

Do people when probed in employment interviews really fake more?

And why?

Carmen Hagemeister & Laura Venz

Dresden University of Technology Assessment and Intervention

Hagemeister & Venz, September 19, 2009



Overview

- Theory / empirical results
- The decision-oriented interview
- Method
- Results
- Discussion
 - o Open questions
 - o Consequences for interviewing
 - o Possible solutions?



Theory

What we teach students in psychological assessment – not only for employment interviews

o Ask open questions

- Detailed answers are one of the criteria that a story is reality based ("true")
 - Criteria based statement analysis (Steller & Köhnken, 1989)
- But:
 - Huge interindividual differences (Dahle, 1997)
- After the open question, ask follow-up questions / probes
 - If persons know that follow-up questions will be asked they will not dare to fake



Contradicting empirical result

Levashina and Campion (2007)

- o 151 undergraduate students
- o Mock employment interviews
- o 2x2 between-subjects design
 - Question type
 - Past behavioural questions
 - Situational questions
 - Hypothesis: more faking when answering situational questions

 found as expected
 - Follow-up questions
 - Without
 - With
 - Hypothesis: more faking without follow-up questions NO!!!
 - More faking with follow-up questions in both interview types

No explanation for the effect



Question

Follow-up questions lead to more faking

- Check if this happens also in decision-oriented interviews (Westhoff & Kluck, 2009)
- o Show the underlying mechanism: Why?



Decision-oriented interview

- Past behaviour (mainly)
- Partly structured
- The interviewees tell "like in a film" what has happened
 - For each topic
 - Open question as an starting question
 - Pre-formulated follow-up questions addressing relevant aspects of the topic of the starting question
 - Asked if they have not yet been answered beforehand
 - Example from out interview guide [Context of working]
 - Describe a task which you completed successfully.
 - What was the task?
 - What did you do?
 - What was the result?
 - What do you think: What contributed to your success?



Methods

Participants

- o 14 women, 16 men
- o 22 to 40 years of age (mean 26.3)
- Had done practical work during studies or had been employed
- 9 after studies, 21 were still studying in Saxony (not psychology or economics)
- Not paid, received feedback on their behaviour in the mock employment interview

2 groups for the mock employment interview

- o Without follow-up questions
- With follow-up question



Methods

Procedure

- o Job ad for a trainee position by e-mail
- Mock employment interview videotaped
 - ♦ 19 starting questions in 6 fields
 - Always without probes
 - Occupational career
 - With or without probes depending on group
 - Practical work experience
 - Engagement, initiative, and willingness to learn
 - Teamwork skills, communication and contact
 - Cross-cultural competence
 - Entrepreneurship
- Interview Faking Behavior Scale (manipulation check)



Methods

o Interview on behaviour in mock employment interview

- If the interviewee had faked or not and what had influenced the fact that the interviewee had faked or not
- Questions (among others)
 - "Which effect did it have that I asked several follow-up questions (for the condition without: did not ask follow-up questions)?
 - Do you think it would have been different if I had (for the condition without: not) asked follow-up questions? If yes: How?"
- Content analysis of the answers



Results

 Manipulation check: Interview Faking Behavior Scale: tendency for more faking with follow-up questions



Results - atmosphere

o Follow-up questions

- create a positive natural atmosphere
- are a task one has to master
- allow to present oneself



Results – information about interview, content of good answer

o Follow-up questions

- give information what to tell
- give feedback if the interviewer is content
- give feedback if the interviewer believes the answers
- Iead to more correct details



Results - Follow-up questions and faking

	Entries	Interviews
Follow-up question as trigger of faking	70	24
Follow-up question as reason to continue faking	57	19
Missing follow-up question inhibits faking	18	12
Sum: Follow-up questions as a reason to fake	145	27
Information which had been omitted is told after follow-up question	22	16
Truth after faking before, faking before not confessed	7	6
Missing follow-up question prevents faking from being discovered	7	6
Expectation of follow-up question as reason not to fake	18	13
Expectation of no follow-up question as reason to fake	15	11
<i>Sum: Follow-up questions as a reason to tell the truth / missing follow-up questions as a reason to fake</i>	69	27
Keeping to one's strategy, either faking or telling the truth	13	9



- More entries indicating that follow-up questions make faking more likely.
 - After starting faking in an employment interview the interviewees will not confess that they faked but continue to tell a plausible and consistent story
 - Follow-up questions give more opportunities and ideas for faking
- With or without follow-up questions
 - ♦ The interviewees try
 - to present themselves as fitting the requirements
 - in consistent stories



Open questions

Is it an effect of

 question type: follow-up questions vs. open starting questions

or

o just more questions?



Open questions

• Do different probes help?

- "In your CV... but now you said..."
- "I cannot imagine how..."
- Potential advantage:
 - Relate to the context of what has been said
- Potential disadvantage:
 - ♦ All disadvantages of clinical assessment
 - Not prepared
 - How is the information used?
 - Differences between interviewers



Consequences for interviews

 <u>Never</u> asking follow-up questions is a license for faking

But

- Follow-up questions do not guarantee that the interviewee tells the truth
- After the starting question the interviewee will stick to what she or he has said



Possible solutions

Positioning of follow-up questions

o At the beginning of the interview

No follow-up questions, judgement afterwards

- Potential advantage:
 - ♦ Statistical judgement possible
- Potential disadvantage:
 - Confounding content and eloquence
- o Ambivalent
 - ♦ Interviewee gets no feedback



Thank you for your attention!