Maximilian Werner<sup>1</sup>, Lino Möhrmann<sup>1,</sup> Małgorzata Oleś<sup>2</sup>, Andreas Mock<sup>3</sup>, Arne Jahn<sup>1</sup>, Simon Kreutzfeldt<sup>3</sup>, Sebastian Uhrig<sup>4</sup>, Martina Fröhlich<sup>4</sup>, Barbara Hutter<sup>4</sup>, Daniela Richter<sup>1</sup>, Gina Rüter<sup>5</sup>, Ivan Jelas<sup>5</sup>, Rainer Hamacher<sup>6</sup>, Johanna Falkenhorst<sup>6</sup>, Sebastian Wagner<sup>7</sup>, Christian Brandts<sup>7</sup>, Melanie Börries<sup>8</sup>, Anna L. Illert<sup>8</sup>, Klaus H. Metzeler<sup>9</sup>, Benedikt Westphalen<sup>9</sup>, Alexander Desuki<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Kindler<sup>10</sup>, Albrecht Stenzinger<sup>11</sup>, Evelin Schröck<sup>12</sup>, Peter Horak<sup>3</sup>, Christoph Heining<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Fröhling<sup>3</sup>, Hanno Glimm<sup>1</sup>

# BACKGROUND

METHODS

- Cancers of unknown primary site (CUPs) represent a heterogeneous group of metastatic tumors and account for three to five percent of all malignancies. They are a frequent cause of cancer death.
- The median overall survival time is six to ten months and there are only limited local and systemic treatment options. • Subgroups of CUP patients representing 15% of all cases benefit from treatment corresponding to the therapy administered to patients with equivalent primary tumors and metastatic spreading.
- Precision oncology is not standard of care for CUP patients.
- Patients in this cohort were discussed in a molecular tumor board and received treatment recommendations based on molecular findings. Follow-up data was analyzed to evaluate treatment outcome of applied recommended therapies.

#### **CUP Cohort in MASTER** Standard workup **NCT/DKTK MASTER** (Molecularly Aided Stratification for Tumor Eradication Research) Patient with preliminary CUP diagnosis Clinical diagnostics Sample assessment Molecular prof line and evaluation asservation and and Patient enrolment processing bioinformatics =XU **KHI** Immunohistochemica analysis Validation of **Clinical interpret** Molecular tumor immediately tion of 15% board nolecular data actionable lesions Favorable Non-favorable subset subset Issuance of 56 tumor board recommendations Treatment as Treatment with corresponding platinum/taxane entity based chemotherapy Application of 30 recommended therapies within 20 patients less likely less more more likely likely likely 9/30 8/30 9/30 3/30 MR PR SD CR Progressive Remission Disease Best supportive care

RESULTS

#### Swimmer plot of 20 patients with applied therapy recommendations



# Genomics based personalized oncology of cancer of unknown primary

<sup>1</sup> Department of Translational Medical Oncology, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) Dresden and German <sup>6</sup> West German Cancer Center, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Dresden, Germany <sup>2</sup> Translational Functional Cancer Genomics, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) Heidelberg and German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>3</sup> Translational Medical Oncology, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) Heidelberg and German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>4</sup> Division of Applied Bioinformatics, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) Heidelberg and German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>5</sup> Charité Comprehensive Cancer Center, Charité, Berlin, Germany

• 70 CUP patients were enrolled in a prospective precision oncology registry trial that addresses younger adults with advanced-stage cancer across histologies as well as patients tumors (NCT/DKTK rare with MASTER).

- Molecular analyses performed: Whole genome sequencing (WGS, n=29), whole exome sequencing (WES, n=41) and transcriptome analysis (n=55).
- analysis included Clinical demographic data, histopathological diagnosis, location of metastases at the time of enrollment, fulfillment of the ESMO CUP diagnostic criteria, all systemic therapies and staging information.
- Progression free survival (PFS) of the first treatment based on MASTER (PFS2) was compared to the PFS of prior systemic treatment the last (PFS1) in each individual patient. **PFS2/1** (PFSr) ratios were calculated
- Germline mutations were classified in accordance with ACMG guidelines.

Each bar represents one patient in the study from date of diagnosis until dropout († marks deceased patients) or end of observation period. The last systemic therapy (PFS1, green) first recommended the and therapy (PFS2, blue) are plotted those bars. Continued inside response at the end of the observation period is marked with an arrow. The bar of CUP-69 has been shortened by 60 months (true length 146 months). For CUP-15, CUP-25 and CUP-70 PFSr could not be calculated 13/17 patients had PFSr  $\geq$  1.3 (4x checkpoint inhibitor, 3x ALK inhibitor, 3x multikinase inhibitor, 1x trastuzumab, 1x vismodegib, 1x olaparib+gemcitabine).

### Cohort description

Characteristics	n	Tissue molecular testing method		Metric	Value		n	Metric	Value		n
All	70	WGS	29		median (range)	mean			median (range)	mean	
Sex		WES	41	PFS1	89 (31-304) davs	110 davs	17	prePFS	89 (60-304) days	112 days	17
Male	27 (39%)	RNAseq	55	PES2	183 (50-805) days	243 days	20	, postPES	720 (50-720) days	448 davs	20
Female	43 (61%)	recommendations		DESr	2.25 (0.16.16.43)	2 10 dayo	17	mPESr	2.67 (0.16-12.20)	/ 07	17
<b>Age</b> Median (range)	46 (18-73)	Therapies recommended Therapies applied	56 20	We calcu doi:10.113	ulated prePFS, postF 36/esmoopen-2019-00	PFS and m 00583).	PFSr a	s proposed	by Mock et al. (Es	SMO Open	2019

## Molecular alterations among 70 CUP patients



**Oncoplot:** Frequency of nonsynonymous single nucleotide variants (blue), small insertions/deletion (pink) and fusions of high confidence (green) occurring in coding regions of the genes (rows) of individual patients (columns). Only genes mutated in more than 3 patients (while excluding 3 extremely mutated cases with >10 mutations/Mb from counting) appear in the plot. Genes in bold font are listed in the Cosmic Cancer Gene Consensus.



- even in heavily pretreated patients.
- needed.

<sup>7</sup> University Cancer Center Frankfurt, University Hospital Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany <sup>8</sup> Comprehensive Cancer Center Freiburg, Freiburg University Medical Center, Freiburg, Germany <sup>9</sup> Comprehensive Cancer Center München<sup>LMU</sup>, Medical Center of the University of Munich, Munich, Germany <sup>10</sup> University Cancer Center Mainz, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz, Germany <sup>11</sup> Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>12</sup> Institute for Clinical Genetics, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus Dresden, Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany

## RESULTS

#### **Clinical outcome**

# **CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

I. A comprehensive molecular analysis of CUPs provides clinically relevant information and additional, molecularly stratified treatment options, which can be highly beneficial

II. Further investigation in larger cohorts using innovative prospective trial designs is

#### Molecular landscape

**DNA:** 0 - 1386 SNVs (median, median = 38), 0 - 38 indels (median = 3) per sample within coding sequence. Hypermutation (≥100 SNVs and indels) observed in 18 samples.

CNV-analysis (n=53; 27 WGS / 26 WES) revealed ploidies from two to six (2: n = 33; 3: n = 6; 4: n = 11; 6: n = 3), and complex CNV profiles in most cases. Events occurring in more than 40% of samples included: gains in chromosomes 8q, 1q and 7 (with maxima in 55%, 52%) and 45% of the samples in cytobands q24.13, q41, p22.2, respectively), losses in chromosomes 6q and 17p (with maxima in 46% and 43% of the samples in cytobands q23.3 and p13.1, respectively). Only one sample showed a normal CNV profile.

**RNA:** 0 to 61 (median = 10) gene fusions of high confidence per patient. The most common fusion involved the FGFR2 gene (n = 6), always occurring at the splicesite of the FGFR2 gene, in four cases intrachromosomal including inversions (n=3, twice with BICC1 gene), translocations (n=2) and one deletion (n=1). EML4-ALK fusions were detected in 3 patients. We found several rare fusions, including a MXI1-NUTM1 fusion which led the MTB to recommend pathological reevaluation since NUTM1 fusions define NUT midline carcinomas.

**Diagnostic reevaluation:** Based on characteristic genetic events the MTB recommended reevaluation by pathologists in 7 cases.

Germline analysis of all 70 patients revealed six pathogenic variants or likely pathogenic variants in five patients. Affected genes were CHEK2, BRCA1, CDKN2A, FH, NBN and ERCC3. Genetic counseling was recommended.

> Tumor mutational burden: Number of non-synonymous somatic mutations in exonic sequences (SNVs and small insertions/deletions) per megabase (Mb) of coding sequence of the genome. 3 samples above 10 mut/Mb threshold (pink line) were identified as ultrahypermutated and were excluded from the pool of mutations considered for thresholding in the oncoplot.



NATIONAL CENTER FOR TUMOR DISEASES PARTNER SITE DRESDEN UNIVERSITY CANCER CENTER UCC

Supported by: German Cancer Research Center University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus Dresden Carl Gustav Carus Faculty of Medicine, TU Dresden Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf