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## 1 Preamble

Gender equality is understood as a quality criterion and cross-sectional task at Technische Universität Dresden (TU Dresden). This voluntary commitment is also the framework for the TU Dresden Plan for the Promotion of Women (PPW), which was last adopted in 2014 and continues with the PPW 2019-2023.

The Saxon Women's Promotion Act (SächsFFG) stipulates the advancement of women, the compatibility of family and career in the public service, and is the normative basis of the present plan. The law states that a plan for the promotion of women "describes the situation of female employees, evaluates the previous advancement of women in the individual areas and in particular develops measures to implement necessary personnel and organisational improvements [...] to increase the proportion of women" ${ }^{1}$. For TU Dresden as an excellent institution for research and teaching, the present plan consequently describes the situation of female employees and students and specifies which measures are to be used to further approach the ideal of gender equality and family friendliness in the validity period. It is therefore part of TU Dresden's strategy to incorporate equal opportunities into the core processes of the university.

PW 2019-2023 puts the situation of female employees in technology and administration at the center of its considerations - this also takes place against the background of the recommendations in PPW 2014 (Section 3.4.3 - Measures for women in technology and administration - sentences 1 $+2)$ to create a plan for the promotion of women explicitly for those employed in technology and administration. The plan supports the overall university goal of offering everyone the same opportunities as well as utilising all exisiting potential. Along with this, the reduction of current disadvantages for women should be promoted. The available instruments for university development are supplemented by a number of documents. These include

- The Gender Equality Concept 2018 (https://tu-dresden.de/tudresden/chancengleichheit/ressourcen/dateien/gleichstellung/GK2018.pdf?lang=en )
- Personnel Development Concept 2019 (https://tu-dresden.de/karriere/arbeiten-an-dertud/personalentwicklung?set language=en)
- Target Agreement Audit Family-friendly University 2016-2019 (https://tu-dresden.de/tu-dresden/chancengleichheit/ressourcen/dateien/familienfreundlichkeit/zielvereinbarung-audit-familiengerechte-hochschule-2016-2019?lang=de hereafter referred to as Audit 2016-2019.

The Personnel Development Concept 2019 and Audit 2019 equally involve scientific and scientific support staff in their objectives. With a focus on the topic of gender equality, the Gender Equality Concept 2018 represents a comprehensive strategy to promote women in science (academic staff and students). With the conceptual orientation of the PPW 2019-2023, TU Dresden is making a further contribution to the practical and result-orientated creation of synergies.

[^0]
## 2 Purpose

As part of the desired cultural change towards improving opportunities for women, TU Dresden has defined the following goals:

- Increasing the proportion of women in management and managerial positions
- Eliminating the underrepresentation of women ${ }^{2}$ in all work areas as well as in academic committees and in academic self-government
- Supporting the professional career and expanding options for further training with a focus on the group of female employees in technology and administration
- Promotion of measures to reconcile studies and work with family and care responsibilities
- Improving the structural framework, especially for female employees and students


## 3 Structure of the chapters

In section 4 of sub-sections 4.1-4.4 (Current figures on female employees and students as well as measures to remedy the underrepresentation of women), PPW 2019-2023 primarily presents the relevant data from personnel statistics for the individual employee groups and from committee support. The figures should complement the statistics in the 2018 equality concept in a meaningful manner and not repeat them, so reference is made to the Gender Equality Concept 2018 where appropriate. If the under-representation of women has been identified by the inventory (this applies in particular to the groups of university professors, academic staff, students in certain subject areas as well as women in committees and management positions in academic selfadministration), proven and promising measures to remedy this situation are discussed.

With an excursus on the topic of fair pay (section 5), the PPW 2019-2023 illustrates the positive effect of gender-sensitive pay. In Section 6 (Topics on professional development and personal satisfaction), PPW 2019-2023 describes in sub-items 6.1-6.6 further concrete measures and strategies for the implementation of the aforementioned purpose, always with a focus on women in technology and administration.

Initially, measures to support career paths for female employees as well as the topic of further training $(6.1+6.2)$ are discussed. This part of the plan is closely linked to the 2019 personnel development concept and accordingly refers to this.

The basic support for the implementation of these measures to promote women in their professional careers are the compatibility of study and work with family and care responsibilities (6.3), health and social issues (6.4) and dealing with harassment, discrimination and violence (6.5). A lot of preparatory work has already been done on the compatibility field, especially in the course of the Audit 2016-2019, so that reference is made to where this is appropriate. Section 6.6 provides an overview of all ongoing, planned and recommended measures that are aimed at opening up

[^1]better opportunities for women at TU Dresden in particular.

## 4 Current figures on female employees and students and measures to remedy the underrepresentation of women

### 4.1 Scientific employees ${ }^{3}$

As of $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2018, the proportion of women among academic staff was below $31 \%$ in all groups (entire group) with the exception of academic assistants (47.1\%) and student assistants (45.5\%). The proportion of female professors is very low at $16.9 \%$. The proportion of female research assistants is $30.1 \%$. The proportions of scientific management positions are not balanced. In 2018, the ratio of the heads of the Central Institutions and Central Scientific Institutions is $82.6 \%$ men to $17.4 \%$ women. Between 2014 and 2018, the proportion of permanent female academic staff remained at an average of $29.9 \%$. This has been a steady upward trend in professorships since 2014. In 2014, the proportion of women was $14.7 \%$, in 2018 it was $16.9 \% .{ }^{4}$ The proportion of female professors on permanent contracts rose from $12.4 \%$ to $16.4 \%$ by 2018.

At the professorship level, TU Dresden strives to achieve a $23-29 \%$ share of women by 2030, which in turn is based on a targeted share of women in new appointments of $25-30 \%$. This objective is calculated according to the cascade model, in which the objectives for the proportion of women at each scientific qualification level are determined by the proportion of women at the qualification level directly below. ${ }^{5}$ In order to achieve this goal, new measures were formulated in the Gender Equality Concept 2018, which should work in addition to proven or measures in need of modification from past equality concepts (2009 and 2014). This includes further strengthening the instrument of active recruitment on a sustainable basis. Since April 2019, active recruitment has been supplemented by a mandatory introductory field screening by the organising agency prior to the publication of each advertisement in order to be able to make changes in time to optimise the description of the profile and tasks with a view to attracting excellent female scientists.

The anchoring of the calculated targets from the Gender Equality Concept 2018 is also expected to have positive effects in the target agreements made every three years between the university management and the five areas. The Eleonore Trefftz Guest Professor Programme will be made more flexible and will continue as a measure that will help to attract promising female scientists to TU Dresden. Appointments to a professorship with a tenure track are increasingly being considered at TU Dresden as a goal-orientated option to attract excellent female scientists. The

[^2]existing family-friendly framework conditions at TU Dresden make the tenure track option a successful model that is very attractive for female scientists with family or caring work, as the path to a professorship becomes more transparent and predictable. The tenure track professorship starts in an early career phase and, after a successful probation phase, provides for an immediate transition to a lifetime professorship. ${ }^{6}$

According to the target in the Gender Equality Concept 2018, the proportion of female academic staff is to be increased to $40-45 \%$ by 2030. The implementation of the framework code on dealing with fixed-term employment and the promotion of career prospects at universities in the Free State of Saxony, as well as the fixed-term standards, have resulted in improvements in the contract terms of academic staff, both at household and third-party funded positions. Currently around $85 \%$ of those employed at TU Dresden have at least a 1-year contract and around $40 \%$ of this group have at least a 3-year contract. In the case of financing solely from third-party funds, the contract term is based exclusively on the approved project duration or the approved subsections. To support the implementation of the gender equality mandate and increase transparency in the allocation of budget-financed permanent positions, the 2018 equality concept provides for the stringent inclusion of decentralised gender equality officers, not only as previously practiced in the case of permant employment, but also playing an active role in the future in such recruitment procedures.

### 4.2 Students

In the past ten years, the proportion of new students at TU Dresden has varied between $43 \%$ and $46 \%$. The proportion of female students is around the same level. There are clear differences between the multiple disciplines. With some degree programs, the proportion of women is less than $20 \%$. This is currently the case in ten courses in engineering (ING/ STEM) and four courses in construction and the environment. The proportion of new students in the ING area is constantly a little over 20\%.

As in previous versions, the aim of the 2018 Gender Equality Concept is to increase the proportion of women in such courses of study. One measure, for example, is the continuation and conceptual expansion of the STEM coordination unit in the Staff Unit Diversity Managemen. In addition, a pilot project focusing on schools is to be initiated in which students will act as STEM ambassadors to specifically recruit female students.

### 4.3 Scientific support staff ${ }^{7}$

The proportion of women among science support staff was not underrepresented in any group in the administration as of $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2018. The proportion of female directors (Executive Managers for the Schools are also taken into account) was $54.5 \%$. The proportion of Unit Heads (including Heads of Staff Units and Leonardo office) ${ }^{8}$ is $73.2 \%$. The group of administrative employees (central / decentralised) has a share of women of $81.8 \%$, the share of university

[^3]secretaries is also very high at $98.7 \%$ and the number of trainees is $60.0 \%$ female.
As of $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2018, skilled workers in research and teaching were significantly underrepresented in technology at $9.7 \%{ }^{9}$. The group of female laboratory technicians is prominently represented with $75.8 \%$, and among technical assistants, it is as high as $81.9 \%$. On the other hand, skilled workers are proportionately in the lower third with $22.8 \%$ and female trainees with $23.5 \%$.

### 4.4 Committees and management positions in academic self-government

The proportion of women in the professorships - which is still too small - is directly reflected at TU Dresden in the filling of management positions and the composition of the committees. Women are significantly underrepresented in management positions, a situation that should be counteracted primarily by targeted measures in the personnel development concept 2020.
The proportion of women with voting rights in the Senate of the term of office (current term of office) 2014 to 2019 was $18.1 \%$ in 2018 (see Figure 1) based on the group of university professors, $50 \%$ for academic staff and other staff $50 \%$. Figure 1 shows the proportion of female students. After the last election, this was $25 \%$.

Figure $1^{10}$ : Women in the Senate

| 2014 to 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employee |  |  |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof | \% |
| 11 | 2 | 18,18 | 4 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 50 |


| Students $2018-2019$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | Thereof | $\%$ |
| 4 | 1 | 25 |

[^4]The proportion of women among voting members in the Extended Senate of the term of office (current term of office) 2014 to 2019 was 0\% in 2018 (see Figure 2) based on the group of university lecturers, $25 \%$ for academic staff and other staff / - inside $0 \%$. Figure 2 shows the proportion of female students. After the last election, this was $25 \%$.

Figure 2: Women in the Extended Senate

| 2014 to 2019 |  | Academic Staff | Other Employees |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| University Professors | Thereof <br> Women | $\%$ | Total | Thereof <br> Women | $\%$ | Total | Thereof <br> Women |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 |


| Students 2018-2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | $\%$ |
| 4 | 1 | 25 |

The University Council is composed of equal numbers of female and male and has been headed by a woman for 9 years now. The proportion of women among voting members in the University Council of the term of office (current term of office) 2015 to 2019 was $5 \%$ with five female members in 2018.

In 2018, the proportion of women in the University Executive Board of the 2015-2020 term (current term) was 0\%.

In 2018, women were represented as voting members in all Senate commissions and other committees and commissions, as shown in Figures 3 to 12.

Figure 3: Women in the Senate Commission on Planning, Budget and Structure

| 2014 to 2019 | Academic Staff | Other Employees |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| University Professors | Thereof <br> Women | Total | Thereof <br> Women | Total | Thereof <br> Women |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Students 2018-2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | $\%$ |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 4: Women in the Senate Commission for Research and Young Academic

| 2014 to 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employees |  |  |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% |
|  | 2 | 33 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Students 2014-2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | $\%$ <br> 2$\| 2$ |

Figure 5: Women in the Senate Commission for Teaching

| 2015 to 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employees |  |  |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof Woman | \% | Total | Thereof | \% |
| 20 | 4 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 50 |


| 2015 to 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students 2015-2016 |  |  | Students 2016-2017 |  |  | Students 2017-2018 |  |  |
| Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women |  |
| 10 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 33 | 10 | 2 | 20 |

Figure 6: Women in the Senate Commission on Gender Equality and Diversity Managementbbildung

| 2014 to 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employees |  |  |
| Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% |
|  | 5 | 83,33 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 100 |


| Students 2014-2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | $\%$ |
| 2 | 2 | 100 |

## Other committees and commissions:

Figure 7: Women in the Library Commission

| No ter | office in | the clas | sical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univer | ty Profess |  | Academ | c Staff |  | Other | mployees |  | Stud |  |  |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% |
| 15 | 1 | 6,66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 8: Women in the Chief Information Officer - CIO - (IT- Steering Committee)

| No ter | f office in |  | ssical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univer | y Professors |  | Acade | Staff |  | Other | ployees |  | Stude |  |  |
| Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 16,66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 9: Women in the Chief Information Officer (CIO)- Advisory Council

| No term of office in the classical sense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employees |  |  | Students |  |  |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 13,33 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 10: Women in the Environment Commission

| 2015 to 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employees |  |  | Students |  |  |
| Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% |
| 14 | 4 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 2 | 100 |

Figure 11: Women in the Commission Quality in Studies and Teaching

| 2015 to 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Professors |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employees |  |  | Students |  |  |
| Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 50 |  | 1 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

## Faculty councils

The proportion of women amongst voting members in the faculty councils of the term of office (last term of office) 2018 to 2021 was 2018 (see table "Faculty councils" in the appendix, 8.3) based on the group of university lecturers $19.6 \%{ }^{11}$, for academic staff $34 \%$ and $56.3 \%$ for other employees. The table "Faculty councils" shows the proportion of female students. After the last election, this was 43.5\%.

## School Committees and School Councils

School Committees and School Councils were created for the first time in 2018. The proportion of women among voting members in the School Committees for the term of office (current term of office) 2018 to 2021 was $15.4 \%$ in 2018 (see table "School Committees and School Councils" in the appendix, 8.3), based on the group of university teachers/ professors, for academic staff $27.3 \%$ and $50 \%$ of other employees. The table "School Committees and School Councils" shows the proportion

[^5]of female students. After the last election, this was 37\%. The proportion of women in the School Councils was 7.7\%.

The proportion of women in leadership positions in the elective office of the term of office (current term of office) 2015 to 2020 was $0 \%$ in 2018 (see Figure 12) in the group of School spokespersons, $5.6 \%$ in the group of deans and $16.4 \%$ at the level of vice deans or deans of studies.

Figure 12: Women in management positions in the electoral office

| 2018 to 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Secretaries |  |  | Dean |  |  | Per Dean and Dean of Studies |  |  |
| Total | Thereof Women |  | Total | Thereof <br> Women | \% | Total | Thereof Women | \% |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 5,56 | 61 | 10 | 16,39 |

A look at the proportion of women on the appointment commissions shows a positive trend: the appointment regulations amended in 2016 provide for the participation of at least three women in each appointment committee, including at least one female professor, in §6. Compliance with this regulation "Gender equality monitoring in appointment procedures", which was introduced by the statistics sheet introduced in 2014, is queried as a regular part of the appointment file. With an average share of $39 \%$ women in the appointment commissions, TU Dresden is now close to the $40 \%$ quota recommended by the Science Council.

At TU Dresden, there are targeted measures to relieve women who participate in committees. Since April 2014, the group of decentralised equal opportunities officers working part-time has been given guidelines on relieving duties, which provide for household-financed relief of up to 30\% of regular working hours. In 2011, the University Executive Board decided on a programme for the allocation of bonus funds to recognise the involvement of professors and academic staff in committee work, in which they are often disproportionately stressed compared to their colleagues. This measure to promote equality is financed by the professor programs I and II. Since 2011, 83 female scientists from twelve faculties could be honored with 1,000 to 3,000 EUR. This measure, which is currently managed centrally, is to undergo further development with a view to the scope and design of the bonus funds and to be located decentrally in the operational implementation.

As part of the personnel development concept, a four-part workshop programme for deans and School spokespersons was started at the beginning of 2019. With a view to the diverse and complex challenges associated with these offices, the programme aims to address the following issues and strategies (1) strategic challenges and fields of action, (2) leadership requirements, (3) tools for leadership and organisational development, and (4) to pormote administrative structures and processes. In the future, it will be implemented regularly after new elections and in particular
motivate this group of employees to perform management and leadership tasks more strongly and support them in developing a common understanding of roles. The program is to be expanded prospectively and also address the group of Vice Dean as well as the Dean of Studies in adapted formats.

Last but not least, this structured offer is linked to the expectation that the proportion of women in management positions at the university will increase visibly in the coming terms of office.

## 5 Equal Payment

Equal pay for employees with the same job and similar qualifications is a basic prerequisite for a gender equality and equal opportunities orientated personnel policy ${ }^{12}$. In the German legal area, this was last demanded by the Pay Transparency Act. Since 2017, the principle of equal pay has been established in section 7 of the Pay Transparency Act. All private and public institutions have to pay equal pay for women and men for the same and equivalent work. Discrimination based on gender is prohibited.

Nationwide, the gender pay gap for comparable jobs with similar qualifications in 2018 was six percent ${ }^{13}$. Here, professors in the W salary are also to be included: a salary ranking by the German University Association shows that the difference in their gross monthly salaries compared to their male colleagues in the same year is up to 650 euros (W3). ${ }^{14}$ The Pay Transparency Act aims to ensure equality of pay for everyone Levels of employment by creating the legal basis for transparency in payment systems. This also includes an individual right to information for all female employees about what colleagues earn in the same position.

In the Gender Equality Concept 2018, the topic of "Equal Pay Instead of Gender Pay Gap" as part of the planned quality management is the basis for a measure which, under the leadership of the Chancellor, in cooperation with the Office of Equal Opportunities and Women's Representatives and the Personnel Council, carries out an equal pay check at TU Dresden. This means that a university-wide examination procedure is initiated for the group of university lecturers as well as for all TV-L employees, among others. Using questionnaires, statistics and evaluation matrices, it can be determined to what extent salaries are "paid according to transparent, non-discriminatory criteria" ${ }^{15}$. Analogous to the HTW Berlin fee check (1), a control check is recommended (i.e. also a check of the Discrimination potential in TV-L as an awareness-raising measure), (2) a statistical analysis (e.g. analysis of the job plan), (3) the creation of a criteria grid for alternative job

[^6]evaluation ${ }^{16}$ as well as final pair comparisons and testing of gender-appropriate evaluation methods. ${ }^{17}$

## 6 Topics concerning professional development and personal satisfaction

### 6.1 Measures to support career paths for female workers

If the concept of career for scientific employees is directly linked to the attainment of the next higher qualification level, career is more flexibly defined for scientific support staff in technology and administration. In this context, the term describes an expansion of the work content and responsibilities, more decision-making powers, (possibly for the first time, otherwise) more personnel responsibility and the change to the next higher pay group. The willingness to take more responsibility and to act independently in the individual professional course is to be continuously supported by the employer as an active and positive moment also with regard to the development of the overall organisation. The proportion of women is disproportionately high, particularly in administration, so the 2019-2023 Women's Promotion Plan pays specific attention to the available and possible instruments to support motivated female employees.

The recently established measures at Technische Universität Dresden include the annual meetings to be carried out by the superiors, which are intended to promote the exchange of current needs and potential and to ensure advice and coordination on possible development measures. Questions about the compatibility of family and work are an explicit part of the annual interviews.

The following presents three measures for the individual promotion of the professional career, which are aimed particularly at women in the scientific support field of activity and which were designed in 2018 in close cooperation between equal opportunities officers and administrators of the partner institutions of DRESDEN-concept. Until the FFP is updated, they should be checked or established in pilot formats:
a) The (female) "Technicians" Academy

Since 2015, the Helmholtz Zentrum Dresden Rossendorf (HZDR) has been managing the female "Engineering Academy" in cooporation with the Saxon Education Company for Environmental Protection and Chemical Professions Dresden GmbH (SBG). In 2016, it received the Innovation award for Continuing Education from the Free State of Saxony and has been open to interested parties from 2018. It will supplement the regular spectrum of certified training courses to teach well-founded knowledge in the areas of expertise, radiation protection, occupational safety, IT, communication, social competence by monoeducational offers, which are specifically aimed at women. The partner institutions in DRESDEN concept supplement this offer with guided tours in

[^7]their laboratories and technical facilities. The public relations work on this measure should make it clear that the aim is to promote existing skills and not to exclude female employees through exclusively created offers. For this purpose, a project's own website offers a contemporary possibility for information, exchange and networking.
b) The "internship program"

The offer is aimed at female employees in technology and administration. During the implementation, employees are exchanged for a period of one to three months. Participants are "guests" outside of their facility in other facilities. The objective is an intensified dialogue at the specialist level as well as a flexibilisation and optimisation of one's own work processes in dealing with problem-solving development and the best practice routines of other institutions, e.g. in thirdparty fund management. The measure is also suitable for targeted career advancement through formats such as representations and "on trial management". The HZDR provided the impetus for the measure with the concept of a "personnel exchange program" developed in 2018.
c) The (female) "Head of department pool"

This measure is designed as a cost-neutral discussion and advisory service for individual localisation in the career for female employees in technology and administration analogous to the Maria Reiche mentoring program for female scientists at TU Dresden. The aim is to create a pool of mentors (personalities with managerial experience in management from various departments: administration, business, science, politics) by activating the expertise and expanding the contacts of the Expert Advice Network Dresden e.V. founded in 1992 and the Dresden group of the German Association of Academics. Such mentoring is particularly suitable for an exploratory discussion with a view to taking on a (management) position in science management (interface between the above-mentioned departments), technology and administration.

### 6.2 Training

Professional careers that aim to deepen technical competence supported in every phase, as should careers with a focus on the management position. Training measures are generally relevant in order to be able to carry out the very specific fields of work and activities according to the latest standards. Expanding and deepening technical skills is therefore a high priority for many employees. These educational offers strengthen careers in terms of professionalisation and ensure long-term job satisfaction. With the Center for Continuing Education (ZfW), TU Dresden offers its scientific staff and executives an in-house opportunity to expand their knowledge and develop skills. In addition to a wide range of workshops, the ZfW also offers individual advice / coaching and networking opportunities (e.g. collegial case advice).

To strengthen and develop intercultural skills, employees from technology and administration have had the free qualification offer "Sprlnt" since 2012 in the context of the internationalisation strategy in the Excellence Initiative. In addition, there are in-house training courses on the use of SAP software as well as information technology and media didactics. A wide range of advanced training courses for this target group can be found in the training center of the Free State of Saxony (FoBiz, formerly AVS) in Meißen.

The need - based expansion of the existing offers is planned in the personnel development concept 2020. Women with family and / or caring responsibilities will benefit from this in particular. The
preparation of new and the transfer of existing learning content (e.g. at the Center for Continuing Education) into digital formats will help to make individual learning processes more flexible and promote the family-friendliness of further and advanced training.

### 6.3 Compability of study and employment with family and care tasks

The study "Vereinbarkeit 2020"18 (Compatibility 2020) shows three "clusters" of life plans for employees in Germany;

- $67 \%$ „will sich sowohl beruflich entwickeln als auch genügend Zeit für Familie und Privatleben haben (would like to develop professionally, as well as have enough time for family and private life);
- $\quad 22,3$ \% hat einen klaren Fokus auf die Selbstverwirklichung im Beruf (have a clear focus on self-fulfilment at work);
- 9,7 \% verwirklicht sich hauptsächlich im Privatleben (achieve their goals in their private life)."

The numbers clearly show the enormous importance of this topic. It is no coincidence that it is a recognised strength of TU Dresden that family friendliness has been established as an issue that affects many areas and a core task.

In this context, it is worth mentioning that by 2020 there will be a lack of three million skilled workers nationwide and at the same time 3.4 million people will need care ${ }^{19}$. Since women in Germany predominantly take on nursing duties (64\% of cases) and surveys show that,

- $30 \%$ have difficulty reconciling these tasks with their job;
- $\quad 61 \%$ get additional time off as well
- $\quad 62 \%$ would like financial support.

In general, and especially to support female employees, further measures must be taken to ensure compatibility. ${ }^{20}$

TU Dresden has been certified as a family-friendly university since 2007. To date, it is one of 106 universities in Germany - most recently in re-audit 2019 - that has drawn up a target agreement on family and care friendliness for its staff and students. ${ }^{21}$ The compatibility of family and work is

[^8]treated accordingly in HR development as a cross-cutting issue and linked to all measures and activities. In this context, the annual discussions already mentioned play a special role, as they contribute to an improved work-life balance on a very individual level, in that the work aids made available for the discussions focus in particular on the aspects of working conditions, workload and compatibility with private life and work.

Among the contractually relevant measures at TU Dresden, the implementation of the family policy component according to WissZeitVG is noteworthy. This means that fixed-term employment contracts are extended by up to two years per child to compensate for the multiple burden of childcare in addition to a scientific activity and qualification or to compensate for family-related leave of absence. More flexibility for employees has been provided since 2015 by a university holiday care offer during the winter holidays to compensate for the lack of childcare, and, since 2018, also for the summer holidays.

In the course of implementing its goals in the target agreement audit family-friendly university 2016-2019 and in the gender equality concept 2018 and in the personnel development concept 2019, TU Dresden strives to maintain the already high standard of family friendliness and expand it further. This applies above all to the range of childcare options and the continuation of the coordination center for family friendliness, the latter in order to enable the continuous implementation and further development of projects and measures. In addition, targeted support for all employees is planned through a "contact and re-entry program for employees during family-related time-outs" and "support offers for scientists with family tasks during science-related stays abroad". Flexible working models (e.g. job sharing, shared management positions) and working time models (e.g. part-time work and options for mobile work) are addressed in all framework plans.

Considering the situation of the students, TU Dresden supports the expansion of part-time study and e-learning programs throughout the university and offers an expanded compensation for disadvantages as well as special study plans. This encourages more prospective students and students with family commitments to study and enables them to successfully complete their studies.

Family means not only parenting, but also caring for (grand) parents and life partners. In order to support students and employees with (impending) nursing responsibilities, independent nursing advice has been available on the TU Dresden campus since July 2019, which is supplemented by home visits as well as topic-specific lectures and seminars ${ }^{22}$. Furthermore, the digital nursing guide provides central information on the compatibility of nursing tasks with studying and working. ${ }^{23}$

[^9]
### 6.4 Health and social issues

TU Dresden recognises it as one of its tasks to maintain and promote the long-term and sustainable health and performance of its employees and students. For this purpose, the University Health Management (UGM) offers extensive health-related offers and measures.

Advisory services and contact persons for students and employees on occupational, radiation and environmental protection are available here. The amended Maternity Protection Act has been implemented at TU Dresden since $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2018 and also includes a comprehensive risk assessment for pregnant women at TU Dresden, which results in protective measures and changes in working and study conditions. A major innovation is that students are now also included in the scope.

With the UGM, TU Dresden also provides offers on occupational health care, exercise and sports, healthy nutrition, mental health, but also on time management, first aid and equal opportunities. TU Dresden was able to achieve extensive measures here, such as an accessible (barrier-free) guidance and orientation system, the design of barrier-free forms, a lecture series and a qualification programme for inclusive education. Also controlled by the UGM is the Company Integration Management (BEM), which can work out and implement individual assistance for employees who have been ill for a longer period of time and are voluntarily participating, in order to make it easier for them to return to and secure their job.

On the annual Health Day, employees and students can get comprehensive information on a wide range of health issues. The visit is counted as working time for employees. For students, too, participation means no restriction in their course of study. There are no courses on this day.

The growing number of older people deserves increasing attention in a healthy coexistence. Many of them have specialist and experience knowledge for which transfer concepts are missing in the younger workforce. The reverse is the transfer or flow of knowledge not optimised. It is therefore recommended to develop formats for future-orientated knowledge management. This includes, for example, models in which tandems from different generations bring their expertise together. An age check of the workforce is also recommended in order to develop needs-based personnel policy instruments. Appropriate approval of relaxation and special vacation periods is an important component. ${ }^{24}$

### 6.5 Dealing with harassment, discrimination and violence

In its basic structure, TU Dresden clearly positions itself against discrimination. The promotion of diversity is an important core element for an equal and respectful cooperation. This objective was anchored in the Diversity Strategy $2030^{25}$ as well as in the Mission Statement for Personnel Development (2017).

[^10]Central building blocks on this path are:
a) Gender-sensitive language and image communication
b) Security on campus
c) Guideline of the Technische Universität Dresden on dealing with harassment, discrimination and violence.
a) Gender-sensitive language and image communication

The perception and assessment of groups of people is influenced by their presence in speech or images. An equal representation of all groups of people is therefore crucial on the way to equal opportunities. In the 2018 equality concept, the updating and binding introduction of the guideline "Gender-equitable in language and image" was mentioned as a measure. The guide was already updated in 2017, with this renewed push the decision of the Federal Constitutional Court (-1 BvR 2019 / 16- Rn. (1-69) are taken into account, which demands the possibility of communicating gender diversity when providing personal data ${ }^{26}$.

With the amendment of the personal statute law ( $\$ 22$ sentence 3 PStG), it is now possible to avoid selecting "female" or "male" when asked to state the sex of a person or to use the term "diverse" to be registered at the registry office. TU Dresden is thus at the beginning of an internal discussion in the course of which university-internal documents and forms with personnel data, written communication in personnel procedures as well as certificates, also have to be modified. Mindfulness in dealing with image material in public relations will always be an issue. By maintaining gender and diversity-sensitive communication, TU Dresden sets an example for the acceptance of diverse self-determination.
b) Security on campus

The subjective well-being and a feeling of self-determined ability to act during studies and work are essentially supported by a feeling of physical security. In particular, women, people with disabilities and people with a migration background feel that they are exposing themselves to risks in certain contexts. TU Dresden endeavors to implement security-related and organisational measures to ensure a subjective feeling of security for everyone.

This includes self-defense and self-assertion courses for women offered by the University Sports Centre. Panic locks were installed in some lecture halls and seminar rooms in 2016. The lighting system was partially converted to LED and expanded in places. TU internal emergency number HA 20000 has been added to the guidance and orientation system, which is usually located in the entrance area and is therefore easy to find. A leaflet for students on occupational, health, fire and environmental protection ${ }^{27}$ with important information was also published.

[^11]The real estate department deals with the implementation of professional crisis and emergency management, which deals with the conceptualisation and implementation of the project "Sicherheit am Campus" (Security on Campus) is entrusted. The project deals with the optimisation of the security of people on and off campus, and the crisis management. In this context, it is advisable to set up and maintain a website on the topic of security on campus, as well as to enable further training in the areas of prevention, self-protection and emergency management.

To c) Guideline of Technische Universität Dresden on dealing with harassment, discrimination and violence

Responding to the General Equal Treatment Act (AGG), which came into force in 2006, TU Dresden already committed itself to exclude disadvantage for reasons of ethnic origin, gender, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual identity. In the guidelines of Technische Universität Dresden for dealing with harassment, discrimination and violence adopted in 2019 (https://www.verw.tu-dresden.de/Amtbek/PDF-Dateien/2019-17 / 01_sonstO30.10.2019.pdf) the scope of protection against discrimination and the offer of a complaints office was extended to the students. The directive regulates (preventive) measures, sanctions and responsibilities. The new office of a representative for complaints about harassment, discrimination and violence is the new complaints office. This enables transparency, short paths during processing and the possibility of systematic recording.

The results of the student survey "Diversity Monitoring" ${ }^{28}$, which also recorded discrimination experiences of students at TU Dresden, clarify the risk of discrimination in this group and support the generation of needs-based (preventive) measures. In the medium term, regular monitoring of discrimination experiences in a university context is to be implemented in a broad participation process together with the complaints office. The results of the first employee survey at Technische Universität Dresden in May and June 2019 on experiences with sexual harassment and discrimination should also be reflected.

The measures described in the best practice catalog of the Federal Conference of Women and Equal Opportunities Officers at universities also provide useful impulses for the expansion of TU's internal anti-discrimination work ${ }^{29}$. In addition to the establishment of support structures, such as working groups and advice centers as well as training and evaluation offers, concrete preventive measures and public relations work on the topic are also discussed.

[^12]Plan for the Promotion of Women 2019-
2023 at Technische Universität Dresden

### 6.6 Overview of ongoing, planned and recommended measures
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### 6.6 Overview of ongoing, planned and recommended measure

| PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND PERSONAL SATISFACTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family and caring duties |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target group | Measure | Current Status |  |  | Page |
|  |  | ongoing | planned | recommended |  |
|  | Preservation and expansion of childcare options as needed, and implementation of other ones Projects and measures | x |  |  | 17 |
|  | Contact and re-entry program during or after family-related breaks |  | X |  | 17 |
|  | Support programs for childcare needs in Context of stays abroad |  | X |  | 17 |
|  | Flexible working models |  | X |  | 17 |
|  | Flexible working time models |  | X |  | 17 |
|  | Extended compensation for disadvantages and Special study plans for students | x |  |  | 17 |
|  | Digital care guide | X |  |  | 18 |
|  | Nursing advice on the TU Campus | X |  |  | 18 |
| Health and social affairs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 000000000400 | UGM: Offers for prevention, nutrition, sports,emotional health | x |  |  | 18 |
|  | UGM: Advice on working, radiation and Environmental protection | x |  |  | 18 |
|  | Risk assessment for pregnant women | x |  |  | 18 |
|  | Operational integration management | x |  |  | 18 |
|  | Implementation of inclusive measures (e.g. barrier-free guidance and orientation system) | x |  |  | 18 |
|  | UGM: Tag der Gesundheit/ Health Day | X |  |  | 18 |
|  | Age check |  |  | x | 19 |
|  | Intergenerational knowledge management |  |  | X | 19 |
| Dealing with harassment, discrimination and violence |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gender-sensitive language and image communication |  | X |  | 19 |
|  | Systematisation Security on the Campus |  | X |  | 20 |
|  | Implementation of the guideline of the Technische Universität Dresden for dealing with harassment, |  | x |  | 20 |
|  | Discrimination and violence |  | X |  | 21 |
|  | Evaluation and use of the results of the |  | X |  | 21 |
|  | Student survey "Diversity Monitoring |  | X |  | 21 |

## 7 Entry into force

The Women's Advancement Plan is a further development of the Women's Advancement Plan 2014 - 2018. After approval by the staff council, it comes into force with its publication. It must be adapted to current developments within the four-year period of its validity after two years. If the Women's Support Plan has not been implemented, the University Executive Board will explain the reasons for this as part of the adjustment due after two years and, after the next Women's Support Plan has been drawn up, the women's representative will publish it in the office.

## 8 Annex

8.1 Tables in personnel statistics TU Dresden 2014-2018

### 8.2 CLASSIFICATION LEGEND

| Employment Group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lower <br> Service | Middle <br> Service | Higher Intermediate <br> Service | Higher <br> Service | Other |
| Azubi - E04 | E05-E09 M.D. | E09 G.D. - E13 G.D | E13 H.D./E13Ü <br> E15Ü, <br> A, W/C | Fest HD, <br> STDV |

GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES IN ADMINISTRATION AND TECHNOLOGY

| Administration |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Head of Department | Director |
|  | Executive Manager for the School |
| Employees in administration central/decentralised | Administrative employees |
|  | Administrative employees in Examination Office |
|  | Member in higher service |
|  | Member in other office duty |
| University secretaries | University secretary |
| Trainee | Apprentice; administration specialist. |
| Technology |  |
| Laboratory assistants | Laboratory technician/ materials tester |
| Technical Assistants | Techn. Ass./ Chemotechn. |
| Skilled workers in research and teaching | Official Auxiliary in lab or research institution |
| Skilled workers | Skilled workers |
| Trainees: <br> AZU;Gardener; <br> AZU;Ind.mech.:Ger.-/F.t. <br> AZU;Mechatronics engineer <br> AZU;Microtechnologist <br> AZU;Electr.f.Ger.u.Sys. <br> AZU;Production mechTextile <br> AZU;Biological laboratory assistant <br> AZU;Building material tester <br> AZU; Animal keeper <br> AZU;IT specialist |  |

### 8.3 List of Figures

- Figure 1: Women in the Senate
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- Figure 3: Women in the Senate Commission on Planning, Budget and Structure
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- Figure 5: Women in the Senate Commission on Teaching
- Figure 6: Women in the Senate Commission on Equality and Diversity Management
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- Figure 8: Women in the Chief Information Officer - CIO - (IT Steering Committee)
- Figure 9: Women on the Chief Information Officer (CIO) Advisory Board
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### 8.4 Tables for section 4.4

- Table "Faculty Councils" (2018-2021)
- Table "Area Councils and Area Colleges" (2018-2021)

Annex 8.1
Tables on Personnel Statistics at TU Dresden 2014-2018

| Groups / proportions in numbers and percentages | Scientific staff Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2014 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professors <br> Total | 457 | 396 | 61 | 450 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 67 | 49 | 18 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 14,7\% | 12,4\% | 29,5\% | 14,4\% | 28,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 14,7\% | 0,0\% |
|  | thereof junior professors Total | 18 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 22,2\% | 0,0\% | 22,2\% | 23,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 22,2\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Total scientific staff | 3.581 | 460 | 3.121 | 2.225 | 1.356 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 3.540 | 5 |
|  | No. of women | 1.078 | 136 | 942 | 475 | 603 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1.067 | 3 |
|  | Percentage of women | 30,1\% | 29,6\% | 30,2\% | 21,3\% | 44,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 22,2\% | 30,1\% | 60,0\% |
|  | of which research assistants with doctoral degrees Total | n.a.** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Research assistants Total | 493 | 0 | 493 | 0 | 493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 493 |
|  | No. of women | 218 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
|  | Percentage of women | 44,2\% | 0,0\% | 44,2\% | 0,0\% | 44,2\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 44,2\% |
|  | Student assistants Total | 2.026 | 0 | 2.026 | 0 | 2.026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.026 |
|  | No. of women | 780 | 0 | 780 | 0 | 780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 780 |
|  | Percentage of women | 38,5\% | 0,0\% | 38,5\% | 0,0\% | 38,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 38,5\% |

* without a senior prof.
** stat. Entry only from 2016

|  | Administration Status ${ }^{1{ }^{\text {st }}}$ December 2014 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heads of department <br> Total | п.a. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | administrative staff central/decentral Total | 669 | 353 | 316 | 416 | 253 | 12 | 367 | 193 | 97 |
|  | No. of women | 565 | 312 | 253 | 336 | 229 | 10 | 329 | 160 | 66 |
|  | Percentage of women | 84,5\% | 88,4\% | 80,1\% | 80,8\% | 90,5\% | 83,3\% | 89,6\% | 82,9\% | 68,0\% |
|  | University secretaries Total | 222 | 201 | 21 | 135 | 87 | 7 | 215 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 221 | 200 | 21 | 134 | 87 | 7 | 214 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 99,5\% | 99,5\% | 100,0\% | 99,3\% | 100,0\% | 100,0\% | 99,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees Total | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 75,0\% | 0,0\% | 75,0\% | 75,0\% | 0,0\% | 75,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Technics <br> Status: ${ }^{1 \text { st }}$ <br> December 2014 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laboratory assistants <br> Total | 74 | 49 | 25 | 44 | 30 | 2 | 70 | 2 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 63 | 44 | 19 | 34 | 29 | 1 | 60 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 85,1\% | 89,8\% | 76,0\% | 77,3\% | 96,7\% | 50,0\% | 85,7\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Techn. Assistants Total | 93 | 53 | 40 | 58 | 35 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 80 | 44 | 36 | 47 | 33 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 86,0\% | 83,0\% | 90,0\% | 81,0\% | 94,3\% | 0,0\% | 86,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled workers in Research and teaching Total | 182 | 109 | 73 | 166 | 16 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 23 | 14 | 9 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 12,6\% | 12,8\% | 12,3\% | 10,8\% | 31,3\% | 0,0\% | 12,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilles Workers <br> Total | 98 | 75 | 23 | 82 | 16 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 26 | 20 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 26,5\% | 26,7\% | 26,1\% | 18,3\% | 68,8\% | 0,0\% | 26,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees <br> Total | 75 | 0 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 16,0\% | 0,0\% | 16,0\% | 16,0\% | 0,0\% | 16,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Scientific staff <br> Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> December 2015 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professors <br> Total | 456 | 398 | 58 | 450 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 456 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 66 | 55 | 11 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 14,5\% | 13,8\% | 19,0\% | 14,0\% | 50,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 14,5\% | 0,0\% |
|  | thereof junior professors Total | 17 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 23,5\% | 0,0\% | 23,5\% | 18,8\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 23,5\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Total scientific staff | 3.542 | 443 | 3.099 | 2.161 | 1.381 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 3.502 | 9 |
|  | No. of women | 1.054 | 134 | 920 | 420 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1.041 | 2 |
|  | Percentage of women | 29,8\% | 30,2\% | 29,7\% | 19,4\% | 45,9\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 35,5\% | 29,7\% | 22,2\% |
|  | of which research assistants with doctoral degrees | n.a.** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of women Research assistants | 483 | 0 | 483 | 0 | 483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 483 |
|  | Total | 213 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
|  | No. of women | 44,1\% | 0,0\% | 44,1\% | 0,0\% | 44,1\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 44,1\% |
|  | Percentage of women Student assistants | 1.923 | 0 | 1.923 | 0 | 1.923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.923 |
|  | Total | 745 | 0 | 745 | 0 | 745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 745 |
|  | No. of women | 38,7\% | 0,0\% | 38,7\% | 0,0\% | 38,7\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 38,7\% |

* ohne Senior-Prof.
** stat. Erfassung erst ab 2016

| Groups / proportions in numbers and percentages | Administation Status ${ }^{1{ }^{\text {st }}}$ December 2015 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heads of department Total | 8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
|  | No. of women | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Percentage of women | 50,0\% | 80,0\% | 0,0\% | 50,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 100,0\% | 42,9\% |
|  | administrative staff central/decentral Total | 697 | 362 | 335 | 420 | 277 | 10 | 386 | 200 | 101 |
|  | No. of women | 587 | 318 | 269 | 333 | 254 | 7 | 346 | 166 | 68 |
|  | Percentage of women | 84,2\% | 87,8\% | 80,3\% | 79,3\% | 91,7\% | 70,0\% | 89,6\% | 83,0\% | 67,3\% |
|  | University secretaries Total | 214 | 191 | 23 | 128 | 86 | 9 | 205 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 213 | 190 | 23 | 127 | 86 | 9 | 204 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 99,5\% | 99,5\% | 100,0\% | 99,2\% | 100,0\% | 100,0\% | 99,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees Total | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 87,5\% | 0,0\% | 87,5\% | 87,5\% | 0,0\% | 87,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Technics <br> Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> December 2015 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laboratory assistants Total | 77 | 51 | 26 | 39 | 38 | 3 | 72 | 2 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 65 | 46 | 19 | 28 | 37 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 84,4\% | 90,2\% | 73,1\% | 71,8\% | 97,4\% | 66,7\% | 84,7\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Techn. Assistants Total | 100 | 57 | 43 | 57 | 43 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 83 | 48 | 35 | 43 | 40 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 83,0\% | 84,2\% | 81,4\% | 75,4\% | 93,0\% | 0,0\% | 82,7\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled workers in Research and teaching Total | 174 | 107 | 67 | 159 | 15 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 22 | 12 | 10 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 12,6\% | 11,2\% | 14,9\% | 10,7\% | 33,3\% | 0,0\% | 12,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilles Workers <br> Total | 90 | 75 | 15 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 22 | 19 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 24,4\% | 25,3\% | 20,0\% | 17,9\% | 66,7\% | 0,0\% | 24,4\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees Total | 69 | 0 | 69 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 11 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 15,9\% | 0,0\% | 15,9\% | 15,9\% | 0,0\% | 15,9\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Scientific staff Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2016 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professors <br> Total | 448 | 388 | 60 | 438 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 68 | 56 | 12 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 15,2\% | 14,4\% | 20,0\% | 14,8\% | 30,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 15,2\% | 0,0\% |
|  | thereof junior professors Total | 14 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 21,4\% | 0,0\% | 21,4\% | 21,4\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 21,4\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Total scientific staff | 3.588 | 439 | 3.149 | 2.155 | 1.433 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3.537 | 17 |
|  | No. of women | 1.095 | 130 | 965 | 425 | 670 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1.079 | 4 |
|  | Percentage of women | 30,5\% | 29,6\% | 30,6\% | 19,7\% | 46,8\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 35,3\% | 30,5\% | 23,5\% |
|  | of which research assistants with doctoral degrees | 1.090 | 349 | 741 | 838 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.086 | 4 |
|  | Total | 327 | 94 | 233 | 186 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 30,0\% | 26,9\% | 31,4\% | 22,2\% | 56,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 30,1\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Percentage of women Research assistants | 488 | 0 | 488 | 0 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 488 |
|  | Total | 213 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
|  | No. of women | 43,6\% | 0,0\% | 43,6\% | 0,0\% | 43,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 43,6\% |
|  | Percentage of women Student assistants | 1.907 | 0 | 1.907 | 0 | 1.907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.907 |
|  | Total | 809 | 0 | 809 | 0 | 809 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 809 |
|  | No. of women | 42,4\% | 0,0\% | 42,4\% | 0,0\% | 42,4\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 42,4\% |


|  | Administration Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2016 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heads of department Total | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | No. of women | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Percentage of women | 50,0\% | 66,7\% | 0,0\% | 50,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 50,0\% |
|  | administrative staff central/decentral Total | 712 | 392 | 320 | 422 | 290 | 13 | 376 | 213 | 110 |
|  | No. of women | 592 | 341 | 251 | 331 | 261 | 10 | 337 | 170 | 75 |
|  | Percentage of women | 83,1\% | 87,0\% | 78,4\% | 78,4\% | 90,0\% | 76,9\% | 89,6\% | 79,8\% | 68,2\% |
|  | University secretaries Total | 204 | 189 | 15 | 119 | 85 | 8 | 196 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 202 | 188 | 14 | 118 | 84 | 8 | 194 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 99,0\% | 99,5\% | 93,3\% | 99,2\% | 98,8\% | 100,0\% | 99,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  |  | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 62,5\% | 0,0\% | 62,5\% | 62,5\% | 0,0\% | 62,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Technics <br> Status: <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2016 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laboratory assistants <br> Total | 75 | 51 | 24 | 42 | 33 | 2 | 71 | 2 |  |
|  | No. of women | 60 | 45 | 15 | 28 | 32 | 1 | 57 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 80,0\% | 88,2\% | 62,5\% | 66,7\% | 97,0\% | 50,0\% | 80,3\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Techn. Assistants Total | 93 | 56 | 37 | 54 | 39 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 77 | 47 | 30 | 40 | 37 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 82,8\% | 83,9\% | 81,1\% | 74,1\% | 94,9\% | 0,0\% | 82,6\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled workers in Research and teaching Total | 168 | 106 | 62 | 150 | 18 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 19 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 11,3\% | 9,4\% | 14,5\% | 9,3\% | 27,8\% | 0,0\% | 11,3\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled Workers <br> Total | 94 | 77 | 17 | 83 | 11 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 25 | 19 | 6 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 26,6\% | 24,7\% | 35,3\% | 21,7\% | 63,6\% | 0,0\% | 26,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees Total | 72 | 0 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 23,6\% | 0,0\% | 23,6\% | 23,6\% | 0,0\% | 23,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Scientific staff Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2017 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professors <br> Total | 445 | 383 | 62 | 439 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 441 | 4 |
|  | No. of women | 68 | 60 | 8 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 1 |
|  | Percentage of women | 15,3\% | 15,7\% | 12,9\% | 15,3\% | 16,7\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 15,2\% | 25,0\% |
|  | thereof junior professors Total | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 18,8\% | 0,0\% | 18,8\% | 18,8\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 18,8\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Total scientific staff | 3.812 | 442 | 3.370 | 2.299 | 1.513 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 3.759 | 16 |
|  | No. of women | 1.164 | 133 | 1.031 | 474 | 690 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1.149 | 3 |
|  | Percentage of women | 30,5\% | 30,1\% | 30,6\% | 20,6\% | 45,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 32,4\% | 30,6\% | 18,8\% |
|  | of which research assistants with doctoral degrees | 1.184 | 350 | 834 | 883 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.180 | 4 |
|  | Total | 365 | 97 | 268 | 196 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 30,8\% | 27,7\% | 32,1\% | 22,2\% | 56,1\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 30,9\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Percentage of women Research assistants | 490 | 0 | 490 | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 |
|  | Total | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
|  | No. of women | 45,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 45,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 45,5\% |
|  | Percentage of women Student assistants | 1.875 | 0 | 1.875 | 0 | 1.875 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.875 |
|  | Total | 799 | 0 | 799 | 0 | 799 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 799 |
|  | No. of women | 42,6\% | 0,0\% | 42,6\% | 0,0\% | 42,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 42,6\% |

[^13]| Groups / proportions in numbers and percentages | Administration <br> Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> December 2017 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heads of department <br> Total | 10 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
|  | No. of women | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Percentage of women | 60,0\% | 66,7\% | 0,0\% | 66,7\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 60,0\% |
|  | administrative staff central/decentral Total | 722 | 436 | 286 | 405 | 317 | 10 | 361 | 237 | 114 |
|  | No. of women | 606 | 371 | 235 | 318 | 288 | 7 | 326 | 196 | 77 |
|  | Percentage of women | 83,9\% | 85,1\% | 82,2\% | 78,5\% | 90,9\% | 70,0\% | 90,3\% | 82,7\% | 67,5\% |
|  | University secretaries Total | 207 | 180 | 27 | 127 | 80 | 8 | 199 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 205 | 179 | 26 | 126 | 79 | 8 | 197 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 99,0\% | 99,4\% | 96,3\% | 99,2\% | 98,8\% | 100,0\% | 99,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees <br> Total | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 70,0\% | 0,0\% | 70,0\% | 70,0\% | 0,0\% | 70,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Technics <br> Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> December 2017 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laboratory assistants <br> Total | 69 | 48 | 21 | 40 | 29 | 0 | 67 | 2 |  |
|  | No. of women | 56 | 42 | 14 | 27 | 29 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 81,2\% | 87,5\% | 66,7\% | 67,5\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% | 80,6\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Techn. Assistants Total | 100 | 54 | 46 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 83 | 44 | 39 | 47 | 36 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 83,0\% | 81,5\% | 84,8\% | 75,8\% | 94,7\% | 0,0\% | 82,7\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled workers in Research and teaching Total | 168 | 110 | 58 | 148 | 20 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 17 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 10,1\% | 8,2\% | 13,8\% | 8,1\% | 25,0\% | 0,0\% | 10,1\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilles Workers <br> Total | 87 | 72 | 15 | 75 | 12 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 22 | 17 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 25,3\% | 23,6\% | 33,3\% | 17,3\% | 75,0\% | 0,0\% | 25,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees Total | 65 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 24,6\% | 0,0\% | 24,6\% | 24,6\% | 0,0\% | 24,6\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Scientific staff Status: ${ }^{\text {st }}$ December 2018 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professors <br> Total | 438 | 379 | 59 | 430 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 3 |
|  | No. of women | 74 | 62 | 12 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 2 |
|  | Percentage of women | 16,9\% | 16,4\% | 20,3\% | 16,7\% | 25,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 16,6\% | 66,7\% |
|  | thereof junior professors Total | 14 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 28,6\% | 0,0\% | 28,6\% | 23,1\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 28,6\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Total scientific staff | 3.929 | 455 | 3.474 | 2.424 | 1.505 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 3.874 | 14 |
|  | No. of women | 1.183 | 136 | 1.074 | 507 | 676 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1.166 | 5 |
|  | Percentage of women | 30,1\% | 29,9\% | 30,9\% | 20,9\% | 44,9\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 29,3\% | 30,1\% | 35,7\% |
|  | of which research assistants with doctoral degrees | 1.182 | 354 | 828 | 896 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.178 | 4 |
|  | Total | 360 | 94 | 266 | 211 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 1 |
|  | No. of women | 30,5\% | 26,6\% | 32,1\% | 23,5\% | 52,1\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 30,5\% | 25,0\% |
|  | Percentage of women Research assistants | 527 | 0 | 527 | 0 | 527 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527 |
|  | Total | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 |
|  | No. of women | 47,1\% | 0,0\% | 47,1\% | 0,0\% | 47,1\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 47,1\% |
|  | Percentage of women Student assistants | 1.888 | 0 | 1.888 | 0 | 1.888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.888 |
|  | Total | 859 | 0 | 859 | 0 | 859 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 859 |
|  | No. of women | 45,5\% | 0,0\% | 45,5\% | 0,0\% | 45,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 45,5\% |


|  | Administration <br> Status: $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2018 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heads of department <br> Total | 11 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | No. of women | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Percentage of women | 54,5\% | 54,5\% | 0,0\% | 60,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 54,5\% |
|  | Administrative staff central/decentral Total | 746 | 453 | 293 | 439 | 307 | 6 | 344 | 268 | 128 |
|  | No. of women | 610 | 378 | 232 | 336 | 274 | 5 | 299 | 218 | 88 |
|  | Percentage of women | 81,8\% | 83,4\% | 79,2\% | 76,5\% | 89,3\% | 83,3\% | 86,9\% | 81,3\% | 68,8\% |
|  | University secretaries Total | 225 | 202 | 23 | 132 | 93 | 1 | 224 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 222 | 200 | 22 | 130 | 92 | 1 | 221 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 98,7\% | 99,0\% | 95,7\% | 98,5\% | 98,9\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees <br> Total | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 60,0\% | 0,0\% | 60,0\% | 60,0\% | 0,0\% | 60,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | Technology <br> Status $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2018 | Total | Permanent | Fixed-term | Full time | Part time | Lower service | Intermediate Service | Higher Intermediate service | Higher service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laboratory assistants <br> Total | 66 | 45 | 21 | 41 | 25 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 50 | 37 | 13 | 26 | 24 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 75,8\% | 82,2\% | 61,9\% | 63,4\% | 96,0\% | 0,0\% | 76,6\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Techn. Assistants Total | 105 | 58 | 47 | 67 | 38 | 0 | 103 | 2 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 86 | 47 | 39 | 50 | 36 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 81,9\% | 81,0\% | 83,0\% | 74,6\% | 94,7\% | 0,0\% | 81,6\% | 100,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled workers in Research and teaching Total | 165 | 105 | 60 | 146 | 19 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 16 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 9,7\% | 7,6\% | 13,3\% | 7,5\% | 26,3\% | 0,0\% | 9,7\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Skilled Workers <br> Total | 79 | 67 | 12 | 64 | 15 | 1 | 78 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 18 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 22,8\% | 22,4\% | 25,0\% | 12,5\% | 66,7\% | 0,0\% | 23,1\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |
|  | Trainees <br> Total | 68 | 0 | 68 | 68 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. of women | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percentage of women | 23,5\% | 0,0\% | 23,5\% | 23,5\% | 0,0\% | 23,5\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% | 0,0\% |


|  | University teachers |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employes |  |  | Students until xx.xX.XXXX | Students from <br> 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty | Total / Women / \% |  |  | Total / Women / \% |  |  | Total / Women / \% |  |  | Total / Women / \% | Total / Women / \% |  |  |
| Mathematics | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 33,33 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Physics | 9 | 1 | 11,11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | 33,33 |
| Chemistry and food chemistry | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Psychology | 9 | 3 | 33,33 | 3 | 1 | 33,33 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 2 | 66,66 |
| Biology | 7 | 1 | 14,29 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Faculty of Philosophy | 9 | 4 | 44,44 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Language, literature and Cultural Studies | 7 | 2 | 28,57 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Educational Sciences | 7 | 4 | 51,14 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Faculty of Law | 7 | 2 | 28,57 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Economics | 7 | 2 | 28,57 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Computer Science | 7 | 1 | 14,29 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Electrical Engineering and Information Technology | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 11 | 1 | 9,09 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| Civil Engineering | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Architecture | 7 | 2 | 28,57 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Transport Sciences | 7 | 1 | 14,29 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental Sciences | 9 | 3 | 33,33 | 3 | 1 | 33,33 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | 33,33 |
| Faculty of Medicine | 11 | 2 | 18,18 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| Total | 148 | 29 | 19,59 | 47 | 16 | 34,04 | 16 | 9 | 56,25 |  | 46 | 20 | 43,48 |

Table Faculty Councils 2018-2021

|  | University Lecturers |  |  | Academic Staff |  |  | Other Employes |  |  | Students from $30^{\text {th }}$ November 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Committee | Total / Women / \% |  |  | Total / Women / \% |  |  | Total / Women / \% |  |  | Total / Women / \% |  |  |
| School Council |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics and Natural Sciences School Committee | 15 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 33,33 | 5 | 4 | 80 |
| School of Science Council | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Engineering Sciences School Committee | 27 | 2 | 7,41 | 9 | 3 | 33,33 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 33,33 |
| ING School Council | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civil and Environmental Engineering School Committee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 66,66 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 3 | 1 | 33,33 |
| Civil and Environmental Engineering School Council | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Humanities and Social Sciences School Committee | 12 | 6 | 50 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 1 | 25 |
| Humanities and Social Sciences School Council | 5 | 1 | 20 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine School Committee | 11 | 2 | 18,18 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| MED School Council | 6 | 1 | 16,66 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total School Committees | 65 | 10 | 15,38 | 22 | 6 | 27,27 | 10 | 5 | 50 | 27 | 10 | 37,03 |
| Total School Councils | 26 | 2 | 7,69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table School Committees and School Councils 2018-2021

## Contact:

Technische Universität Dresden,
Office of Gender Equality Commissioner and Women's Representative Dr. Jutta Luise Eckhardt

Postal Adress: Helmholtzstr. 10, 01069 Dresden
Visitor Adress: Weberplatz 5, 01217 Dresden
Tel: 0351-463-36423


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See section 4 sentence 2 of the Saxon Women's Promotion Act (SächsFFG) in the version of $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2014 https://www.revosax.sachsen.de/vorschrift/3637-Saechsisches-Frauenfoerderungsgesetz
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