## Exercises for "Quantum Phase Transitions" SS 16

Prof. M. Vojta Dr. L. Janssen Exercise 7 (for 14.07.16, 11:10)

## 1. Shift exponent in the quantum $\phi^4$ theory

The  $\phi^4$  field theory with the action

$$S = \int d^d x \, d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ c^2 (\nabla \phi_\alpha)^2 + (\partial_\tau \phi_\alpha)^2 + r_0 \phi_\alpha^2 \right] + \frac{u_0}{4!} (\phi_\alpha^2)^2 \right\},\tag{1}$$

 $(\alpha = 1, 2, ..., N)$ , has a quantum phase transition at  $T = 0, r_0 = r_c$ . The shift exponent  $\psi$  is defined via the temperature-dependent phase boundary

$$T_{\rm c} \sim (r_{\rm c} - r_0)^{\psi},\tag{2}$$

where  $T_c$  is the critical temperature. To calculate  $T_c$ , note that the phase transition occurs when the renormalized temperature-dependent mass r(T) of the order parameter vanishes. The upper critical dimension for the quantum phase transition is  $d_c^+ = 4 - z = 3$ .

- (a) Below the upper critical dimension  $d_c^+$ , use a simple scaling argument to relate  $\psi$  to other critical exponents.
- (b) For  $d > d_c^+$ , the naive scaling analysis above becomes invalid. However, a perturbative calculation of r(T) becomes feasible. To this end, calculate the self-energy of the  $\phi$  propagator in bare perturbation theory to first order in  $u_0$ . The temperature dependence of r(T) at  $r_0 = r_c$  allows to obtain  $\psi$  in this case.
- (c) Apply the procedure of (b) to a situation with z = 2 where the bare propagator is  $G_{\phi}^{-1} = i\omega_n c^2\vec{k}^2 r_0$  (instead of  $G_{\phi}^{-1} = -\omega_n^2 c^2\vec{k}^2 r_0$ ).

Hint to (b) and (c): The shift exponent can be expressed in terms of the dimension d and the dynamical exponent z only.

## 2. SM-to-CDW transition in graphene

(6 points)

Interacting spinless fermions that hop on the honeycomb lattice undergo a quantum phase transition between the weakly-interacting semimetallic (SM) state and an insulating charge-density-wave state (CDW) upon increasing the nearest-neighbor density-density interaction. A Lorentz-invariant effective field theory that describes the universality class of this transition is given by

$$S = \int d^{d}x \, d\tau \left\{ \bar{\Psi}_{i} \left( \vec{\sigma} \cdot \nabla + \sigma_{3} \partial_{\tau} \right) \Psi_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \phi (-\partial_{\tau}^{2} - \nabla^{2} + r_{0}) \phi + g_{0} \phi \bar{\Psi}_{i} \Psi_{i} + \frac{u_{0}}{4!} \phi^{4} \right\}, \quad (3)$$

in d = 2. The Dirac spinor  $\Psi_i$  and its Dirac adjoint  $\overline{\Psi}_i = \Psi_i^{\dagger} \sigma_3$  have two components and a "valley" index i = 1, ..., N. (The physical situation relevant for graphene would be given by N = 2, corresponding to the two Dirac points in the Brillouin zone.) The goal of this exercise is to compute the quantum critical behavior in fixed d = 2 within the 1/N expansion, which is complementary to the  $\epsilon$  expansion.

(4 points)

(a) Compute scaling dimensions (tree-level terms) and draw Feynman diagrams at one loop to argue that the structure of the RG flow upon integrating out the modes from  $\Lambda$  to  $\Lambda/s$  can be written as

$$\frac{dr}{ds} = (2 - \eta_{\phi})r + a_1(N)g^2 + a_2(N)\lambda, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{ds} = (4 - d - z - 2\eta_{\phi})\lambda + a_3(N)\lambda^2, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{dg^2}{ds} = (4 - d - z - \eta_{\phi} - 2\eta_{\Psi})g^2 + a_4(N)g^4, \tag{6}$$

$$\eta_{\phi} = a_5(N)g^2,\tag{7}$$

$$\eta_{\Psi} = a_6(N)g^2,\tag{8}$$

with combinatorial prefactors  $a_1, \ldots, a_6$  which are functions of N only.  $\eta_{\phi}$  and  $\eta_{\Psi}$  are the bosonic and fermionic anomalous dimensions, respectively. Note that  $\eta_{\phi}$  and  $\eta_{\Psi}$  are not necessarily small, in contrast to situation within the  $\epsilon$  expansion.

- (b) How do the coefficients  $a_i$  depend on N to leading order in 1/N?
- (c) Find the RG stable fixed point and the critical exponents z,  $\eta_{\phi}$ ,  $\eta_{\psi}$ , and  $\nu$  that describe the universal behavior in the vicinity of the quantum phase transition in d = 2 to leading order in 1/N. Is the one-loop expansion in d = 2 reliable at large N?

Hint: Throughout this exercise there is no need to compute the coefficients  $a_i$  explicitly!