short proof for the characterization of infinitely divisible random variables taking values in a lattice; the result as such is, of course, well-known (Sato [9, Section 24]). Recall that a random variable  $Y$  is infinitely divisible if, and only if, there is a Lévy process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  such that  $Y \sim X_1$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a one-dimensional Lévy process with characteristic exponent  $\psi$  and triplet  $(b, Q, \nu)$ , see (1). The following assertions are equivalent (for fixed  $\beta \neq 0$ )*

- $\psi(\beta) = i\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $|\mathbb{E} [e^{i\beta X_t}]| = 1$  for some, hence for all,  $t > 0$ .
- $X_{t_0} + \gamma$  takes values in the lattice  $2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $t_0 > 0$ .
- $X_t + \alpha\beta^{-1}t$  takes values in the lattice  $2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $t > 0$ .
- $\text{supp } \nu \subset 2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q = 0$  and  $b = -\alpha\beta^{-1} + 2\pi\beta^{-1} \sum_{|k| < \beta(2\pi)^{-1}} k\nu(\{2\pi\beta^{-1}k\})$ .

**Remark 11.** Our proof shows that there is also a connection between  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  in Theorem 10.c) and d). If we use  $t = t_0$  in d), it holds that  $\gamma \in \alpha\beta^{-1}t_0 + 2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* a) $\Rightarrow$ b). This follows from  $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\beta X_t}] = e^{-t\psi(\beta)}$  for any  $t > 0$ .

b) $\Rightarrow$ c). We fix  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $|\mathbb{E}[e^{i\beta X_{t_0}}]| = 1$  and apply Lemma 12 to  $X = \beta X_{t_0}$ . This shows that there exists some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X$  is supported in  $2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z} - \gamma$ .

c) $\Rightarrow$ d). Let  $t_0 > 0$  be as in c) and  $t > 0$ ,  $t \neq t_0$ . We see that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\beta X_t}] = (e^{-t_0\psi(\beta)})^{t/t_0}$ . As  $|e^{-t_0\psi(\beta)}| = 1$ , we know that  $\psi(\beta) \in i\mathbb{R}$ . Lemma 12 shows that  $X_t$  is supported in  $2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z} + it\psi(\beta)\beta^{-1}$  for every  $t > 0$ .

d) $\Rightarrow$ e). Let  $t > 0$ . A direct calculation shows that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\beta X_t}] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i2\pi k - i\alpha t} \mathbb{P}(X_t = 2\pi\beta^{-1}k - \alpha\beta^{-1}t) = e^{-i\alpha t}.$$

On the other hand, infinite divisibility entails

$$e^{-i\alpha t} = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\beta X_t}] = e^{-t\psi(\beta)} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

This is only possible if  $\psi(\beta) = i\alpha$ . Comparing this with the Lévy–Khintchine formula (1), we infer that  $b = -\alpha\beta^{-1} + 2\pi\beta^{-1} \sum_{|k| < \beta(2\pi)^{-1}} k\nu(\{2\pi\beta^{-1}k\})$ ,  $Q = 0$  and  $\text{supp } \nu \subset 2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

e) $\Rightarrow$ a). This follows from the Lévy–Khintchine formula (1).  $\square$

The key step in the proof of Theorem 10 is the following lemma which is of independent interest.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $X$  be a real random variable. If there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\beta X}] = e^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the distribution of  $X$  is supported on  $2\pi\beta^{-1}\mathbb{Z} + \beta^{-1}\theta$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\beta = 1$ , i.e.  $|\mathbb{E}[e^{iX}]| = 1$  or  $\mathbb{E}[e^{i(X-\theta)}] = 1$  for some  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Let  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be iid copies of the random variable  $X$  and define for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Y_n := \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \cos(X_k - \theta)) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 + \cos(X_k - \theta)}{2}.$$

Set  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and note that  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is adapted to this filtration. We see that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 + \cos(X_k - \theta)}{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] = Y_{n-1} \frac{1 + \mathbb{E}[\cos(X_n - \theta)]}{2} = Y_{n-1},$$

so  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a discrete-time martingale. As  $0 \leq Y_n \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}Y_n = 1$ , we conclude that  $Y_n$  converges to 1 in  $L^1$  and a.s. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $Y_1 = \mathbb{E}[1 | \mathcal{F}_1] = 1$  a.s., which implies that  $X - \theta$  takes only values in the lattice  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Assume now that  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process with which admits an exponential moment of the form  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\beta X_t}] < \infty$  for some  $\beta \neq 0$ . By Theorem 3,  $\int_{|y| \geq 1} e^{\beta y} \nu(dy) < \infty$ , and it is easy to see from the Lévy–Khintchine formula (1) that the exponent  $\psi$  has a continuous continuation to all complex numbers  $\xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta$  between 0 and  $\beta$ . In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\beta X_t}] = e^{-t\psi(-i\beta)}, \quad t > 0,$$

which shows that the sets  $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{E}[e^{\beta X_t}] = 1\}$ ,  $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall t > 0 : \mathbb{E}[e^{\beta X_t}] = 1\}$  and  $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R} \mid \psi(-i\beta) = 0\}$  coincide.

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a one-dimensional Lévy process and  $A := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{E}[e^{\xi X_t}] = 1\}$ . If  $\beta \in A \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\beta X_t$  is transient.*

*Proof.* Define  $Y_t = e^{\beta X_t}$ , with  $\beta \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . With a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 12 we see that  $Y_t$  is a martingale. Since  $t \mapsto e^{\beta Y_t}$  is positive and right-continuous, the martingale convergence theorem shows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Y_t = Y_\infty$  a.s. for some a.s. finite random variable  $Y_\infty$ . As  $\beta X_t$  is again a Lévy process, we see that  $e^{\beta X_t}$  can only converge if  $\beta X_t \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ; thus,  $\beta X_t$  cannot be recurrent.  $\square$

It is clear that Theorem 13 still holds for a  $d$ -dimensional Lévy process if we interpret  $\xi X_t$  and  $\beta X_t$  as scalar products with  $\xi, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . By Cauchy's inequality,  $|\beta \cdot X_t|/|\beta| \leq |X_t|$ , and so  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |X_t| = \infty$ , i.e.  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is transient if  $\beta \cdot X_t$  is transient.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Böttcher, R.L. Schilling, J. Wang: *Lévy-Type Processes: Construction, Approximation and Sample Path Properties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 2099 (Lévy Matters vol. III). Springer, Berlin 2014.
- [2] C. Deng, R.L. Schilling: On shift Harnack inequalities for subordinate semigroups and moment estimates for Lévy processes. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* **125** (2015) 3851–3878.
- [3] A. Hulanicki: A class of convolution semi-groups of measures on a Lie group. In: A. Weron: *Probability Theory on Vector Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 828. Springer, Berlin 1980, pp. 82–101.
- [4] N. Jacob: *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes. Vol. 1*. Imperial College Press, London 2001.
- [5] D. Khoshnevisan, R.L. Schilling: *From Lévy-Type Processes to Parabolic SPDEs*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, Cham 2017.
- [6] F. Kühn: Existence and estimates of moments for Lévy-type processes. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* **127** (2017) 1018–1041.
- [7] F. Kühn, R.L. Schilling: Strong convergence of the Euler–Maruyama approximation for a class of Lévy-driven SDEs *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* **129** (2019), 2654–2680.
- [8] D. Revuz, M. Yor: *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer, Berlin 1999 (3rd ed).
- [9] K. Sato: *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2013 (Revised ed).
- [10] R.L. Schilling: *Measures, Integrals and Martingales*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2017 (2nd ed).
- [11] R.L. Schilling: *Wahrscheinlichkeit*. De Gruyter, Berlin 2017.
- [12] R.L. Schilling, L. Partzsch: *Brownian Motion*. De Gruyter, Berlin 2014 (2nd ed).
- [13] R.L. Schilling, R. Song, Z. Vondraček: *Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications*. De Gruyter, Berlin 2012 (2nd ed).
- [14] E. Siebert: Continuous convolution semigroups integrating a submultiplicative function. *manuscripta mathematica* **37** (1982), 383–391.

TU DRESDEN, FAKULTÄT MATHEMATIK, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE STOCHASTIK, 01062 DRESDEN, GERMANY

*Email address:* david.berger2@tu-dresden.de

*Email address:* franziska.kuehn1@tu-dresden.de

*Email address:* rene.schilling@tu-dresden.de