

## Problems on Symmetry-Breaking Phase Transitions

---

### Problem 1: Mean-field for FM Heisenberg

Heisenberg model with a magnetic field  $\vec{B} = B\vec{e}_z$  along  $z$  direction:

$$H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_j - 2\mu_B B \sum_i S_i^z \quad (1)$$

$\langle i, j \rangle$  denotes nearest-neighbor pairs of spins, we count each pair only once. Suppose that spins  $\vec{S}$  have  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $J < 0$ .

1. Introduce a ‘test system’

$$H^* = -2\mu_B B^* \sum_i S_i^z \quad (2)$$

that can be used to find a variational free energy that cannot be smaller than the true free energy:

$$F \leq F^* + \langle H - H^* \rangle^* = \hat{H}^* \quad (3)$$

2. Find the optimal  $B^* = B_0^*$  that minimizes  $F^*$ .
3. Show that

$$k_B T_C = \frac{1}{2} z |J| \quad (4)$$

defines the critical temperature of a phase transition, with paramagnetism for  $T > T_C$  and ferromagnetism for  $T < T_C$ .

4. Show that for  $T > T_C$  and  $B \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\chi(T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial B} M(T, B) = 2\mu_B \frac{\partial}{\partial B} \langle S^z \rangle^* \propto \frac{1}{T - T_C} \quad (5)$$

### Problem 2: Excitations and Melting

In symmetry-broken states, one finds characteristic excitations, which are related to eigenmodes of *deviations* from perfect order. It is plausible enough that having too many of them will be bad for this order. We will explore this issue here for phonons in a crystal, i.e. lattice vibrations that are associated with a periodic order of atoms into a (here for simplicity: Bravais) lattice. Very similar issues exist for many other ordered states, especially for broken *continuous* symmetries, where low-energy excitations with energy  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  exist. (See later classes.)

Excitations with momentum  $\vec{k}$  are described by phonon creation and annihilation operators  $a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger$  and  $a_{\vec{k}}$ . For a simple mode, the operator for the vibration amplitude is

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega}} (a^\dagger + a) . \quad (6)$$

As long as we stay with an *harmonic* treatment of excitations with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega \left( a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (7)$$

its quantum-mechanical – and thus also the thermodynamic – expectation value remains 0. However,  $\langle x^2 \rangle \neq 0$  and if it becomes comparable to the square of the lattice spacing, we would worry about the crystal's stability. Express  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  in terms of  $n = \langle \hat{n} \rangle = \langle a^\dagger a \rangle$ .

Taking into account phonon/excitation modes at all available momenta, the Hamiltonian becomes just the sum

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}} \hbar \omega_{\vec{k}} \left( a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (8)$$

Overall expectation values are found by integrating over available momenta; as these are very dense, an integral instead of a sum is an acceptable treatment. In  $d$  spatial dimensions, we then find, e.g.,

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \sum_{\vec{k}} \langle x_{\vec{k}}^2 \rangle \approx \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \langle x_{\vec{k}}^2 \rangle. \quad (9)$$

As  $\hat{n}_{\vec{k}}$  describes the number of non-interacting phonons, its thermal expectation value is given by the Bose distribution

$$n_{\vec{k}}(T) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\hbar \omega_{\vec{k}}}{k_B T}} - 1}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, we need to know the phonon frequency. At least for the acoustic phonons, which have to exist in every solid, and for momenta  $\vec{k} \approx 0$ , it grows linearly with momentum. We set here

$$\omega_{\vec{k}} \approx c |\vec{k}| \quad (11)$$

with some constant  $c$ , valid for  $\vec{k} \rightarrow 0$ . For low temperature  $T \rightarrow 0$ , only these lowest-energy phonons will have appreciable occupation numbers. Concerning *high* energies, phonon frequencies are bounded.

- First focus on the ground state  $T = 0$ , where we expect no excitations to be present  $n_{\vec{k}}(T) = 0$ .
  - Write expressions for  $\langle x^2 \rangle(T)$ , this will lead to an integral.
  - Estimate the integral in the three cases, paying particular attention to any potential divergence.
  - What conclusion can you draw concerning the stability of one- two- and three-dimensional lattices?
- Consider now small  $T > 0$  and 1, 2 and 3 dimensions.

The situation is very similar in antiferromagnets with (broken) continuous symmetry. Ferromagnets are a slightly different story, because the classical ground state is also an eigenstate of the quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian and does not contain zero-point fluctuations. However, results at finite  $T > 0$  turn out to be equivalent.